

# West Seattle and Ballard Link Extensions

**Elected Leadership Group Meeting | April 26, 2019** 



- > Welcome
- > Public Comment
- Community engagement, equity and inclusion
- Level 3 alternatives, results, mix-and-match
- > EIS Scoping feedback
- Level 3 recommendations
- Next steps

#### WHO IS SOUND TRANSIT

We plan, build and operate regional transit systems and services to improve mobility in urban areas of King, Pierce and Snohomish counties.

#### FUTURE SERVICE

#### Sound Transit System Expansion y

- build a 116-mile light rail network extending from Everett to Tacoma, and from Seattle neighborhoods to Redmand and Inc.
- Establish Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) to the north, east and south of Lake Washington
- Expand Sounder south line capacity and service.
   adding two new stations
  - prove access whing at station

# Community engagement, equity and inclusion

ed Tassit & power of the Secretary of the Washington San Indexed edited and the Secretary of the Washington San artimet of Thomps of secretarian

recovery like system plan is paid for with a combination of voterapproved local taxes, federal gazats, farebor: revenues, homework linds and historet revenues. By 2026, system operating costs will be paid for with local taxes, fuebor revenues, interest annings, poisse sources and federal operating assistance.

SOUNDTRANSIT

#### Community engagement and collaboration



#### External Engagement Report

During Alternatives Development Phase: Jan 2018 – March 2019




### What is EIS Scoping?

- Part of federal and state environmental review process
- 45-day *public comment period* Feb. 15 to April 2
- Requested public *feedback on scope of EIS*
  - Range of alternatives
  - Purpose and need
  - Topics to study

• Informs Board identification on what to study in EIS\*

\* Scope of EIS also subject to Federal Transit Administration (FTA) oversight



### SOUNDTRANSIT West Seattle and Ballard Link Extensions




Welcome! Please sign in to our online open house

## **Overview**

- Scoping: Feb. 15 to April 2
- Notification via postcards, advertisements, project website, email updates, press releases, social media, agency invitation letters, etc.
- 3 public meetings in Feb/March
- Online open house
- 1 agency meeting
- Other methods to comment





## Highlights

- 475 people attended three public meetings
- 11,700+ unique visitors viewed the online open house
- 6 agencies participated in agency scoping meeting
- 2,700+ total comments received via meetings and other methods
- All comments will be captured in Scoping Summary Report



# **Types of Input**

- General comments i.e., build it faster, plan for 100-year investment, etc.
- Feedback regarding alignments and stations
- EIS topics for evaluation i.e., construction, environmental justice, neighborhood/ community impacts, TOD/housing
- Concerns regarding property impacts
- Comments regarding third party funding

#### **Equity & Inclusion**

- Sound Transit & City of Seattle partnership utilizing the Racial Equity Toolkit (RET)
- Working group structure focused on engagement and data analysis
- Collaboration to elevate issues and considerations to better inform the alternatives development process
- Strive to provide information that data alone cannot provide
- What's next?: Report back to community members and continue engagement through EIS process and EJ analysis

Racial Equity Toolkit to Assess Policies, Initiatives, Programs, and Budget Issues

The vision of the Seattle Race and Social Justice Initiative is to eliminate racial inequity in the community. To do this requires ending individual racism, institutional racism and structural racism. The Racial Equity Toolkit lays out a process and a set of questions to guide the development, implementation and evaluation of policies, initiatives, programs, and budget issues to address the impacts on racial equity.

#### When Do I Use This Toolkit?

Early. Apply the toolkit early for alignment with departmental racial equity goals and desired outcomes.

#### How Do I Use This Toolkit?

With Inclusion. The analysis should be completed by people with different racial perspectives.

Step by step. The Racial Equity Analysis is made up of six steps from beginning to completion:

Step 1. Set Outcomes. Leadership communicates key community outcomes for racial equity to guide analysis.

Step 2. Involve Stakeholders + Analyze Data. Gather information from community and staff on how the issue benefits or burdens the community in terms of racial equity.

Step 3. Determine Benefit and/or Burden. Analyze issue for impacts and alignment with racial equity outcomes.

Step 4. Advance Opportunity or Minimize Harm. Develop strategies to create greater racial equity or minimize unintended consequences.

> Step 5. Evaluate. Raise Racial Awareness. Be Accountable. Track impacts on communities of color overtime. Continue to communicate with and involve stakeholders. Document unresolved issues.

#### Step 6. Report Back

Share information learned from analysis and unresolved issue with Department Leadership and Change Team.

#### Mayor Durkan Executive Order

An Executive Order affirming the City of Seattle's commitment to the Race and Social Justice Initiative (RSJI)

The RSJI vision is to achieve racial equity in the community and the mission is to end institutional and structural racism in City government, promoting inclusion and full participation of all residents, and partnering with the community to achieve racial equity across Seattle


The Racial Equity Toolkit is guided by the Office of Civil Rights whose vision is "A City of Liberated People where Communities Historically Impacted by Racism, Oppression, and Colonization Hold Power and Thrive"

## Racial Equity Toolkit Level 1 Evaluation

Data analysis showed areas of focus; Chinatown/ID and Delridge
 Determined shared outcomes
 Updated screening criteria

Figure: Concentrated communities of color (non-white population)

*Source:* 2011-2016 American Community Survey, projected in ArcGIS Online *WSBLE Community Conditions Basemap* 



## Racial Equity Toolkit Level 2 Evaluation

- Measured connections, potential impacts and opportunities
- Gathered and shared community input



#### Level 2 RET Memo

September 2018



#### **Racial Equity Toolkit**

Today: Sharing findings of Level 3 data analysis and community engagement

#### > Shared outcomes



*Enhance mobility and access* for communities of color and low-income populations;



Create **opportunities for equitable development** that benefit communities of color;



Avoid disproportionate impacts on communities of color and low-income populations; and




*Meaningfully involve* communities of color and lowincome populations in the project. ITCHINI SEATTLEAT

# Alternatives evaluation and screening

### New approach to project development

#### ST2 process





#### What is a preferred alternative?

- SEPA / NEPA term
- Makes clear to the public where the project is headed
- "Preference" among alternatives to be considered
- Not a final decision or obligation the ST Board has choices
- Board will also identify other DEIS alternatives in coordination with FTA

#### Screening process

Broad range of initial alternatives

Level 2

Level 1

Level 3

Refine remaining alternatives

*Further* evaluation



**Preferred Alternative(s) and other EIS alternatives** 

#### Level 3 recommendations

- Interest in *additional scope* items
- Additional scope items require 3<sup>rd</sup> party funding\*
- Potential *recommendations*:
  - Preferred Alternative #1: If 3<sup>rd</sup> party funding *is* secured
  - Preferred Alternative #2: If 3<sup>rd</sup> party funding *not* secured

\* "3rd party funding" refers to potential local contribution/funding partnership(s) to supplement Sound Transit and federal funding 19

#### Level 3 recommendations

**Preferred Alternative(s)** recommended for further study in DEIS informed by:

- Technical evaluation results
- **Public feedback** gathered during scoping period and documented throughout the year

Racial Equity Toolkit, including findings from evaluation results and community input

ITCHINI SEATTLEAT

# Level 3 alternatives

## Summary of Level 3 alternatives

**ST3 Representative Project** 

- West Seattle Elevated/ C-ID 5th Ave/ Downtown 6th Ave/ Ballard Elevated
  - C-ID station options: 5th Ave Cut-and-Cover and 5th Ave Mined
- West Seattle Tunnel/ C-ID 4th Ave/ Downtown 5th Ave/ Ballard Tunnel
  - Junction station options: 41st Ave, 42nd Ave and 44th Ave
  - C-ID station options: 4th Ave Cut-and-Cover and 4th Ave Mined
  - Ballard station options: 14th Ave and 15th Ave



#### ST3 Representative Project

# Summary of Level 3 alternatives

> ST3 Representative Project

West Seattle Elevated/ C-ID 5th Ave/ Downtown 6th Ave/ Ballard Elevated

- C-ID station options: 5th Ave Cut-and-Cover and 5th Ave Mined
- West Seattle Tunnel/ C-ID 4th Ave/ Downtown 5th Ave/ Ballard Tunnel
  - Junction station options: 41st Ave, 42nd Ave and 44th Ave
  - C-ID station options: 4th Ave Cut-and-Cover and 4th Ave Mined
  - Ballard station options: 14th Ave and 15th Ave




#### West Seattle Elevated/ C-ID 5th Ave/ Downtown 6th Ave/ Ballard Elevated

# Summary of Level 3 alternatives

- > ST3 Representative Project
- West Seattle Elevated/ C-ID 5th Ave/ Downtown 6th Ave/ Ballard Elevated
  - C-ID station options: 5th Ave Cut-and-Cover and 5th Ave Mined

West Seattle Tunnel/ C-ID 4th Ave/ Downtown 5th Ave/ Ballard Tunnel

- Junction station options: 41st Ave, 42nd Ave and 44th Ave
- C-ID station options: 4th Ave Cut-and-Cover and 4th Ave Mined
- Ballard station options: 14th Ave and 15th Ave



#### West Seattle Tunnel/ C-ID 4th Ave/ Downtown 5th Ave/ Ballard Tunnel

TOWN SEATTLEAT

# Summary of Level 3 results

### **Preliminary Purpose and Need**

Purpose Statement	Symbol
Provide high quality rapid, reliable, and efficient peak and off-peak LRT service to communities in the project corridors as defined in ST3.	<u>Â</u> ;
Improve regional mobility by increasing connectivity and capacity through downtown Seattle to meet the projected transit demand.	б
Connect regional centers as described in adopted regional and local land use, transportation, and economic development plans and Sound Transit's <i>Regional Transit Long-Range Plan</i> .	$\mathbf{O}$
Implement a system that is consistent with the <i>ST3 Plan</i> that established transit mode, corridor, and station locations and that is technically feasible and financially sustainable to build, operate, and maintain.	<b>\$</b>
Expand mobility for the corridor and region's residents, which include transit dependent, low income, and minority populations.	
Encourage equitable and sustainable urban growth in station areas through support of transit-oriented development, station access, and modal integration in a manner that is consistent with local land use plans and policies.	
Preserve and promote a healthy environment and economy by minimizing adverse impacts on the natural, built and social environments through sustainable practices.	Q

#### **Evaluation Criteria**

#### > 17 criteria consistent in all levels of evaluation

- Reliable service
- Travel times
- Regional connectivity
- Transit capacity
- Projected transit demand
- Regional centers served
- ST Long-Range Plan consistency
- ST3 consistency
- Technical feasibility

- Financial sustainability
- Historically underserved populations
- Station area land use plan consistency
- Modal integration
- Station area development opportunities
- Environmental effects
- Traffic operations
- Economic effects

#### **Measures and Methods**

- > 50+ quantitative and/or qualitative measures
- > Ratings for Lower, Medium and Higher performing
- > Key differentiators and considerations among alternatives
- > Findings focus on key decisions along corridor




#### **Comparative estimates**

> **Purpose:** To *inform comparison* of Level 3 alternatives

- > Comparative estimates for end-to-end alternatives
  - Consistent methodology (2018\$; construction, real estate, etc.)
  - Based on limited conceptual design (less than 5% design)
  - Does not establish project budget
- Project budget established during final design (~ 2024)

### **Project budget**



More design/greater certainty

	ST3 Representative	West Seattle Elevated/C-II	West Seattle Tunnel/C-ID 4th Ave/Downtown 5th Ave/Ballard Tunnel							
- I .:		Ave/Ballard Elevated								
Evaluation Measures	Ductors	5th Ave Cut-and-Cover	5th Ave Mined	41st Ave Alaska	42nd Ave Alaska Junction	44th Ave Alaska Junction	4th Ave Mined	15th Ave Ballard		
	Project	International District/	International District/	Junction/4th Ave Cut-and-	Station	Station	International District/	Station		
Drouido high quality rapid rolights and offici	ont neak and off neak	Chinatown Station	Chinatown Station	Cover/14th Ave Ballard			Chinatown Station			
	e, and efficient peak and off-peak light rail transit service to communities in the project corridors defined in ST3.									
At-grade crossings	3					1		14 II		
Potential service interruptions/recoverability	Lower	Medium		Medium			Lower	Medium		
Improve regional mobility by increasing connectivity and capacity through downtown Seattle to meet projected transit demand.										
Network Integration	Lower	Medium		Higher			Medium	Higher		
	onnect regional centers as described in adopted regional and local land use, transportation, and economic development plans and Sound Transit's Regional Transit Long-Range Plan.									
Accommodates future LRT extension	Lower	Med		Higher Medium			Higher			
Implement a system that is consistent with the ST3 Plan that established transit mode, corridor, and station locations and that is technically feasible and financially sustainable to build, operate, and maintain.										
Potential ST3 schedule effects	Higher	Higher	Medium			Lower				
Potential ST3 operating plan effects	Lower	Higl	ner	Higher			Medium	Higher		
Engineering constraints	Lower	Medium		Lower						
Constructability issues	Lower	Medium		Lower						
Operational constraints	Lower	Medium	Lower		Higher		Lower	Higher		
Capital cost comparison (2018\$)	-	+\$400M	+\$500M	+\$1,900M			+\$2,100M	+\$1,900M		
Encourage equitable and sustainable whan growth in station areas through support of transit-oriented development, station access, and modal integration in a manner that is consistent with local land use plans and policies.										
Passenger transfers	Higher	Higher	Medium		Higher		Medium	Higher		
Equitable development opportunities	Lower	Medium		Higher						
Preserve and promote a healthy environment and economy by minimizing adverse impacts on the natural, built and social environments through sustainable practices.										
Parks/recreational resource effects (acres)	1.4	5.	3	5.7		5.7				
Water resource effects (acres)	0.8	0.5			<0.1					
Fish and wildlife habitat effects (acres)	15.0	6.0		15.0						
Hazardous materials sites (1)	50	60		40						
Visual effects to sensitive viewers (miles)	2.5	1.7		1.2						
Potentially affected properties	Medium	Lower		Higher						
Residential unit displacements	Medium	Lower		Higher						
Business displacements (square feet)	Higher	Lower		Higher						
Construction impacts	Lower	Lower	Medium		Medium		Lower	Medium		
Burden on minority/low-income	Lower	Medium		Lower						
Traffic circulation and access effects	Lower	Medium		Higher						
Effects on transportation facilities	Lower	Medium		Medium						
Effects on freight movement	Lower	Med	ium	Medium						

(1) On properties that overlap with the project footprint

#### Level 3 Evaluation Summary of Key Differentiators

Lower Performing Medium Performing

Higher Performing


TOWN SEATTLEAS

# Potential mix-andmatch opportunities



#### **Potential Mix-and-Match Opportunities**






#### West Seattle

Key Differentiators	Elevated station at 41 <sup>st</sup> / Alaska (east-west orientation)	Elevated station at 41 <sup>st</sup> / Alaska (north-south orientation)	Tunnel station at 41 <sup>st</sup> / Alaska	Tunnel station at 42 <sup>nd/</sup> Alaska	Tunnel station at 44 <sup>th</sup> / Alaska
Station location (Alaska Junction)	Farther away from bus routes on California Ave East-west oriented elevated Alaska Junction Station complicates future LRT extension Constrained terminal station on SW Alaska Street	Farther away from bus routes on California Ave North-south oriented elevated Alaska Junction Station accommodates future LRT extension	Farther away from bus routes on California Ave North-south oriented elevated Alaska Junction Station accommodates future LRT extension	Farther away from bus routes on California Ave North-south oriented elevated Alaska Junction Station accommodates future LRT extension	Closer to bus routes on California Ave than 41 <sup>st</sup> and 42 <sup>nd</sup> North-south oriented tunnel Alaska Junction Station accommodates future LRT extension but less direct than 41st or 42nd Ave SW
Potential property effects (residential)	Fewer residential effects between Alaska Junction and Avalon stations (generally within public ROW); similar number of residential effects in Delridge	More residential effects between Alaska Junction and Avalon stations (outside public ROW); similar number of residential effects in Delridge	Fewer residential effects with tunnel Alaska Junction Station; similar number of residential effects in Delridge	Fewer residential effects with tunnel Alaska Junction Station; similar number of residential effects in Delridge	Fewer residential effects with tunnel Alaska Junction Station; similar number of residential effects in Delridge
Potential property effects (business)	Potential business effects along Fauntleroy Way SW and SW Alaska Street	Fewer business effects since not along Fauntleroy Way SW	Fewer business effects with tunnel Alaska Junction Station	Fewer business effects with tunnel Alaska Junction Station	Fewer business effects with tunnel Alaska Junction Station
Guideway height along Genesee and in Delridge	Higher	Higher	Lower Greatest effect to West Seattle Golf Course	Lower Greatest effect to West Seattle Golf Course	Lower Greatest effect to West Seattle Golf Course
Comparative estimates (2018\$)	-	Similar*	+ \$700M*	+ \$700M*	+ \$700M*

\*Compared to ST3 Representative Project

West Seattle Key Differentiators




# **Duwamish Crossing**

Key Differentiators	South crossing	South crossing	North crossing
Engineering constraints	Affects Pigeon Point steep slope	Affects Pigeon Point steep slope	Avoids Pigeon Point steep slope
Parks, fish and wildlife	Affects West Duwamish Greenbelt; may require 4(f) avoidance alternative	Affects West Duwamish Greenbelt; may require 4(f) avoidance alternative	Avoids West Duwamish Greenbelt
Potential property effects (business displacements)	Similar Similar		Similar
Freight movement	Lessens freight and port terminal effects	Lessens freight and port terminal effects	Affects freight, port terminal especially during construction
Business and commerce effects Could displace businesses that support trade		Could displace businesses that support trade	Could displace businesses that support trade; May displace some water- dependent business
Comparative estimates (2018\$)	-	Similar*	+ \$300M*

\*Compared to ST3 Representative Project

# **Duwamish Crossing Key Differentiators**



## SODO and Chinatown-ID

Key Differentiators	ST3 Representative Project	5th Ave Shallow Station	5th Ave Deep Station	4th Ave Shallow Station	4th Ave Deep Station
Ease of station access/transfers	5 <sup>th</sup> Ave station location and depth more convenient for passenger access and transfers	5 <sup>th</sup> Ave station location and depth more convenient for passenger access and transfers	5 <sup>th</sup> Ave deep mined station less convenient for passenger access and transfers	4 <sup>th</sup> Ave station cut-and- cover station more convenient for passenger access and transfers; location more constrained for pick-up/drop-off	4 <sup>th</sup> Ave deep mined station less convenient for passenger access and transfers; location more constrained for pick-up/ drop- off
Construction effects in C-ID	Most construction impacts	More construction impacts	Least construction impact	More construction traffic impacts	Most construction traffic impacts
Potential property effects	Property effects along 5 <sup>th</sup> Ave in C-ID; affects Ryerson Base	Property effects in SODO at tunnel portal and 5 <sup>th</sup> Ave in C-ID; affects future Central Base expansion	Property effects in SODO at tunnel portal and 5 <sup>th</sup> Ave in C-ID; affects future Central Base expansion	Property effects on 4th Ave; affects Ryerson Base	Property effects on 4 <sup>th</sup> Ave; displaces Ryerson Base
Construction schedule	Meets ST3 schedule	Meets ST3 schedule	Higher schedule risk with deep mined station construction	Potential schedule delay due to 4 <sup>th</sup> Ave viaduct rebuild	Potential schedule delay due to 4 <sup>th</sup> Ave viaduct rebuild
LRT operations	Does not facilitate track interconnections	Facilitates track interconnections	Facilitates track interconnections but deep mined station limits operational flexibility	Facilitates track interconnections	Facilitates track interconnections but deep mined station limits operational flexibility
Comparative estimates (2018\$)	-	- \$200M*	-	+ \$300M*	+ \$500M**

\*Compared to ST3 Representative Project

\*\*Includes higher Downtown cost of \$100M

# SODO and Chinatown-ID Key Differentiators




#### **Downtown**

Key Differentiators	5th/ 6th/ Republican	6th/ Mercer	5th/ Harrison/ Republican
Midtown Station	More station entrance options	Limited station entrance options	More station entrance options
South Lake Union Station	Conflicts with SR 99 off-ramp and large sewer on Republican	Higher ROW cost for off-street station	Higher ridership potential due to better pedestrian and bus access
Seattle Center Station	Constrained ROW on Republican	Wider ROW on Mercer	Constrained ROW on Republican
North tunnel portal	More neighborhood property effects	Located in poor soil conditions	Affects SW Queen Anne Greenbelt in landslide area
Comparative estimates (2018\$)	-	+ \$400M*	Similar*

\*Compared to ST3 Representative Project

# **Downtown Key Differentiators**





#### Smith Cove

Key Differentiators	Station at Prospect St (within roadway) Station at Galer St		Station at Prospect St (outside roadway)	
	Station spans over Elliott Ave	Station west of Elliott Ave	Station east of Elliott Ave	
Station location	Access to Expedia via Helix pedestrian bridge	Access to Expedia via Galer St overpass	Access to Expedia via Helix pedestrian bridge	
Engineering	Guideway generally in Elliott/15th roadway	Station and guideway in poor soils	Station and guideway in landslide hazard areas	
constraints	Portion of guideway in landslide hazard areas	Affects Interbay Pump Station and portion of existing bridge		
Parks, fish & wildlife         Affects SW Queen Anne Greenbelt         Avoids SW Queen Anne G		Avoids SW Queen Anne Greenbelt	Most effects to SW Queen Anne Greenbelt	
Potential property effects	Fewer property effects (generally within public ROW)	Greater property effects (business displacement outside public ROW)	Greater property effects (business displacement outside public ROW)	
Comparative estimates (2018\$)	-	+ \$100M*	+ \$200M*	

\*Compared to ST3 Representative Project

# Smith Cove Key Differentiators




# Salmon Bay and Ballard Station

Key Differentiators	Movable bridge crossing / Elevated station at 15th	Fixed bridge crossing / Elevated station at 14th	Tunnel crossing / Tunnel station at 14th	Tunnel crossing / Tunnel station at 15th
Station location	South of Market St Similar ridership, closer to center of urban village	Straddles Market St Similar ridership, potentially better bus integration	Straddles Market St Similar ridership, potentially better bus integration	South of Market St Similar ridership, closer to center of urban village
Water resources	Most permanent in-water effects	Permanent in-water effects but fewer than movable bridge	Avoids permanent in-water effects	Avoids in-water effects
Business and commerce	Most effects to Fishermen's Terminal and navigation	Avoids Fishermen's Terminal but has other maritime, freight business effects	Avoids maritime business effects	Avoids maritime business effects
Potential property effects	Greater property effects south and north of Salmon Bay (elevated guideway outside public ROW)	Greater property effects south of Salmon Bay (elevated guideway outside public ROW)	Fewer property effects in Ballard (cut-and-cover station in 14th Ave ROW)	Greater property effects in Ballard (cut-and-cover station outside public ROW)
Comparative estimates (2018\$)	-	+ \$100M*	+ \$350M*	+ \$350M*

\*Compared to ST3 Representative Project


# Salmon Bay and Ballard Station Key Differentiators




# **Potential Mix-and-Match Opportunities**

TOUR SEATTLEAT


# EIS Scoping feedback – Common Themes




# Scoping Feedback West Seattle/Duwamish




# Scoping Feedback SODO



# Scoping Feedback C-ID Station



# Scoping Feedback Downtown



# Scoping Feedback Interbay/Ballard

# Equity & inclusion

# Racial Equity Toolkit Level 3 Scope

# **Chinatown / ID Station**

- Limit harmful impacts,
- Maximize connections for all users, and
- 100-year vision for the station. **Delridge Station** 
  - Bus-rail integration; and
  - Equitable transit-oriented development serving the community.



# Racial Equity Toolkit Forums

- Briefings/Meetings/Events
- Listening Sessions
- Door-to-door outreach with community liaisons
- Community workshops
- Open houses & neighborhood forums
- Social service provider and community organization interviews




# Level 3 RET findings Chinatown-ID Station

Based on the Level 3 evaluation results and community feedback, it is unclear which alternative(s) would pose the greatest net benefit for the unique, multicultural communities that live and work in the surrounding south downtown neighborhoods.

*Figure 1:* Communities of Color in ½ mile catchment of C/ID and South Downtown

**Source:** 2011-2016 American Community Survey, projected in ArcGIS Online WSBLE Community Conditions Basemap



# Level 3 RET findings Delridge Station

Based on the Level 3 evaluation results and community feedback, the Delridge Station included as part of the ST3 Representative project offers the fewest net benefits to communities of color and low-income communities, in terms of busrail integration and opportunities to support equitable TOD.

*Figure 2:* Communities of color beyond 1/2-mile catchment of Delridge and Avalon Stations and major bus routes

**Source:** 2011-2016 American Community Survey, projected in ArcGIS Online WSBLE Community Conditions Basemap)



# Level 3 SAG recommendations


Segment	ST3 Representative Project	West Seattle Elevated/ C-ID 5 <sup>th</sup> /Downtown 6 <sup>th</sup> / Ballard Elevated	West Seattle Tunnel/ C-ID 4 <sup>th</sup> /Downtown 5 <sup>th</sup> / Ballard Tunnel
West Seattle (Junction/ Delridge)	41 <sup>st</sup> elevated station (east-west oriented) / N of Andover station	41 <sup>st</sup> elevated station (north-south oriented)/ S of Andover station	41 <sup>st</sup> , 42 <sup>nd</sup> , or 44 <sup>th</sup> tunnel station / N of Genesee station
Duwamish crossing	South crossing	South crossing	North crossing
SODO/ CID	E3 elevated / 5 <sup>th</sup> shallow station	E3 at grade / 5 <sup>th</sup> shallow or deep station	E3 at grade / 4 <sup>th</sup> shallow or deep station
Downtown	5 <sup>th</sup> and Republican	6 <sup>th</sup> and Mercer	5 <sup>th</sup> and Harrison
Smith Cove	Prospect St station	Galer St station	Prospect St station
Interbay/ Ballard Movable bridge with 15 <sup>th</sup> elevated station		High fixed bridge with 14 <sup>th</sup> elevated station	Tunnel with 14 <sup>th</sup> or 15 <sup>th</sup> tunnel station

# Summary of Level 3 alternatives

Segment	ST3 Representative Project	West Seattle Elevated/ C-ID 5 <sup>th</sup> /Downtown 6 <sup>th</sup> / Ballard Elevated	West Seattle Tunnel/ C-ID 4 <sup>th</sup> /Downtown 5 <sup>th</sup> / Ballard Tunnel	Scoping feedback
West Seattle (Junction/ Delridge)	41 <sup>st</sup> elevated station (east-west oriented) / N of Andover station	41 <sup>st</sup> elevated station (north-south oriented)/ S of Andover station	41 <sup>st</sup> , 42 <sup>nd</sup> , or 44 <sup>th</sup> tunnel station / N of Genesee station	Pigeon Ridge Tunnel or Yancy/Andover
Duwamish crossing	South crossing	South crossing	North crossing	Pigeon Ridge Tunnel alignment
SODO/ CID	E3 elevated / 5 <sup>th</sup> shallow station	E3 at grade / 5 <sup>th</sup> shallow or deep station	E3 at grade / 4 <sup>th</sup> shallow or deep station	Elevate new and existing SODO station
Downtown	5 <sup>th</sup> and Republican	6 <sup>th</sup> and Mercer	5 <sup>th</sup> and Harrison	NA
Smith Cove	Prospect St station	Galer St station	Prospect St station	NA
Interbay/ Ballard	Movable bridge with 15 <sup>th</sup> elevated station	High fixed bridge with 14 <sup>th</sup> elevated station	Tunnel with 14 <sup>th</sup> or 15 <sup>th</sup> tunnel station	Tunnel with 20 <sup>th</sup> tunnel station

Segment	SAG recommendation		Notes
West Seattle (Junction)	41 <sup>st</sup> or 42 <sup>nd</sup> tunnel station		
West Seattle (Delridge)	N of Genesee station Pigeon Ridge Tunnel (former purple line)		
Duwamish crossing	South crossing		
SODO/ CID			Majority interest in studying all options
Downtown	5 <sup>th</sup> and Harrison		
Smith Cove	Prospect St station		
Interbay/ Ballard	Tunnel with 15th tunnelTunnel with 20th tunnelstationstation		









BNSF th Ave S th Ave S th Ave S th Ave S the West Skottle Building County Mattice Search Sound Trainst D-pearlio & B Mattermeneration by En Jacoma Done.

# SAG Recommendation: If 3<sup>rd</sup> party funding is secured

Majority interest in studying all options





# SAG Level 3 Recommendation Discussion If 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Funding Is Secured Interbay/ Ballard

- General support for tunnel crossing Salmon Bay and Ballard tunnel station serving the Ballard urban village
- Some interest in engaging and understanding tradeoffs for communities east of 15<sup>th</sup> that would be farther from the 20<sup>th</sup> station



# SAG Level 3 Recommendation Discussion If 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Funding Is Secured SODO and C-ID

- Interest in continuing to study all options in C-ID
- Interest in thorough study of traffic impacts in the EIS process for 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue C-ID station alternatives
- Concerns about impacts to the E-3 Busway and Ryerson base
- Importance of seamless transfers for both C-ID and SODO Stations



# SAG Level 3 Recommendation Discussion If 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Funding Is Secured West Seattle

Support for Delridge station locations that are farther south



Segment	ST3 Representative Project	West Seattle Elevated/ C-ID 5 <sup>th</sup> /Downtown 6 <sup>th</sup> / Ballard Elevated	West Seattle Tunnel/ C-ID 4 <sup>th</sup> /Downtown 5 <sup>th</sup> / Ballard Tunnel	Scoping feedback
West Seattle (Junction/ Delridge)	41 <sup>st</sup> elevated station (east-west oriented) / N of Andover station	41 <sup>st</sup> elevated station (north-south oriented)/ S of Andover station	41 <sup>st</sup> , 42 <sup>nd</sup> , or 44 <sup>th</sup> tunnel station / N of Genesee station	Pigeon Ridge Tunnel or Yancy/Andover
Duwamish crossing	South crossing	South crossing	North crossing	Pigeon Ridge Tunnel alignment
SODO/ CID	E3 elevated / 5 <sup>th</sup> shallow station	E3 at grade / 5 <sup>th</sup> shallow or deep station	E3 at grade / 4 <sup>th</sup> shallow or deep station	Elevate new and existing SODO station
Downtown	5 <sup>th</sup> and Republican	6 <sup>th</sup> and Mercer	5 <sup>th</sup> and Harrison	NA
Smith Cove	Prospect St station	Galer St station	Prospect St station	NA
Interbay/ Ballard	Movable bridge with 15 <sup>th</sup> elevated station	High fixed bridge with 14 <sup>th</sup> elevated station	Tunnel with 14 <sup>th</sup> or 15 <sup>th</sup> tunnel station	Tunnel with 20 <sup>th</sup> tunnel station

## **Discussion 2:** If 3<sup>rd</sup> party funding not secured<sub>3</sub>

Segment	SAG recommendation		Notes
West Seattle (Junction)	Elevated station with refinements	41 <sup>st</sup> or 42 <sup>nd</sup> tunnel station with consolidated Alaska and Avalon	
West Seattle (Delridge)	N of Genesee station		
Duwamish crossing	South crossing		
SODO/ CID			Majority interest in studying all options
Downtown	5 <sup>th</sup> and Harrison		
Smith Cove	Prospect St station	Prospect St station	
Interbay/ Ballard			No support for movable bridge









BNSF th Ave S To West Seattle Bh Ave S Sound Transit Operation & Maintermomentality Bit Ave S Sound Transit Operation & Distance Held Sound Transit Distance Held 

#### SAG Recommendation: If 3<sup>rd</sup> party funding not secured

Majority interest in studying all options





# SAG Level 3 Recommendation Discussion If 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Funding Not Secured West Seattle

- Interest in a modified ST3 Representative Project Alaska Junction station, oriented North/South staying east of the Alaska Junction (either on Fauntleroy or in the vicinity of Jefferson Square) with blue Delridge station location
- No support for the orange Alaska Junction Station location
- Interest in studying the blue alternative with consolidated Alaska Junction and Avalon Stations
- Some concerns about high guideway through Delridge



## SAG Level 3 Recommendation Discussion If 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Funding Not Secured SODO and C-ID

- Concerns about impacts to the E-3 Busway and Ryerson base
- Majority support to keep all the options on the table to be responsive to community input. The C-ID's voice has not traditionally been listened to with respect to infrastructure projects. Comparative estimates are based on very preliminary design and should not limit alternatives to study in the C-ID.
- Some concerns about additional costs associated with 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue alternatives and interest in 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue Shallow station (brown) if third party funding is not secured.



# SAG Level 3 Recommendation Discussion If 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Funding Not Secured Interbay/ Ballard

- Concerns about potential traffic effects on Elliott/15<sup>th</sup> Avenue of green Smith Cove station location and interest in good access to that station
- No support for movable bridge across Salmon Bay
- Mixed opinions, with interest in studying the fixed bridge (brown) and the tunnel (blue)



## SAG Level 3 Recommendation Discussion

#### **General Feedback**

- Some concerns about extent of 3rd party funding and whether there are other needs for that funding, such as improving mobility in the city
- Some concerns about identifying a preferred alternative at this stage to allow for comprehensive study in the EIS
- Comments about adding new alternatives into the process that have not yet been studied or vetted through public engagement




# ELG discussion

# Next steps

# **Key Meetings and Decisions**

	ELG Meeting #8	Apr 26	Level 3 recommendations
	Sound Transit Board System Expansion Committee	May 9	Identify Preferred Alternative (and other EIS alternatives)
	Sound Transit Board Full Board	May 23	Identify Preferred Alternative (and other EIS alternatives)

## **Environmental Review Phase**



## **Environmental Review**



# **Topics for engagement** Including, but not limited to:

- Report back on alternatives development process
- EIS process and what to expect
- Environmental justice
- Station planning
- Potential property impacts
- DEIS evaluation results



# Ways to engage Forums

Community briefings and meetings Listening sessions Door-to-door outreach Community workshops V Public meetings Fairs and festivals Online



# soundtransit.org/wsblink >>

