



North Corridor Transit Project
Alternatives Analysis Report
and SEPA Addendum

SEPTEMBER 2011

This study was funded in part by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration through a grant from the Section 5339 Alternatives Analysis program, and by a Surface Transportation Program grant through the Puget Sound Regional Council. Sound Transit gratefully acknowledges the support of these agencies.

This Alternative Analysis report also serves as an addendum to Sound Transit's Supplemental EIS on the Regional Transit Long-Range Plan (Sound Transit 2005b). It adds information and analysis regarding the North Corridor transit alternatives and their environmental impacts. This addendum is issued pursuant to the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) rules, WAC 197-11-600(4)(c) and WAC 197-11-625.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	S-1
S.1 Introduction	S-1
S.2 North Corridor Purpose and Need	S-4
S.3 Development, Screening, and Evaluation of Alternatives	S-7
S.4 Early Public and Agency Involvement	S-9
S.5 Concept Development and Screening	S-10
S.5.1 Concepts Eliminated in Pre-Screening	S-10
S.5.2 Concepts Carried Forward For Development and Screening	S-11
S.5.3 Concept Screening	S-13
S.6 Level 1 Alternatives Development and Evaluation	S-16
S.6.1 Level 1 Alternatives	S-16
S.6.2 Level 1 Alternatives Evaluation and Findings	S-18
S.7 Level 2 Alternatives	S-21
S.7.1 TSM/Baseline Alternative	S-21
S.7.2 L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative	S-24
S.7.3 L2: SR 99 Mixed Profile Light Rail Alternative	S-26
S.7.4 L3: SR 99 Elevated Light Rail Alternative	S-30
S.7.5 B2: Multi-Corridor BRT Alternative	S-30
S.8 Level 2 Alternatives Evaluation	S-34
S.8.1 Summary Evaluation	S-34
S.8.2 Key Findings by Purpose and Need Category	S-34
S.8.3 Findings by Alternative	S-43
S.9 Trade-offs among the Alternatives	S-49
S.10 Next Steps	S-51
1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Context of the Alternatives Analysis	1-1
1.2 Purpose and Overview of the Alternatives Analysis	1-2
1.3 Relationship to the Environmental Process	1-4
1.4 Organization of the Report	1-5

2	PURPOSE AND NEED	2-1
2.1	Purpose and Need Statement.....	2-1
2.1.1	The Purpose of the North Corridor Transit Project	2-2
2.1.2	The Need for the Project	2-2
2.2	The North Corridor.....	2-3
2.3	Planning History	2-4
2.3.1	PSRC High Capacity Transit Corridor Assessment	2-5
2.3.2	Regional Transit Long-Range Plan	2-6
2.3.3	ST2 Plan Development	2-6
2.3.4	Population and Employment in the North Corridor Communities	2-6
2.4	Demographics in the North Corridor Communities	2-7
2.5	Regional Plans for Managing Growth	2-9
2.5.1	VISION 2040	2-9
2.5.2	Transportation 2040	2-9
2.6	Transportation System	2-11
2.6.1	Highway Facilities.....	2-11
2.6.2	Transit System.....	2-13
2.6.3	Transit Travel Patterns	2-14
3	DEVELOPMENT AND SCREENING OF ALTERNATIVES.....	3-1
3.1	Overview.....	3-1
3.2	Evaluation Process	3-1
3.2.1	Basis in Purpose and Need	3-1
3.2.2	Evaluation Methodology and Criteria	3-4
3.3	Initial Concept Definition	3-7
3.3.1	Early Public and Agency Involvement.....	3-7
3.3.2	Operating Strategy.....	3-8
3.3.3	Concepts Eliminated in Pre-Screening	3-10
3.3.4	Initial Concepts Carried Forward for Screening and Development	3-12
3.3.5	TSM/Baseline Concept	3-16
3.3.6	I-5 Light Rail Concept	3-16
3.3.7	SR 99 Light Rail Concept	3-17
3.3.8	15th Avenue NE Light Rail Concept.....	3-17

3.3.9	I-5 BRT Concept	3-18
3.3.10	Multi-Corridor BRT Concept.....	3-19
3.4	Initial Concept Screening Results	3-21
3.4.1	15th Avenue NE Light Rail Concepts Screened Out	3-21
3.4.2	SR 99 Fully At-Grade Light Rail Alignment Screened Out	3-25
3.4.3	SR 99 Light Rail Sub-Alternative Alignments Screened Out	3-25
3.5	Level 1 Alternatives Definition	3-26
3.5.1	Elements Common to All Alternatives.....	3-26
3.5.2	No Build Alternative.....	3-27
3.5.3	TSM/Baseline Alternative	3-27
3.5.4	L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative	3-27
3.5.5	L2: SR 99 Light Rail Alternative	3-28
3.5.6	B1: I-5 BRT Alternative	3-28
3.5.7	B2: Multi-Corridor BRT Alternative.....	3-29
3.6	Level 1 Alternatives Evaluation	3-29
3.6.1	Transportation Effectiveness.....	3-29
3.6.2	Community Equity	3-38
3.6.3	Land Use and Economic Development	3-39
3.6.4	Environmental Performance.....	3-40
3.6.5	Effects on Transportation System.....	3-44
3.6.6	Cost and Constructability	3-46
3.6.7	Consistency with Sound Transit’s Long-Range Vision	3-48
3.7	Alternatives Carried Forward into Level 2 Evaluation.....	3-48
3.7.1	TSM/Baseline Alternative	3-49
3.7.2	L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative	3-51
3.7.3	L2: SR 99 Light Rail Alternative	3-52
3.7.4	B1: I-5 BRT Alternative	3-54
3.7.5	B2: Multi-Corridor BRT Alternative.....	3-55
3.7.6	Possible Future Changes to I-5 by WSDOT	3-56
4	DETAILED DEFINITION OF LEVEL 2 ALTERNATIVES	4-1
4.1	No Build Alternative	4-1
4.1.1	Transportation Facilities	4-1

4.1.2	Bus Service Plan.....	4-2
4.2	TSM/Baseline Alternative.....	4-2
4.2.1	Facility Design.....	4-3
4.2.2	Service Plan.....	4-6
4.3	L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative.....	4-7
4.3.1	Facility Design.....	4-8
4.3.2	Service Plan.....	4-17
4.4	L2: SR 99 Mixed Profile Light Rail Alternative.....	4-18
4.4.1	Facility Design.....	4-21
4.4.2	Alignment Variations.....	4-29
4.4.3	Service Plan.....	4-30
4.5	L3: SR 99 Elevated Light Rail Alternative.....	4-31
4.5.1	Facility Design.....	4-33
4.5.2	Service Plan.....	4-40
4.6	B2: Multi-Corridor Bus Rapid Transit Alternative.....	4-41
4.6.1	Facility Design.....	4-42
4.6.2	Service Plan.....	4-48
4.7	Operation and Maintenance Facilities.....	4-49
5	ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES.....	5-1
5.1	Transportation Effectiveness.....	5-1
5.1.1	Key Findings.....	5-2
5.1.2	Ridership Potential.....	5-4
5.1.3	Ability to Accommodate Demand.....	5-13
5.1.4	Travel Time.....	5-15
5.1.5	Transit Reliability.....	5-25
5.1.6	System-Wide Vehicle Miles Traveled.....	5-27
5.1.7	Transit Service Accessibility.....	5-27
5.2	Land Use and Economic Development Potential.....	5-35
5.2.1	Key Findings.....	5-36
5.2.2	Land Use and Economic Development Compatibility.....	5-37
5.2.3	Transit-Supportive Land Use.....	5-42
5.3	Environmental Performance.....	5-53

5.3.1	Key Findings	5-53
5.3.2	Ecosystems.....	5-56
5.3.3	Water Resources.....	5-57
5.3.4	Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Resources.....	5-60
5.3.5	Historic Resources	5-62
5.3.6	Archaeological Resources.....	5-64
5.3.7	Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions	5-65
5.3.8	Aesthetics	5-66
5.3.9	Noise.....	5-67
5.3.10	Property Acquisitions and Displacements.....	5-70
5.3.11	Transportation	5-72
5.4	Community Equity.....	5-83
5.4.1	Construction Effects.....	5-84
5.4.2	Effects on Environmental Justice Communities	5-85
5.4.3	Transportation Benefits	5-86
5.5	Financial Analysis	5-88
5.5.1	Key Findings	5-88
5.5.2	Capital Costs	5-91
5.5.3	Operating Costs.....	5-93
5.5.4	Financial Feasibility	5-95
5.5.5	Operating Efficiencies	5-95
5.5.6	Risks and Uncertainties.....	5-97
5.6	Consistency with Sound Transit’s Long-Range Vision	5-99
6	PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT AND COORDINATION	6-1
6.1	Overview of Plans	6-1
6.1.1	Public Involvement Plan	6-1
6.1.2	Agency Coordination Plan	6-3
6.2	Early Scoping.....	6-3
6.2.1	Public Notices in the Federal Register and the SEPA Register.....	6-4
6.2.2	Public Early Scoping	6-6
6.2.3	Agency Early Scoping Meeting.....	6-7
6.2.4	Opportunities to Comment	6-7

6.3	Summary of Written Comments Received	6-8
6.3.1	Comments Related to the Preliminary Purpose and Need Statement.....	6-8
6.3.2	Comments Specific to a Mode	6-8
6.3.3	Comments Specific to a Potential Project Alignment or Features.....	6-9
6.4	Online Questionnaire and Additional Public Outreach.....	6-10
6.4.1	Online Questionnaire	6-10
6.4.2	Stakeholder Interviews	6-11
6.4.3	Public Outreach to Minority and Low-Income Populations (“Environmental Justice”)	6-12
6.4.4	Agency Involvement	6-13
6.4.5	Policy Advisory Committee.....	6-13
6.4.6	Interagency Technical Working Group	6-13
6.5	SHARING THE RESULTS OF THE ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS	6-15
7	COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES	7-1
7.1	Introduction	7-1
7.1.1	Transportation Effectiveness in Meeting Mobility, Access, and Capacity Needs	7-4
7.1.2	Equitable Community Impacts and Benefits	7-7
7.1.3	Supportive Land Use and Economic Development Effects.....	7-7
7.1.4	Preservation of a Healthy Environment.....	7-8
7.1.5	Cost and Constructability	7-10
7.1.6	Consistency with Sound Transit’s Long-Range Plan Vision.....	7-11
7.2	Summary Findings.....	7-12
7.2.1	TSM/Baseline Alternative	7-12
7.2.2	L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative	7-12
7.2.3	L2: SR 99 Mixed Profile Light Rail Alternative	7-14
7.2.4	L3: SR 99 Elevated Light Rail Alternative	7-17
7.2.5	B2: Multi-Corridor BRT Alternative.....	7-19
8	CONCLUSIONS AND NEXT STEPS	8-1
8.1	Conclusions and Trade-Offs Among the Alternatives.....	8-1
8.2	Environmental Process and SAFETEA-LU Requirements	8-4
8.3	Next Steps	8-4
9	References	9-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table S-1.	Level 2 Alternatives Evaluation Summary.....	S-36, S-37
Table 3-1.	Initial Concept Development Review Screening Criteria and Measures	3-5
Table 3-2.	Levels 1 and 2 Evaluation Criteria and Measures.....	3-6, 3-7
Table 3-3.	Estimated Existing Daily Transit Trip Pairs from the North Corridor to Various Districts	3-9
Table 3-4.	Initial Concept Review and Screening Summary.....	3-22
Table 3-5.	Recommended Level 1 Alternatives	3-23
Table 3-6.	2030 Transit Ridership Forecasting Model Output Summary.....	3-34
Table 3-7.	Practical Person-Carrying Capacity.....	3-35
Table 3-8.	2030 Transit Peak-Period, Peak-Direction Travel Times (minutes) from Lynnwood to Regional Growth Centers	3-35
Table 3-9.	2030 Transit Peak-Period, Off-Peak Direction Travel Times (minutes) from Lynnwood to Regional Growth Centers.....	3-36
Table 3-10.	2030 Transit Peak-Period, Peak-Direction Travel Times (minutes) from Shoreline to Regional Growth Centers	3-36
Table 3-11.	2030 Transit Peak-Period, Off-Peak Direction Travel Times (minutes) from Shoreline to Regional Growth Centers	3-36
Table 3-12.	Miles of Operation on Non-Exclusive Guideway	3-37
Table 3-13.	Number of At-Grade Signalized Intersections Traversed	3-38
Table 3-14.	Year 2000 Low-Income and Minority Populations within 0.5 Mile of Alignments....	3-38
Table 3-15.	Year 2000 Low-Income and Minority Populations within 0.5 Mile of Station Areas.	3-39
Table 3-16.	Summary of Land Use and Economic Development Measures by Alternative	3-40
Table 3-17.	Summary of Environmental Performance.....	3-41
Table 3-18.	Summary of Transportation Effects.....	3-44
Table 3-19.	Cost Ranges for Level 1 Alternatives	3-46
Table 3-20.	2030 Operation and Maintenance Cost Estimates.....	3-47
Table 3-21.	Level 1 Evaluation Results—Consistency with Long-Range Plans.....	3-48
Table 3-22.	Level 1 Alternatives Evaluation Summary.....	3-50
Table 5-1.	Transit Ridership Forecasting Model Output Summary for 2030	5-6
Table 5-2.	2030 Change in Daily Transit Trips to/from Selected Regional Growth Centers	5-13
Table 5-3.	2030 Maximum Person-Carrying Capacity	5-15

Table 5-4.	Estimated 2030 Transit Peak Period, Peak Direction, Travel Times (minutes) from Lynnwood to Selected Regional Growth Centers	5-18
Table 5-5.	Estimated 2030 Transit Peak Period, Off-Peak Direction, Travel Times (minutes) from Lynnwood to Selected Regional Growth Centers	5-18
Table 5-6.	Estimated 2030 Transit Peak Period, Peak Direction, Travel Times (minutes) from Shoreline to Selected Regional Growth Centers.....	5-19
Table 5-7.	Estimated 2030 Transit Peak Period, Off-Peak Direction, Travel Times (minutes) from Shoreline to Selected Regional Growth Centers.....	5-19
Table 5-8.	Miles of Operation on Non-Exclusive Guideway	5-25
Table 5-9.	Number of At-Grade Signalized Intersections Traversed and Congestion	5-26
Table 5-10.	Year 2030 Highway Performance Measures.....	5-27
Table 5-11.	Service Accessibility	5-29
Table 5-12.	Summary of Consistency with PSRC VISION 2040 and Regional Economic Strategy.....	5-37
Table 5-13.	Summary of Land Use Compatibility by Alternative	5-39
Table 5-14.	General Existing Land Use by Alternative	5-39
Table 5-15.	Existing and Forecasted Station Area Population, Employment, and Housing	5-42
Table 5-16.	Summary of Balanced Mix of Uses by Alternative.....	5-44
Table 5-17.	Summary of Existing Station Area Character for Alternatives	5-45
Table 5-18.	Existing Station Area Character Rating	5-46
Table 5-19.	Summary of Connectivity to Activity Centers within Station Areas for Alternatives	5-47
Table 5-20.	Walk Rating by Activity Center	5-48
Table 5-21.	Transit-Supportive Plans and Policies by Alternative	5-50
Table 5-22.	Transit-Supportive Plans and Policies by Stations	5-51
Table 5-23.	Sections 4(f) and 6(f) Properties within 0.25 Mile of the Four Build Alternative Alignments	5-61
Table 5-24.	Summary of Right-of-Way Needs and Affected Property Types.....	5-71
Table 5-25.	Level 2 Evaluation Results—General Purpose Traffic Operations	5-73
Table 5-26.	Level 2 Evaluation Results—Transit Operations	5-77
Table 5-27.	Level 2 Evaluation Results—Safety.....	5-80
Table 5-28.	Capital Cost Ranges for Level 2 Alternatives.....	5-93
Table 5-29.	Operation and Maintenance Cost Estimates.....	5-94

Table 5-30.	Level 2 Alternative Capital Cost Affordability	5-95
Table 5-31.	2030 Annual O&M Cost per Annual Passenger Mile.....	5-96
Table 5-32.	2030 Cost per Hour of User Benefits	5-96
Table 5-33.	2030 Incremental Cost per New Passenger	5-97
Table 5-34.	Evaluation Results—Consistency with Long-Range Plan.....	5-101
Table 7-1.	Level 2 Alternatives Evaluation Summary.....	7-2, 7-3

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure S-1.	Sound Transit 2 Regional Transit System Plan Map and North Corridor	S-3
Figure S-2.	North Corridor Project Area and Relation to Link Light Rail System	S-5
Figure S-3.	Alternatives Analysis Evaluation Framework.....	S-7
Figure S-4.	Relationship of Purpose and Need to Evaluation Categories and Criteria	S-8
Figure S-5.	Light Rail Concepts.....	S-12
Figure S-6.	BRT Concepts.....	S-12
Figure S-7.	TSM/Baseline Alternative	S-23
Figure S-8.	L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative	S-25
Figure S-9.	L2: SR 99 Mixed Profile Light Rail Alternative.....	S-27
Figure S-10.	4- and 8-Minute System Operating Plans.....	S-29
Figure S-11.	L3: SR 99 Elevated Light Rail Alternative.....	S-31
Figure S-12.	B2: Level 2 Multi-Corridor BRT Alternative.....	S-33
Figure S-13.	Year 2030 Auto and Transit AM Peak Hour Travel Times	S-38
Figure S-14.	Summary of Alternatives Development, Screening, and Evaluation Process	S-50
Figure 1-1.	Sound Transit 2 Regional Transit System Plan Map and North Corridor	1-3
Figure 2-1.	North Corridor Project Area and Relation to Link Light Rail System	2-5
Figure 2-2.	2010 and 2030 Population Density Forecasts – North Corridor.....	2-8
Figure 2-3.	2010 and 2030 Employment Density Forecasts – North Corridor	2-8
Figure 2-4.	VISION 2040 Regional Centers.....	2-10
Figure 2-5.	Travel-Time Reliability for the Everett-to-Seattle Commute via I-5	2-12
Figure 2-6.	Distribution of 2010 Daily Transit Trips to/from North Corridor.....	2-15
Figure 2-7.	Existing Daily Transit Ridership for the North Corridor	2-16
Figure 3-1.	Alternatives Analysis Evaluation Framework.....	3-2

Figure 3-2.	Conceptual Operating Strategy for North Corridor Transit Concepts	3-11
Figure 3-3.	TSM/Baseline Concept	3-13
Figure 3-4.	Light Rail Concepts.....	3-14
Figure 3-5.	BRT Concepts.....	3-15
Figure 3-6.	L1: Level 1 I-5 Light Rail Alternative.....	3-30
Figure 3-7.	L2: Level 1 SR 99 Light Rail Alternative.....	3-31
Figure 3-8.	B1: Level 1 I-5 BRT Alternative	3-32
Figure 3-9.	B2: Level 1 Multi-Corridor BRT Alternative	3-33
Figure 4-1.	Level 2 TSM/Baseline Alternative	4-4
Figure 4-2.	Northgate Area Transit Queue Bypass Improvement.....	4-5
Figure 4-3.	Level 2 L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative.....	4-11
Figure 4-4.	Level 2 L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative Detail – 1 of 4	4-12
Figure 4-5.	Level 2 L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative Detail – 2 of 4	4-13
Figure 4-6.	Level 2 L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative Detail – 3 of 4	4-14
Figure 4-7.	Level 2 L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative Detail – 4 of 4	4-15
Figure 4-8.	L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative – Typical Cross-section of Elevated Rail on East Side of Roadway	4-16
Figure 4-9.	L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative – Typical Cross-section of At-grade Rail on East Side of Roadway	4-16
Figure 4-10.	L1: I-5 Light Rail Alternative – Typical Cross-section of At-grade Rail in Median of Roadway	4-16
Figure 4-11.	4-and 8-Minute System Operating Plans.....	4-21
Figure 4-12.	Level 2 L2: SR 99 Mixed Profile Light Rail Alternative	4-22
Figure 4-13.	Level 2 L2: SR 99 Mixed Profile Light Rail Alternative Detail – 1 of 4	4-23
Figure 4-14.	Level 2 L2: SR 99 Mixed Profile Light Rail Alternative Detail – 2 of 4	4-24
Figure 4-15.	Level 2 L2: SR 99 Mixed Profile Light Rail Alternative Detail – 3 of 4	4-25
Figure 4-16.	Level 2 L2: SR 99 Mixed Profile Light Rail Alternative Detail – 4 of 4	4-26
Figure 4-17.	Existing SR 99 Typical Cross-section.....	4-27
Figure 4-18.	L2: SR 99 Mixed Profile Light Rail Alternative Typical At-grade Mid-block Cross section	4-27
Figure 4-19.	L2: SR 99 Mixed Profile Light Rail Alternative At-grade Cross-section at Intersection with Station	4-27
Figure 4-20.	L3: Level 2 SR 99 Elevated Light Rail Alternative	4-32

Figure 4-21.	L3: Level 2 SR 99 Elevated Light Rail Alternative Detail – 1 of 4	4-34
Figure 4-22.	L3: Level 2 SR 99 Elevated Light Rail Alternative Detail – 2 of 4	4-35
Figure 4-23.	L3: Level 2 SR 99 Elevated Light Rail Alternative Detail – 3 of 4	4-36
Figure 4-24.	L3: Level 2 SR 99 Elevated Light Rail Alternative Detail – 4 of 4	4-37
Figure 4-25.	Existing SR 99 Typical Cross-section.....	4-38
Figure 4-26.	L3: SR 99 Elevated Light Rail Alternative Typical Cross section	4-38
Figure 4-27.	B2: Level 2 Multi-Corridor BRT Alternative.....	4-43
Figure 4-28.	Direct Access Improvements and Northgate BRT Station – 1 of 2	4-46
Figure 4-29.	Direct Access Improvements and Northgate BRT Station – 2 of 2	4-47
Figure 5-1.	2030 Total System Daily Transit Trips and Percent Change for Alternatives Compared to No Build	5-6
Figure 5-2.	2030 Daily Transit Ridership – TSM/Baseline	5-7
Figure 5-3.	2030 Daily Transit Ridership – L1: I-5 Light Rail.....	5-8
Figure 5-4.	2030 Daily Transit Ridership – L2: SR 99 Mixed Profile Light Rail.....	5-9
Figure 5-5.	2030 Daily Transit Ridership – L2: SR 99 North Variation.....	5-10
Figure 5-6.	2030 Daily Ridership – L3: SR 99 Elevated Light Rail	5-11
Figure 5-7.	2030 Daily Transit Ridership – B2: Multi-Corridor BRT	5-12
Figure 5-8.	Estimated 2030 Travel Times from Lynnwood to Northgate	5-16
Figure 5-9.	Estimated 2030 Travel Times from Shoreline to Northgate.....	5-17
Figure 5-10.	2030 Transit Peak Period Travel Times from Lynnwood to University District	5-20
Figure 5-11.	2030 Transit Peak Period Travel Times from Lynnwood to Downtown Seattle	5-21
Figure 5-12.	2030 Peak Period, Peak Direction, Travel Times from Lynnwood to Northgate University District – Transit vs. Automobile.....	5-23
Figure 5-13.	2030 Peak Period, Peak Direction, Travel Times from Lynnwood to Downtown Seattle and SeaTac Airport – Transit vs. Automobile	5-24
Figure 5-14.	15-Minute Pedestrian and Bicycle Travel Sheds at the SR 99/130th Street, SR 99/160th Street, Shoreline Park-and-Ride and SR 99/220th Street Stations.....	5-31
Figure 5-15.	15-Minute Pedestrian and Bicycle Travel Sheds at the I-5/145th Street, I-5/185th Street, Mountlake Terrace and Lynnwood Transit Center Stations	5-32
Figure 5-16.	15-Minute Pedestrian and Bicycle Travel Sheds at 15th Avenue NE, NE 145 th Street/ 15th Avenue NE, NE 175 th Street/15th Avenue NE and Ballinger Way/19 th Avenue NE Stations	5-33
Figure 5-17.	15-Minute Pedestrian and Bicycle Travel Sheds at North 175th Street/Meridian Avenue North and Edmonds Park-and-Ride Stations.....	5-34

Figure 5-18.	General Existing Land Uses.....	5-40
Figure 5-19.	Zoned Mix of Uses by Station Area.....	5-44
Figure 5-20.	Activity Centers.....	5-49
Figure 5-21.	Ecosystems	5-59
Figure 5-22.	Parks and Recreation Facilities in the Project Area	5-63
Figure 5-23.	Screenline V/C Ratios at King/Snohomish County Line	5-74
Figure 5-24.	Intersections Worsened by Level 2 Alternatives – PM Peak Hour	5-75
Figure 5-25.	Construction Effects on Transportation System	5-82
Figure 5-26.	Environmental Justice Communities	5-90
Figure 8-1.	Summary of Alternatives Development, Screening, and Evaluation Process	8-3

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AA	Alternatives Analysis
BAT	business access and transit
BRT	bus rapid transit
CBD	central business district
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CO ₂ e	carbon dioxide equivalent
CWA	Clean Water Act
DAHP	Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
DSTT	Downtown Seattle Transit Tunnel
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
GIS	geographic information system
HCT	high capacity transit
HOV	high-occupancy vehicle
I-5	Interstate 5
ITWG	Interagency Technical Working Group
LOS	level of service
LPA	Locally Preferred Alternative
LWCF	Land and Water Conservation Fund
mph	miles per hour
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
O&M	operation and maintenance
PAC	Policy Advisory Committee
PE	Preliminary Engineering
pphd	passengers per hour per direction
PSRC	Puget Sound Regional Council
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
ROD	Record of Decision
SAFETEA-LU	Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users
SEPA	State Environmental Policy Act
Sound Transit	Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority
SR	State Route
ST2	Sound Transit 2
ST2 Plan	Sound Transit 2 Plan
TOD	transit-oriented development
TSM	transportation systems management
USDOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
v/c	volume to capacity
VHT	vehicle hours traveled
VMT	vehicle miles traveled
WDFW	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
WRIA	Water Resource Inventory Area
WSDOT	Washington State Department of Transportation