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May 22, 2015

Sound Transit
401 South Jackson Street
Seattle, WA 98104
Attention: Federal Way Link Extension Draft EIS Comments

Dear Sir or Madam:

Please accept the following comments on the draft Federal Way Link Extension Environmental Impact Statement ("EIS"). Alaska Airlines, Inc. and its sister company, Horizon Air Industries, Inc., employ over 6,000 workers in the Seattle area. Alaska Airlines is the largest employer in the City of SeaTac. The majority of our employees commute from South King County to the airport area in the City of SeaTac. As such, we support light rail expansion which will provide additional transportation options for our employees, contractors and customers to the airport area.

After reviewing the route alternatives in the EIS, we support the I-5 alternative. Based on the EIS estimates, this alternative is generally less expensive and results in less business impact without significantly affecting estimated light rail travel time and daily ridership. We believe International Boulevard is better served by local bus service rather than longer light rail segments. The SR 89 route alternatives, especially those that cut along the west side of SR99, would cause significant bus disruption, including at the Alaska Airlines’ Gold Coast Center facility located at 20833 International Boulevard. We anticipate the light rail construction at this location will have significant negative impact to the property, resulting inconvenience to the building occupants, temporary and/or possible permanent loss of parking, removal of many mature trees in the sidewalk path, and ultimately disruptions to our operations at the building.

In addition, we have serious concerns regarding light rail construction impact on our other property on the extended light rail line, the Alaska Airlines McGee Building at 20313 23rd Avenue South. This building is Alaska Airlines’ data center; impacts to this building could significantly disrupt or even shut down our airline operation. Sound Transit must work closely with Alaska Airlines to coordinate any construction work around this facility. Draft construction drawings in the EIS indicate a temporary construction easement area may result in loss of parking at the McGee Building. Parking at this facility is already at maximum with few nearby alternatives. We urge Sound Transit to develop strategies to limit construction impacts to maintain the current level of building parking and ensure business continuity at this critical facility.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the EIS. We look forward to working closely with the Sound Transit on this phase of the light rail project.

Sincerely,

ALASKA AIRLINES, INC.

Karen Grunewald
Vice President
Corporate Real Estate

Response to Comment BU26-1
Please see Response to Common Comment 11 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

Response to Comment BU26-2
Please see Response to Common Comment 2.

Response to Comment BU26-3
Please see Response to Common Comment 2. Chapter 5 of the Final EIS discusses FWLE construction impacts and how Sound Transit would mitigate those impacts.

Response to Comment BU26-4
Based on conceptual and preliminary engineering, the FWLE would have some permanent and temporary impacts on parking at this building. Sound Transit will coordinate with Alaska Airlines on avoiding or mitigating impacts on this property.
Good afternoon,

Please see the attached comment letter of today’s date from Muscati Midway Properties LLC for consideration. A hard copy is also being sent via Legal Messenger.

Thank you,

Kristen Doughty  
Legal Assistant  
Eglick Kiker Whited  
1000 Second Avenue, Suite 3130  
Seattle, WA 98101  
206.441.1000

This e-mail is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). It contains information that is confidential and/or legally privileged. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, please notify the sender by replying to e-mail and delete the message. Any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the information by someone other than the intended recipient is prohibited.
Chapter 7, Environmental Justice, describes potential impacts on low-income and minority populations from displacements of businesses that tend to serve mostly minority populations. Section 4.3, Economics, evaluates the potential economic effects from displacement of local businesses and employees.

Response to Comment BU32-2

Section 4.2, Land Use, of the Final EIS states that the FWLE is a regional transit authority facility and is therefore considered an essential public facility in the Growth Management Act (GMA) (RCW 36.70A.200). The GMA prohibits local governments from precluding the siting of essential public facilities through their comprehensive plans or zoning. Once a FWLE alternative is selected to be built, the jurisdictions would have a “duty to accommodate” the project in their land use plans and development regulations.

The Midway Subarea Plan included multiple potential station and alignment locations for light rail in the Midway subarea. Sound Transit received the City of Kent’s comment letter after the Draft EIS. It is summarized in Chapter 9 in the Final EIS and is included in this appendix.
Appendix D4.1, Potentially Affected Parcels, of the Final EIS presents the likely property acquisitions based on the conceptual designs and existing conditions at the time the analysis was conducted. The information provided is intended to show the magnitude of potential impacts associated with each alternative and allow for a comparison among alternatives. As described in Appendix D4.1, impacts on individual properties could change as the design is refined. Appendix F, Conceptual Design Drawings, provides more detail on potential impacts on specific properties from each alternative.

Response to Comment BU32-3

Appendix D4.1, Potentially Affected Parcels, of the Final EIS presents the likely property acquisitions based on the conceptual designs and existing conditions at the time the analysis was conducted. The information provided is intended to show the magnitude of potential impacts associated with each alternative and allow for a comparison among alternatives. As described in Appendix D4.1, impacts on individual properties could change as the design is refined. Appendix F, Conceptual Design Drawings, provides more detail on potential impacts on specific properties from each alternative.
Response to Comment I540-1

Please see response to Common Comment 11 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.
Dear Sir,

I would like to urge your committee to consider not running the light rail through SR 99 in Des Moines, WA. This is a critical time in our country for our citizens to obtain good quality medical care. Washington State is currently in short supply of doctors, medical centers, and especially clinics that receive state insured/uninsured patients. Sea Mar Community Health Centers is in process of opening a much needed clinic in one of the proposed areas for this light rail system. We request that you seriously disregard the plans to the SR99, and consider the I-5 – SR99, SR99 – I-5 or I-5 route as better options for the railway crossing. The Sea Mar Clinic is already being prepared to open, and changing that now would be a great waste of money and tax payer dollars, as well as making thousands of people wait longer for the new clinic to open.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Gonzales | Customer Service Representative
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
7410 E. Delaware Ln. | Vancouver, WA | 98664
P 360.566.4431 | Ext 16431
Connect with us on Facebook & Twitter

Response to Comment BU29-1
Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.
Dear Sound Transit Light Rail Team,

As a concerned Des Moines resident and community member, I ask you reconsider alternate routes such as I-5, SR 99 to I-5, and I-5 to SR 99, so our newly built clinic can serve the Des Moines and Kent low-income communities. By having to demolish our clinic would be a huge waste of public dollars, cut employment, and disserve members of my community. Again, I ask you reconsider the alternate routes stated above.

Thank you,
Marisol Sanchez y Lucero
Infant Case Manager-Maternity Support Services
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
233 2nd Ave S, Kent, WA 98032

P: 206.436.6380 | Ext: 24012 | F: 206.436.6386

Response to Comment BU30-1
Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.
Response to Comment BU31-1
Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

Response to Comment BU31-2
Please see Response to Common Comment 2.
Response to Comment BU33-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

Hyo-Na Han

Sea Mar Community Health Centers

One of the alternative routes (SR 99) regarding the extension of light rail through to Federal Way will be greatly impact on the Sea Mar Des Moines medical clinic. SR 99 is the route which places the newly-constructed clinic at jeopardy of being torn down.

Sea Mar Community Health Centers are non-profit organizations to help low income families. If you choose the SR 99 route (Highway 99 and 5, 447W Street), it will take away a great community resource.

Thank you for your consideration.

Hyo-Na Han
Response to Comment BU34-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.
Response to Comment BU35-1

All FWLE alternatives would provide access near S 242nd Street at Highline College with the Kent/Des Moines Station.
Response to Comment BU36-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

To our esteemed partners at Sound Transit,

I am writing to you today not only as an employee of Sea Mar Community Health Centers, but as a concerned citizen.

I am aware that you do not take lightly the impact of your work on King County communities.

Please bring your attention to the choice of SR99. We have been building a much-needed medical, dental, and behavioral health clinic that were you to pursue SR99, would need to be torn down. The thought makes my heart skip a beat. This clinic serves the Des Moines and Kent low-income communities. Not only is this clinic much needed in that particular location, it is also a concern that public dollars have been used to build this clinic.

Please, would you instead consider alternatives routes: I-5, SR899 to I-405, and I-5 to SR99.

Most sincerely,

Sarah Addison, MA, GMHS | Manager of Integrated and Collaborative Care
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
8915 14th Avenue South | Seattle, WA | 98198
F 206.764.8037 | E 40037 | C 206.492.1227 | P 206.764.0494

Please reference attached to this email, including any attachments. It has been sent for the sole use of the intended recipient. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, please notify the person who sent this communication. Any unauthorized dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication, or any part thereof, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender and delete all copies of the message. Thank you.
Response to Comment BU37-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

To whom it may concern,

SR 99 route would result in wasting public dollars used to build a much needed clinic serving the Sen Womes and First Nations communities. It does not seem like the correct choice and it would be detrimental to the well-being of the community since they would not have access to affordable health care near their homes.

Thank you for your concern and consideration.

Ana Marroquin | Front Desk Receptionist
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
14434 Ambaum Blvd SW, Suite 5 | Burien, WA | 98166
P 206.812.6140 | Ext 20003 | F 206.812.6177

Connect with us on

Pre-automation software in this email, including any attachments, may be confidential and/or legally privileged. It is transmitted for the use of the intended recipient(s). The recipient of this message is not an intended recipient, you and anyone notified that any such transmission received, use, disclosure, reproduction, distribution, or copying of this communication or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please reply to the sender and delete all copies of this message. Thank you.
Response to Comment BU38-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

---

To whom it may concern,

My name is Jeff Kim, and I am writing as a concerned citizen from the community of Federal Way / NE Tacoma. I know that whatever such an Environmental Impact Study is being conducted, someone is going to unfortunately lose out. I ask that community health centers be put into the equation of being saved. The community health center that I work for, is near completion with it's state of the art clinic funded with mostly public dollars, is in danger of being torn down.

This decision to designate SR99 as the primary route would jeopardize the clinic and waste public funding for an area in dire need of medical and dental care in Des Moines and Kent low income communities. The other routes, I-5, SR99 to I-5 or I-5 to SR99 would not have an impact on the clinic’s ability.

I work for the University of Washington School of Dentistry in the public health department and have seen and studied the lack of care in these areas currently despite the availability of the Affordable Care Act. More and more private providers (both medical & dental) can only accept so many patients due to the need. Hence, the importance of the establishment of the SeaMar Community Health Center in that region that can provide to so many working families who have insurance through the ACA.

I’m very happy the rail is coming down to South King County; it has ben long awaited. I can’t wait to take it to downtown Seattle, Mariners, Seahawks and Sounders games with my daughters to their first sporting events. It will provide more efficient means of transportation for many South King County commuters. But we can still have that great mode of transportation AND a community health center that can serve the unmet growing health needs in a growing area of King County. I hope these comments are taken into consideration by the study. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Jeffrey Y. Kim | Dentist
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
1215 S. 11th St | Tacoma, WA | 98405
P 253.888.8840 | F 253.888.8841

The information contained in this email, including any attachments, may be confidential and/or legally protected. It has been sent for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). If the reader of this message is not an intended recipient, you
are hereby notified that any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please reply to the sender and destroy all copies of the message. Thank you.
Response to Comment BU39-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

This is to request that Route Highway 99 and 242 Street not be considered as the route for the Federal Light Rail. The Route 99 would place the newly constructed Sea Mar Clinic at jeopardy of being torn down. This would result in wasting public dollars used to build a much needed clinic serving the Des Moines and Kent low-income communities. You have three other routes in consideration 1-5, SR 99 to 1-5 and 1-5 to SR99. These three routes would save the clinic and medical health services for hundreds of families and children.

Thanking you in advance for your serious consideration of this request.

Phyllis Gutierrez-Kenny
Letter FW586
Sea Mar Community Health Centers (Antonio Z. Sosa)

Response to Comment BU43-1
Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

Please take in consideration the wasting money and keep the money in a right place. The route designated as SR 99 is the route which places the newly constructed clinic at jeopardy of being torn down. The other routes are designated as follows: I-5, SR 99 to I-5, and I-5 to SR 99. These three routes would not disturb our Des Moines clinic. The SR 99 route would result in wasting public dollars used to build a much needed clinic serving the Des Moines and Kent low-income communities. I hope you take in consideration in my impulse to these matter.

Antonio Z. Sosa CDP III & AIDS instructor
3350 Airport Dr. 38225
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
Phone: (360) 734-5458 ext. 84007 Fax: (360) 734-5298
Response to Comment BU44-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

The extension of Light Rail through to Federal Way SR 99 route would result in wasting public dollars used to build a much needed clinic serving the Des Moines and Kent low-income communities. A new building clinic is under construction, we are near completing this summer in Des Moines at Highway 99 and South 242nd Street. The route SR 99 is the route which places the newly constructed clinic at jeopardy of being torn down.

Please consider changing this route to better serve the community.

Thank you.

Carlos Barajas
Traffic Coordinator
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
5900 4th Ave South suite 200  Seattle WA 98108
P 206.436.7651  F 206.441.2894
Response to Comment BU45-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

Public Comment:

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

The purpose of this correspondence is to voice my extreme concern over one of the proposed routes for the Light Rail expansion to Federal Way. Although I support the Light Rail expansion, I want to strongly encourage you NOT to select the SR99 route as it would place a newly constructed clinic built to serve the low-income community with much needed health and human service needs, in jeopardy. The health care needs of MANY residents in the Des Moines and Kent area have historically gone unmet. This clinic would provide that much needed avenue for care. Not to mention, that if this clinic is torn down, or otherwise negatively impacted by the SR99 route, we will ALSO be wasting the public dollars which were used to build this clinic.

Please say NO to proposed route option SR99!

Thank you so much for your attention to this extremely important matter.

Sincerely,

Carolina Lucero | Senior Vice President
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
1040 S. Henderson St. | Seattle, WA | 98108
P 206.788.3219 | Ext 63219 | C 206.992.6165 | F 206.788.3204

Connect with us on  

Response to Comment BU46-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

Dear [Name],

I understand the extension of Light Rail through to Federal Way is under review, and a number of alternative routes are being considered. One route (SR-599) would actually be going through our new clinic that Sea Mar is almost done building in Des Moines at Highway 99 and South 242nd Street.

If this route is selected, it would place the newly constructed clinic in danger of being torn down. This clinic is nearing completion. To select this route and destroy the clinic is a waste of public dollars used to build a much-needed clinic that serves the Des Moines and Kent low-income communities.

I urge you to select an alternate route so that we may continue to serve our community in this area. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

[Name]
Integration Specialist, LICSW
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
665 Woodland Square Loop SE | Lacey, WA 98503
P 360-359-4840 | Ext 4880 | F 360-359-4851

The information contained in this email, including any attachments, may be confidential and/or legally protected. It has been sent for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any other use of this message is not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, distribution, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please reply to the sender and destroy all copies of the message. Thank you.
Response to Comment BU47-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

Letter FW590
Sea Mar Community Health Centers (Jennifer L. Kochrian)

Page 1

Subject: Light Rail Extension Through Federal Way
Date: Tuesday, May 28, 2013, 12:48:13 PM

Hi,

I am submitting my comments in regards to the impact of the light rail extension going through Federal Way. The proposal using the SR 99 route would result in wasting public dollars used to build a much needed clinic serving the Des Moines and Kent low-income communities. This Sea Mar medical clinic is still under construction nearing completion this summer in Des Moines at Highway 99 and South 242nd Street. Please choose one of the other routes proposed as follows: I-5, SR 99 to I-5, and I-5 to SR 99. These three routes would not disturb our Des Moines clinic.

Thank you,

Jennifer L. Kochrian
V WC Coordinator
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
541 E Mill Plain Blvd, Ste 2B, Vancouver, WA 98661
P 360.973.4365 | Ext B4006 | C 360.970.6044 | F 360.973.4368

---

The information contained in this email and any attachments may be confidential and/or legally protected. It has been sent for the use of the intended recipient(s). The sending of this message is not intended for anyone else. You are hereby notified that only a subset of this message has been released. (1) forward distribution, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please reply to the sender and delete all copies of the message. Thank you.
One of the routes being considered for the light rail extension is the SR 99 route. The SR 99 route through Highway 99 and South 242nd Street, places our newly constructed clinic at jeopardy of being torn down. This would result in wasting public dollars used to build a much needed clinic which would serve the Des Moines and Kent low-income communities. It is our understanding that there are alternative routes that could be considered which would not disturb our Des Moines clinic - 1-5, 599 to 1-5, and 1-5 to SR 99. Please consider these alternative routes when making the final decision on the extension of the Light Rail through to Federal Way.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Response to Comment BU48-1
Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.
Response to Comment BU49-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.
Response to Comment BU50-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 11 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.
Response to Comment BU51-1
Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

Dear Sir or Madam,

On behalf of Sea Mar, I am asking you to please use the following routes I-5, SR 59 to I-5, and I-5 to SR 99. This route designated as SR 99 is the route which places the newly constructed clinic (Des Moines) at jeopardy of being torn down.

Our clinics provide critical services to those who struggle/will suffer with substance, mental, and health issues.

I appreciate your time in considering our plea.

Thank you,

Virgil Kim | Clinical Supervisor
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
14090 Firslands Blvd SE Suite 347 | Puyallup, WA | 98372
P 360.805.3122 | Fax 360.805.9180

Connect with us on Facebook

The information contained in this email, including any attachments, may be confidential and/or legally protected. It has been sent for the sole use of the intended recipient. The content of this message is not to be distributed, copied, or printed. Use, reproduction, distribution, or copying of this material, or any of its contents, strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender and delete all copies of the message. Thank you.
Response to Comment BU52-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.
Response to Comment BU53-1

Please see responses to Common Comments 2 and 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.
Response to Comment BU54-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.
Response to Comment BU55-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.
Response to Comment BU56-1

Please see Response to Common Comments 2 and 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

Please be aware that the SR 99 proposed route would run through a newly constructed medical and dental community health center clinic at the west side of Highway 99 at South 242nd Street, adjacent to a new constructed low-income housing project, both built by Sea Mar Community Health Center. These projects will be serving the low-income communities of South King County, particularly Des Moines and Kent. Destroying this project would be a huge waste of resources, including public dollars which went into the construction. Therefore, Sea Mar requests that the SR 99 route not be considered any further, and that the other three routes be considered as more viable alternatives. Thank you.
Response to Comment BU57-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.
Response to Comment BU58-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

Good morning,

- I think having light rail connect to Federal Way is a wonderful idea to create more environmental options for commuters. I live in Fed Way and work in S. Seattle. Also, flying to the Airport would be an easy process to hop on the light rail.
- It makes no sense to me and seems counterproductive to the environment and tax payers to put the proposed track through a building almost finished. I work for Sea Mar and during my daily commute have watched this building go up. I know the people of Des Moines are anxious awaiting the completion of the medical clinic as are the medical staff. I have doctors hired and ready to start work once it is built. Their tax dollars will not be able to funnel into the city with employees buying hoses, groceries going out to eat from the surrounding communities, if the medical clinic cannot finish because of the proposed track.

Please put the track somewhere else.

Sincerely,

Rachel DeLauder | Credentialing Specialist
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
800 14th Ave S | Seattle, WA | 98119
P 206.474.2001 | Ext 1050 | F 206.764.8005

Connect with us on  

The information contained in this email, including any attachments, may be confidential and legally protected. It has been sent for the sole use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, disclosure, or duplication, in part or in whole, of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender and destroy all copies of the message. Thank you.
Response to Comment BU59-1
Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

Please do not waste the public dollars used to build the much needed clinic serving the Des Moines and Kent low-income communities. Please use an alternative route(s) for the SR 599 route, instead of the one where the clinic is located (Pacific Way, South).

Thank you.
Response to Comment BU60-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

Good Morning,

The current plan is designated by SR99, however, we already built a new clinic to serve our low income patients in Kent and Des Moines. The SR99 route would result in wasting public dollars used to build a much needed clinic serving the Des Moines and Kent low-income communities. Please consider this before you extend the Light Rail through to Federal Way.

Thank you very much,

Carmen H. Nazario | Adm Lab Director
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
5915 14th Ave, South | Seattle, WA 98108
P 206.763.5754 | Ext 60313 | F 206.763.5761

Connect with us on

The information contained in this email, including any attachments, is confidential and/or legally privileged. It has been sent for the use of the intended recipient(s) only and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. This means that you are hereby notified that any review, retransmission, disclosure, dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of the contents, or of its contents, strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately in order to cancel the message. Thank you.
Response to Comment BU61-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 9 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

---

Stephany Maurer
Phlebotomist
Sea Mar Community Health Centers
9710 State Avenue | Marysville WA | 98270
P 360-657-2082 | Ext 10955 | F 360-657-7910

I have been made aware that the new Des Moines expansion of light rail into Federal Way would force the tear down of a newly constructed clinic in Des Moines. These clinics are built and funded by public money and serve the low income communities in which we’re based. If you were to tear it down, there is no way we could get that money back. It would be a waste of tax payer money. I urge you to consider the three other options available to you: SR 99 to I-5, and I-5 to SR 99

Thank you for taking the time to read this and consider this important issue.
Letter FW584
Local 242 Home Development Corp.

Page 1

Dear [Name],

Please find attached comments from the Local 242 Home Development Corp. Please contact me with any questions.

Best regards,

[Your Name]
Response to Comment BU41-1

All FWLE alternatives and RapidRide A Line are complementary transit services. Since RapidRide A Line has more frequent stops than the light rail, it would act as a feeder service. All FWLE stations were designed to incorporate bus service in coordination with King County Metro. Section 4.2, Land Use, describes the transit-oriented development (TOD) analysis conducted for the project. Access to each station location (including pedestrians, bicycles, transit, and automobiles) was one of the four categories used to assess which station locations would be most supportive of TOD.

Tos Sound Transit
From: Local 242 Home Development Corp.
RE: Federal Way Link Extension Draft EIS Summary

"We are pleased to note that the 15 Alternative is the best route that suits our site. The 15 Alternative extends the accessibility of our site by connecting the pedestrian network to the site. The 15 Alternative allows for easy access to the site for pedestrians, bicycles, and transit. The 15 Alternative also provides connectivity to the existing transit network, making it easier for residents to access the site"

BU41-1

If you have any questions you may contact me at the email address and phone number below.

Sincerely,

James Smith
Executive Director

Local 242 Home Development Corp

smith@local42home.com

206-747-6898
Dear [Name],

Please accept this letter of comment for the DBS on behalf of the Shamsedin Corporation.

Thank you.

Questions or comments?

Brian

Brian E. Lawler, Of Counsel
Jameson Babbitt Stites & Lombard PLLC
803 Second Avenue, Suite 1000
Seattle, WA 98104
206-292-1994 (Tel)
206-516-3208 (Direct)
206-292-1995 (Fax)
blawler@jbstl.com
www.jbstl.com

The contents of this message and any attachments may be protected by attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or other applicable protection. If you are not the intended recipient, or have received this message in error, please notify the sender and promptly delete this message. Thank you.
Response to Comment BU42-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 11 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

May 26, 2015

Sound Transit
Federal Way Link Extension Draft EIS Comments
401 S. Jackson Street
Seattle, WA 98104

Re: Comments to Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Federal Way Link Extension (April 10, 2015)
Shamseldin Corporation - Tax Parcels (0922049355, 0922049352, 0922049351, and 0922049350)

Dear Sound Transit:

Introduction

This letter is submitted on behalf of the Shamseldin Corporation, owner of approximately 10.5 acres of commercial property on the west side of SR-99 (International Blvd.) in SeaTac, King County, Washington, between South 208th Street and South 211th Street. This letter is submitted in response to your October 31, 2014 letters to the Shamseldin Corporation and, more importantly, the April 10, 2015 Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Federal Way Link Extension. This letter is intended to be included as part of the official commenting process under WAC 197-11-455. The comments relate to both the DEIS and the substantive proposal, WAC 197-11-550. For the reasons stated below, the Shamseldin Corporation recommends that Sound Transit select the SR-99 alignment as its preferred alignment. Alternatively, if Sound Transit selects the SR-99 alignment, then the Shamseldin Corporation recommends that the SR-99 alignment be along the east, not the west side of SR-99 to reduce and mitigate impacts.

The Shamseldin Corporation

The Shamseldin Corporation is a family owned corporation, which owns the property referenced above, which is further depicted and shaded in yellow on the attached King County Parcel Viewer Map (Attachment A). The Shamseldin family has owned properties in this immediate area since the 1930’s. Dora and Ali Shamseldin moved from Lebanon and began working at the Seven Gables Restaurant across the street. They had four sons while working very hard as a cook and waitress to purchase this 10 acre property. All developed career but...
made Dora a promise to "never sell the property, as it will provide for the family for generations to come." Dora Shamseldin raised her sons as a single mother, without selling off the family properties. Over time the eldest son, Sam, became the steward of the properties and, over 10 years at great expense and risk, entitled the Christian Faith Center to build a 60,000 foot Class A Office Building, which became the Alaska Airlines Gold Coast Building. The Shamseldin family has nurtured a wonderful working relationship with Alaska Airlines, who also has concerns about the proposed SR 99 West alignment. (See "Impact Analysis" below) Sam Shamseldin continued to work diligently to develop and bring commercial development to the properties, bringing O’Reilly Auto Parts to the property in 2011. Plans are in the works for further development of the stretch between O’Reilly’s and the Alaska Airlines Gold Coast Building with retail and potential housing. The family has a strong sense of stewardship. Most family members live locally. At the present time, the Shamseldin properties support the local economy and provide over 250 family wage jobs.

The Shamseldin family fully supports mass transit, including the proposed Federal Way Link Extension. The Shamseldin Corporation also supports the City of SeaTac’s vision for economic growth and development along SR-99, including City of SeaTac Resolution 15-008 (May 12, 2015). (Attachment B).

**Description of Shamseldin Corporation Properties Impacted by SR-99 West Alignment**

Because the DEIS lacks detail on the potential impacts to properties on the west side of SR-99 between South 208th and South 211th Street, the Shamseldin Corporation now provides information to be included in the Final EIS. First, Shamseldin Corporation provides site plans of its properties. Attachments C1-C4 show respectively the existing conditions and possible conditions of a SR 99 West side alignment:

- **C1** – Shamseldin Property – Existing Site Plan
- **C2** – Shamseldin Property – Proposed Site Plan – Development of Current Vacant Parcels
- **C3** – Shamseldin Property – Overlay of an SR 99 West and SR 99 East Alignment
- **C4** – Shamseldin Property – Overlay of an SR 99 West Alignment and Vertical Elevation

In addition, the Shamseldin Corporation provides photographs of existing conditions and probable conditions. Attachments D1-D6 depict existing conditions with an overlay of the proposed elevated tracks along the west side of SR-99 between South 208th and South 211th Street, as follows:

- **D1** – View from SR-99 looking west near north boundary of Alaska Airlines building;
Appendix D4.2 provides a list of land use policies and discusses the FWLE’s consistency with these policies. Section 4.2, Land Use, describes consistency of the FWLE with local land use plans and policies. Section 4.1, Acquisitions, Displacements, and Relocations, describes the property acquisition and relocation processes, and the relocation assistance and benefits that Sound Transit will provide. Appendix D4.1 also provides information about affected properties, including a table of potentially affected parcels. Section 4.3, Economics, describes business and employee displacements. Section 4.7, Noise and Vibration, describes noise and vibration impacts, while Section 4.5, Visual and Aesthetic Resources, describes visual impacts.

Response to Comment BU42-3

Appendix D4.2 describes the project’s consistency with City of SeaTac goals and policies. Section 4.2, Land Use, of the Final EIS states that the FWLE is a regional transit authority facility and is therefore considered an essential public facility in the Growth Management Act (GMA) (RCW 36.70A.200). The GMA prohibits local governments from precluding the siting of essential public facilities through their comprehensive plans or zoning. Once a FWLE alternative is selected to be built, the jurisdictions would have a “duty to accommodate” the project in their land use plans and development regulations.

Response to Comment BU42-4

Section 4.3, Economics, of the Final EIS describes estimated business and employee displacements. Employee displacements were based on a number of factors, such as the type of land use and net square footage. It was also assumed that affected buildings would be completely occupied to represent a conservative estimate of affected employment. The EIS displacement model assumed that construction of the 216th West Station Option would impact the parking lot of the Alaska Airlines office, but would not require demolition of the existing building. Therefore, no
employment displacement impacts are projected for that property. The 216th West Station Option would require displacement of the O'Reilly auto parts store. Based on the type of business and documented building square footage, the displacement model estimated a loss of 19 jobs at that location.
Response to Comment BU42-5

Section 4.7.2.2 of the Final EIS and Section 4.0 of the Noise and Vibration Technical Report (Appendix G3 of the Final EIS) describe the criteria used to evaluate FLWE noise and vibration impacts, which are defined by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) for transit-related noise and vibration. Under the FTA regulations, commercial properties are generally not considered noise-sensitive. Therefore, there are no noise impacts identified at any of these properties.

Response to Comment BU42-6

The assessment of aesthetic impacts for the FWLE is based on the visual assessment methodology developed by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), which is described in Appendix G5, Visual and Aesthetic Resources Technical Report. It focuses on impacts on sensitive viewers and potential for change to the existing visual quality. People working in offices or other buildings of employment are not considered sensitive viewers because they are engaged in their work activity and are not in the buildings to enjoy views. The visual quality of the areas where the SR 99 Alternative would pass near the properties described in this letter is considered low due to the current utilitarian appearance of the area from extensive parking lots, undeveloped areas, and utilitarian buildings. The elevated guideways would be seen from some of these properties and would add large-scale elements to the view, but would not further reduce the existing low visual quality. The elevated guideway would not be seen by sensitive viewers or block views of Puget Sound or the Olympic Mountains.
Response to Comment BU42-7

Section 4.1, Acquisitions, Displacements, and Relocations, describes the property acquisition and relocation processes, and the relocation assistance and benefits that Sound Transit will provide.

Response to Comment BU42-8

Please see Response to Common Comment 11.

Response to Comment BU42-7

The Shamseldin Corporation properties have been part of long term master plan for redevelopment (including the Alaska Airlines Gold Coast Building and the O’Reilly’s auto parts store). The remaining undeveloped other parcels (0922049352; 0922049341; 0922049351; 0922049350), would be impacted by a route alignment on the west side of SR-99, for an anticipated loss of $18,000,000 (Eighteen Million Dollars) in anticipated rental income over a twenty (20) year period. If these parcels are not fully impacted, then their development potential is still likely to be significantly diminished by the presence of elevated tracks along the SR-99 frontage with the attendant noise and vibration impacts. These potential financial impacts are significant.\(^1\)

Recommendation

Thank you for the consideration of these comments. The Shamseldin Corporation respectfully recommends that Sound Transit select as its preferred alignment the SR 509-E alignment, which has also been strongly recommended by the City of SeaTac. Alternatively, if Sound Transit selects the SR-99 alignment, then the Shamseldin Corporation recommends that the alignment be along the east, not the west side of SR-99 to reduce and mitigate impacts.

Best regards,

JAMESON BABBITT STITES & LOMBARD, PLLC

By: Brian E. Lawler
Of Counsel

\(^{1}\) This financial analysis is preliminary only. The Shamseldin Corporation reserves all rights to seek full and adequate compensation for any total or partial takings.
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Attachments:
A - Parcel Map
B - City of SeaTac Resolution 15-008 (May 12, 2015)
C1 - Shamseldin Property – Existing Site Plan
C2 - Shamseldin Property – Proposed Site Plan – Development of Current Vacant Parcels
C3 - Shamseldin Property – Overlay of an SR 99 West and SR 99 East Alignment
C4 - Shamseldin Property – Overlay of an SR 99 West Alignment and Vertical Elevation
D1 - View from SR-99 looking west near north boundary of Alaska Airlines building
D2 - View from SR-99 looking west near middle of Alaska Airlines building
D3 - View from SR-99 looking west near the south end of Alaska Airlines building
D4 - View from SR-99 looking west near between southern boundary of Alaska Airlines building and northern boundary of parcels under development
D5 - View from SR-99 looking west toward parcels under development
D6 - View from SR-99 looking west near towards the O’Reilly’s Building
RESOLUTION NO. 15-808

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
SEATAC, WASHINGTON, ENDORSEING A PREFERRED
SR 509 & 5 ALIGNMENT OF SOUND TRANSIT'S FEDERAL
WAY LINK EXTENSION WITHIN SEATAC CITY LIMITS.

WHEREAS, in 2008 the voters authorized Sound Transit to proceed with an expansion of
their Link light rail system to include service from SeaTac to Federal Way approved under the
Sound Transit 2 (ST2) Plan; and

WHEREAS, the provision of light rail service aligns with the City Council’s vision of
SeaTac being a premier global community offering a solid, sustainable economy and a healthy,
inclusive and vibrant quality of life; and

WHEREAS, expansion of the light rail system throughout the region including the
opening of the University of Washington and Angle Lake stations in 2016 and eventual
connections south to Tacoma and north to Everett offers enhanced access to expanded
employment, education, health care and other services that support SeaTac residents; and

WHEREAS, current City of SeaTac comprehensive plan policy language as adopted in the
2009 plan amendments specifically calls for an alignment along the west side 24th Avenue South
and International Boulevard (State Route 599) to South 216th Street to minimize impacts in SeaTac;
and

WHEREAS, Sound Transit’s analysis of potential routes and stations for the Federal Way
Link Extension evolved significantly over the past two years with the addition of an alternative
that parallels State Route 509 and Interstate 5 and exploration of an additional below-ground
station at South 216th Street on International Boulevard; and

WHEREAS, new City of SeaTac comprehensive plan policy language under development
would remove the strict preference enumerated in the 2009 amendment and allow the City
Counsel to express a preference for any alignment that minimizes disruptions to private and public property owners, businesses and residents and causes minimal adverse aesthetic, economic and environmental impacts; and

WHEREAS, the City Council will not formally adopt the new policy language regarding the preferred alignment for the Federal Way Link Extension until June 2015 but has expressed agreement in concept and

WHEREAS, the City Councils of the City of SeaTac and Des Moines collaboratively developed a framework of prioritized goals and principles to guide decision making on light rail extension and station locations through the respective cities; and

WHEREAS, the top three priority goals of the two cities are to minimize the impacts of the Federal Way Link Extension on businesses and residents, facilitate economic development along the alignment, and maintain traffic capacity along SR 599 and at the Kent/Des Moines intersection; and

WHEREAS, the SeaTac City Council received a presentation from Sound Transit staff on April 14, 2015, that detailed specific impacts in SeaTac for the potential alignments; and

WHEREAS, the SeaTac City Council prefers the SR 509/S-5 alignment, which minimizes the impacts of the Federal Way Link Extension within SeaTac city limits; and

WHEREAS, the City of SeaTac wishes to make Sound Transit aware of the City’s preferences on potential alignments to ensure they will be considered by the Sound Transit Board in determining a preferred alternative.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEA TAC, WASHINGTON, HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

Section 1. The SeaTac City Council hereby takes an official position in strong support of a SR 509/S-5 alignment as illustrated in Exhibits E511 and E512 in Executive Summary of the Draft
Environmental Impact Statement April 2015 within the SeaTac municipal boundaries.

Section 2. That the City will work with Sound Transit on the preferred alternative above for the Federal Way Link Extension Final Environmental Impact Statement to address mutual and respective goals.

Section 3. That this Resolution shall take effect and be in full force upon passage and signatures hereon.

PASSED the __th day of May, 2015 and signed in authentication thereof on this __th day of May, 2015.

CITY OF SEATAC

ATTEND:

[Signature]

Kathleen Gregg, City Clerk

Approved as to Form:

[Signature]

Mary E. Minapth Bartolo, City Attorney

[Stamp: King County Seal, Effective May 9, 2014]
ALTERNATIVE(S):

1. Council may adopt the resolution with a specific preferred alignment within StaTo City limits. This would express the current desire of the body. Staff recommends this occur prior to the ST Board’s consideration to maximize the impact of the City Council’s expressed preference.

2. Council may adopt the resolution only affirming the principles and goals as identified in the proposed Comprehensive Plan policy language and framework, and in consideration of past communication as developed with the City of Doz Maloum and comment following the Board’s action. This option, although flexible, does not maximize the opportunity to influence the Board’s decision.

3. Council may choose not to adopt a resolution with a preferred alignment. This is not advised if the City Council has a strong preference.

ATTACHMENTS:

None.
Seatac City Council
REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION
Department Prepared by: City Manager's Office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Council Action Requested:</th>
<th>02/22/2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agenda Bill #:</td>
<td>56/3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TITLE:** A resolution expressing a preferred SR 509/5 alignment of Sound Transit's Federal Way Link Extension within Seatac city limits.

**SUMMARY:** This resolution expresses a preferred SR 509/5 alignment of Sound Transit’s Federal Way Link Extension within Seatac city limits.

**DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS / ISSUES:** Sound Transit released the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the 7.6-mile Federal Way Link Extension on April 10. The extension would begin at SeaTac’s Ango Lake Station at South 200th Street and connect to Kent/Des Moines by 2025. Environmental analysis also includes the segment from Kent/Des Moines to the Federal Way Transit Center, which will occur as funding becomes available.

City staff will provide technical comments to Sound Transit on the DEIS. Concurrently, the City Council has expressed an interest in taking a position on a preferred alignment and relying that position to the Sound Transit Board prior to the Board’s decision on a preferred alignment to be studied further in the Final Environmental Impact Statement. That Board Decision is slated to occur sometime in mid-summer and as early as June 2015.

The Council has received regular updates from Sound Transit on the Federal Way Link Extension as routes and station options have evolved over the past two years as enumerated above. In addition, the SeaTac City Council approved a list of shared prioritized goals and principles developed with the City of Des Moines on May 14, 2013.

Following a presentation on the Sound Transit DEIS at the April 14 City Council Study Session, the Council requested staff bring forward a resolution expressing a preferred alignment with the noted identification of that alignment for black to facilitate a Council discussion on April 22. On April 26, Council directed staff to review the resolution to indicate a preference for the SR 509/5 alignment within SeaTac city limits for consideration at the May 12 Regular Council Meeting.

**RECOMMENDATION(S):** None.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT:** None.
ATTACHMENT C-1
ATTACHMENT C-3
ATTACHMENT C-4
ATTACHMENT D-1
ATTACHMENT D-2
ATTACHMENT D-3
ATTACHMENT D-4
ATTACHMENT D-5
ATTACHMENT D-6
ATTACHMENT E
May 22, 2015

Sound Transit
401 South Jackson Street
Seattle, WA 98104
Attention: Federal Way Link Extension Draft EIS Comments

Dear Sir or Madam:

Please accept the following comments on the draft Federal Way Link Extension Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). Alaska Airlines, Inc. and its sister company, Horizon Air Industries, Inc., employ over 6,000 workers in the Seattle area. Alaska Airlines is the largest employer in the City of SeaTac. The majority of our employees commute from South King County to the airport area in the City of SeaTac. As such, we support light rail expansion which will provide additional transportation options for our employees, contractors and customers to the airport area.

After reviewing the route alternatives in the EIS, we support the I-5 alternative. Based on the EIS estimates, this alternative is generally less expensive and results in less business impact without significantly affecting estimated light rail travel time and daily ridership. We believe International Boulevard is better served by local bus service rather than longer light rail segments. The SR 99 route alternatives, especially those that cut along the west side of SR 99, would cause significant business disruption, including at the Alaska Airlines’ Gold Coast Center facility located at 20633 International Boulevard. We anticipate the light rail construction at this location will have significant negative impact to the property, resulting inconvenience to the building occupants, temporary and/or possible permanent loss of parking, removal of many mature trees in the goldway path, and ultimately disruptions to our operations at the building.

In addition, we have serious concerns regarding light rail construction impact on our other property on the extended light rail line, the Alaska Airlines McGee Building at 20313 26th Avenue South. This building is Alaska Airlines’ data center; impacts to this building could significantly disrupt or even shut down our airline operation. Sound Transit must work closely with Alaska Airlines to coordinate any construction work around this facility. Draft construction drawings in the EIS indicate a temporary construction easement area may result in loss of parking at the McGee Building. Parking at this facility is already at maximum with few nearby alternatives. We urge Sound Transit to develop strategies to limit construction impacts to maintain the current level of building parking and ensure business continuity at this critical facility.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the EIS. We look forward to working closely with the Sound Transit on this phase of the light rail project.

Sincerely,

KAREN GRODN
Vice President
Corporate Real Estate

Box 81900
Seattle, WA 98108-8190
206.423.3200
Please accept our statement and add it to the public record. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Imad H. Bahbah, Principal Architect
Direct: 253-468-7696

IHB Architects
2828 84th Ave. B, Ste 100
Tacoma, WA 98408

ihbarchitects.com
May 26, 2015

To: Sound Transit

From: IHB Architects and Highline Place, LLC
21620 84th Ave S, Suite 200
Kent, WA 98032

Re: Comments to Draft EIS - Federal Way Link Extension

To Whom It May Concern:

IHB Architects is the architect for Highline Place, a newly planned 324-unit mixed-use project at the NW corner of S. 236th St and Pacific Hwy South. On behalf of the property owner, Highline Place, LLC, we would like to offer our position for the alignment and station options.

First, a brief overview. This project is being developed by an off-shore development group, Highline Place, LLC, lead by Managing Partner Haibin "Tony" Liu. It has been planned as a market-rate apartment project, recognizing both the need for student housing for the adjacent Highline College demographic and the need for market-rate housing, due to considerable job-growth in the Des Moines area. It will also be a catalyst for future Transit Oriented Development by virtue of the Federal Way Link Extension project and its proximity to the future station nearby.

**Phase 1 - Currently in Design Development and SEPA Phase**
Phase 1 consists of Building A, a 99,850 sf building with 2 levels of underground parking (31,000 sf), 2 levels of office space (27,400 sqft) and 44 market-rate apartments on 4 levels (41,000 sf) for a total of 6 levels above grade. Amenities include spectacular views, private resident decks, a fitness center and a rooftop community space with BBQ. Phase 1 also consists of temporary site work, play area/open space, partial courtyard and landscape improvements, half-street improvements at S. 236th, utility connections, temporary storm-water detention pond and on-grade parking.

**Phase 2 - Currently Planned as Future EB-5**
Phase 2 consists of Building B, a 344,000 sf building with 2-3 levels of underground and daylight parking (117,000 sf), including about 6,000 sf of retail at grade and 280 market-rate apartments on 5 levels (227,000 sf) for a total of 8 levels above grade. Amenities will also include spectacular views, private resident decks, a fitness center and a rooftop community space with BBQ. Phase 2 also consists of a landscaped courtyard, play area/open space and final street improvements.
Response to Comment BU62-1

Please see Response to Common Comment 2 in Table 9-6 of Chapter 9 of the Final EIS.

Response to Comment BU62-2

The Sound Transit Board identified the SR 99 East Station Option as part of the Preferred Alternative. Through stakeholder workshops, the station location was refined to be on the west side of 30th Avenue S. Please see Response to Common Comment 4 regarding the pedestrian bridge.

Response to Comment BU62-3

Section 4.7, Noise and Vibration, describes the noise and vibration impacts that would occur from the light rail and traffic accessing the station. All planned and permitted buildings were analyzed for noise and vibration impacts as if they were constructed. The current land use in the northwest corner of Pacific Highway and S 236th Street is commercial, and therefore this property was analyzed as a commercial use, which is not noise-sensitive under the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) noise criteria. Sound walls are included in some portions of this area where impacts on sensitive receivers were identified.

If new sensitive receivers are identified during final design, they will be analyzed during the final design noise analysis. The noise analysis will be updated during final design and any new buildings not included in the Final EIS analysis would be analyzed at that time.
This page intentionally left blank.