

EAST LINK EXTENSION 2018 SEPA Addendum









Redmond Technology Station Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge A Project Refinement

2018 State Environmental Policy Act Addendum

to the

2011 East Link Extension

Final Environmental Impact Statement

Prepared Pursuant to the Washington State Environmental Policy Act

Chapter 43.21C RCW and WAC 197-11-625

June 2018



(Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority)



June 15, 2018

Dear Recipient:

Sound Transit has prepared this State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) Addendum for the East Link Extension Project, which is a light rail extension from Seattle to Mercer Island, Bellevue, and Redmond. This Addendum adds analysis and information to the East Link Extension Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) issued in July 15, 2011 and subsequent addenda.

This Addendum describes proposed design refinements and evaluates potential environmental impacts resulting from refining the Redmond Technology Station's pedestrian/bicycle bridge, which spans State Route 520 freeway. The refinement includes a wider bridge and a different landing location on 156th Avenue NE.

Based on this evaluation, Sound Transit has determined that the potential refinements to the project would not substantially change the analysis of significant impacts and alternatives in the FEIS and would not result in new probable significant environmental impacts. Accordingly, no supplemental EIS is required.

Copies of the Addendum are available for review at Sound Transit headquarters at Union Station, the Redmond Library, and on the Sound Transit website at www.soundtransit.org/About-Sound-Transit/Environment-and-sustainability/Environmental-planningdocuments. For further information about this Addendum or to request a CD copy please contact Elma Borbe, Environmental Planner, 401 S. Jackson St., Seattle WA 98104-2826, or 206.398.5000 TTY: 206.398.5410.

Sincerely,

Elma Borbe

Environmental Planner Environmental Affairs and Sustainability

CHAIR Dave Somers Snohomish County Executive

VICE CHAIRS

Ron Lucas Steilacoom Mayor

John Marchione Redmond Mayor

BOARD MEMBERS

Nancy Backus Auburn Mayor

David Baker Kenmore Mayor

Claudia Balducci King County Councilmember

Dow Constantine *King County Executive*

Bruce Dammeier Pierce County Executive

> Jenny Durkan Seattle Mayor

> Dave Earling Edmonds Mayor

Rob Johnson Seattle Councilmember

Kent Keel University Place Mayor

Joe McDermott King County Council Chair

Roger Millar Washington State Secretary of Transportation

Paul Roberts Everett Council President/ Mayor Pro Tem

Dave Upthegrove King County Councilmember

Peter von Reichbauer King County Councilmember

> Victoria Woodards Tacoma Mayor

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Peter M. Rogoff

Contents

Section	า		Page						
Acrony	yms and	Abbreviations	v						
1.0	Purpose and Findings of this Addendum								
	1.1	Purpose	1-1						
	1.2	Findings	1-2						
2.0	Desc	ription of Redmond Technology Station Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge	2-1						
	2.1	Selected Alternative in the Area of the Bridge	2-1						
	2.2	Microsoft Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge	2-1						
3.0	Changes in Environmental Effects								
	3.1	Transportation	3-2						
		3.1.1 Operations	3-2						
		3.1.2 Construction	3-2						
	3.2	Acquisitions	3-3						
	3.3	Visual and Aesthetic Resources	3-4						
	3.4	Ecosystems	3-8						
	3.5	Historic and Archaeological Resources	3-9						
4.0	Cond	clusion	4-1						
5.0	Refe	rences	5-1						

Appendices

- A Conceptual Plans
- B Construction Phasing
- C Affected Parcels
- D Images of Overpasses within the Assessment Area
- E Archaeological Probability Map

Tables

Table 3-1. Summary of Changes in Potential Impacts from Microsoft Pedestrian Bridge 3-1

Exhibits

Exhibit 2-1. Proposed Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge	. 2-2
Exhibit 3-1a. Existing Condition from SR 520 (Looking North)	
Exhibit 3-1b. Visual Simulation of Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge from SR 520 (Looking North)	
Exhibit 3-2a. Existing Conditions from East Side of SR 520 (Looking South)	. 3-7
Exhibit 3-2b. Visual Simulation of Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge from East Side of SR 520 (Looking South)	

Acronyms and Abbreviations

CD	collector-distributor
DBH	diameter at breast height
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
HOV	high-occupancy vehicle
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
RT Station	Redmond Technology Station
SEPA	State Environmental Policy Act
SR	State Route
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WSDOT	Washington State Department of Transportation

1.0 Purpose and Findings of this Addendum

The East Link Extension will construct and operate an approximately 18-mile light rail line connecting Sound Transit's existing system in downtown Seattle east across Lake Washington via Interstate 90 to Mercer Island, Bellevue, and Redmond. Sound Transit, Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) issued the East Link Project Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act and the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) in July 2011. The Sound Transit Board selected the East Link project to build in July 2011, and FTA and the Federal Highway Administration each issued a Record of Decision in November 2011. Construction for East Link began in April 2016. The East Link Extension from Seattle to the Redmond Technology Station will open for service in 2023.

1.1 Purpose

This SEPA Addendum to the *East Link Light Rail Transit Project Final Environmental Impact Statement* (Final EIS; Sound Transit et al., 2011) analyzes different potential impacts compared to the Final EIS associated with construction of a new 50-foot-wide pedestrian/bicycle bridge across State Route (SR) 520 in the vicinity of NE 36th Street in Redmond, Washington. This evaluation applies to a portion of the Selected Alternative (Preferred Alternative: D2A Alternative) of the East Link Light Rail Transit Extension (East Link Extension¹) in the Overlake neighborhood of Redmond. This bridge has been designed and will be funded by Microsoft Corporation. For the purposes of this Addendum, the bridge is called the Redmond Technology (RT) Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge.

The 2011 Final EIS noted that a pedestrian bridge crossing SR 520 would be considered to connect the RT Station with the Microsoft campus west of SR 520. In 2013, Sound Transit issued an addendum that included a conceptual design of a 12-foot-wide bridge, which affected five new parcels. Since then, the design has been changed to a 50-foot-wide pedestrian/bicycle bridge across the freeway, extending to and landing at a different location on 156th Avenue NE than the 2013 design. The pedestrian bridge is an access enhancement project being funded by the Microsoft Corporation. Because of its proximity and relationship to the East Link project, Sound Transit's East Link contractor will construct the bridge concurrent with construction of the East Link project and RT Station. Pursuant to an agreement between Sound Transit, the City of Redmond, Microsoft, and WSDOT, Sound Transit is preparing the environmental review of the bridge design to support WSDOT design and airspace lease approvals, and to support City of Redmond permitting for the project.

This Addendum provides a description of the proposed pedestrian/bicycle bridge and potential impacts, and compares it to the impact analyses contained in the Final EIS and subsequent addenda. The impact discussion focuses on the following environmental resources: transportation, acquisitions, visual/aesthetic, ecosystems, and historic/archaeological. The transportation impact discussion is limited to construction, except for nonmotorized transportation, which is discussed for operational impacts as well.

¹ "East Link Extension" is the new name for this project; in the East Link Final EIS (Sound Transit et al., 2011) and other past environmental documents, the project was called "East Link Project."

1.2 Findings

Changes in impacts of the proposed RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge from those in the 2011 Final EIS and subsequent addenda would primarily occur during the construction period and are of similar magnitude to the impacts identified for the Selected Alternative. The RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge would not increase any permanent adverse impacts from the East Link Extension Project, and its impacts are within the range of impacts for the full-length project from Seattle to Redmond as shown in the Final EIS and subsequent addenda. During operation, the bridge would improve access across SR 520 for pedestrians and bicyclists and create more access points for this crossing as well as to the RT Station. Temporary impacts would be limited to transportation changes and vegetation removal. Temporary construction impacts to roadways near the bridge would include short-term and nighttime partial and full lane closures with detours provided. The vegetation removed would not be high-quality habitat, and impacts on "significant trees," as defined by the City of Redmond, would be mitigated per Redmond Zoning Code. The pedestrian/bicycle bridge would not alter the analysis or conclusions of significant impacts evaluated in the 2011 Final EIS and subsequent addenda. No new probable significant adverse environmental impacts would arise, and a supplemental EIS is not warranted.

2.0 Description of Redmond Technology Station Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge

Previous analysis of impacts related to light rail construction in Redmond was completed as part of the East Link 2011 Final EIS and subsequent addenda. For the purposes of this Addendum, the project to be built selected in 2011 and the conceptual bridge design evaluated in the 2013 SEPA Addendum represent the baseline future condition. Findings associated with the RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge are compared to this baseline future condition along with all other alternatives evaluated in the Final EIS and subsequent addenda. Construction of this bridge would be concurrent with light rail construction.

2.1 Selected Alternative in the Area of the Bridge

The segment of the Selected Alternative where the RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge would be built is identified in the Final EIS as Alternative D2A. In the area of the new pedestrian/bicycle bridge, the light rail will parallel the east side of SR 520 in a partially retained cut in the WSDOT right-of-way, with a station at the former Overlake Transit Center. A bridge across SR 520 connecting the Microsoft campus and the RT Station (referred to as Overlake Transit Center in the Final EIS) was described in the Final EIS as a project to be built by others and was shown as connecting to the same location as the current 50-foot bridge on the west side of SR 520 but with one different pedestrian connection. On the east side of SR 520, the bridge would connect to the station plaza at the south end of the RT Station and also continue east over 156th Avenue NE, connecting to the pathway on the east side of 156th Avenue NE at the edge of the Microsoft East Campus (Exhibit 2-1).

2.2 Microsoft Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge

The proposed pedestrian/bicycle bridge would also connect Microsoft's campus on the west side of SR 520 with its campus on the east side (Exhibit 2-1). The bridge would be about 1,700 feet long between ramp ends and either 50 feet or 30 feet wide and would have five access points:

- A plaza on the west side of SR 520 (via a ramp from the Microsoft West Campus and from the SR 520 Multiuse Regional Trail in WSDOT right-of-way to the north)
- The SR 520 Multiuse Regional Trail from WSDOT right-of-way on the west side of SR 520 (via walkway to an access stair)
- The SR 520 NE 40th Street off-ramp flyer stop (via a ramp)
- The south end of the Sound Transit RT Link Station on the east side of SR 520 (via elevator, escalator, and stairs)
- The sidewalk on the east side of 156th Avenue NE (east of SR 520), south of NE 36th Street (via a ramp and a stair)



Exhibit 2-1. Proposed Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge

The span over SR 520, NE 36th Street, and 156th Avenue NE would be approximately 1,225 feet long with nine columns. Three columns would be in WSDOT right-of-way (including one in the SR 520 median), one would be on Sound Transit property on the south end of the RT Station, four would be on Microsoft property, and one would be on City of Redmond right-of-way in the median of 156th Avenue NE. Both bridge landings would be on Microsoft property (see Appendix A). The bridge would be located over either paved or vegetated areas and would not require removal of any buildings. The bridge would include landscaping and a partial roof, and all ramp access points would be accessible in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Overall, construction is expected to take 14 to 18 months, and would occur before light rail track and traction power substation construction begins in this area. Truck volumes and haul routes in this vicinity would generally be the same as described in the Final EIS, including SR 520, 148th Avenue NE, NE 24th Street, 156th Avenue NE, Microsoft Road, and NE 40th Street.

The general construction sequence for the pedestrian/bicycle bridge would be as follows:

- 1. **Demolition and removal**: This phase would involve utility relocations and protecting in place the utilities that will remain. All bridge piers have been located to avoid major utilities, communication duct banks, and Puget Sound Energy electrical facilities. A City of Redmond water meter vault and water line connections located on the east side of 156th Avenue NE would be relocated to the north to avoid access height restrictions due to being under the pedestrian bridge deck. Landscaping and natural vegetation, including approximately 5,000 square feet of mature trees at the southwest corner of NE 36th Street and 156th Avenue NE, would be cleared.
- 2. **Bridge construction**: The bridge would be built in phases, with the sections over SR 520 being completed first, the connection to the Microsoft campus west of SR 520 second, and the connection to the Microsoft campus east of SR 520 last.
- 3. Landscaping: Following completion of the bridge, all disturbed areas of vegetation would be revegetated, and landscaping on the bridge and surface plazas would be installed per landscaping plans.

3.0 Changes in Environmental Effects

The RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge would not change the characteristics of the East Link project as evaluated in the Final EIS and subsequent addenda. Most environmental impacts from this bridge are expected to be temporary and would occur during construction. The permanent long-term changes are expected to be beneficial for nonmotorized users. Compared to the bridge design evaluated in the 2013 SEPA Addendum, the changes to the RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge are minor and within the range of impacts evaluated in the Final EIS and 2013 addendum. Table 3-1 summarizes changes in impacts from those discussed in the Final EIS.

Element of the Environment	Changes in Effects
Transportation	During operation, the bridge would improve access across SR 520 for pedestrians and bicyclists and create more access points between the two Microsoft campuses located on either side of SR 520, including the RT Station. Temporary construction impacts to roadways near the bridge would include short-term and nighttime partial and full lane closures with detours provided.
Acquisitions	Additional properties would be affected, but all properties are owned by Microsoft and would not require any new property acquisition by Sound Transit. No displacements would occur.
Visual and Aesthetic Resources	Activities related to building and operating the bridge would be similar to nearby bridges that span SR 520 and would not have an impact on visual quality.
Ecosystems	There would be no impacts to wetlands, water bodies, or high-quality habitat. Approximately 0.2 acre of mature vegetation would be removed and all significant trees would be replaced per Redmond Zoning Code. There is low potential for construction impacts to wildlife because of the urbanized nature of the project area.
Historic and Archaeological Resources	The bridge would not affect any historic properties and would be located in an area of low probability for archaeological resources. All areas that would be disturbed have been previously disturbed by construction of SR 520, local roads, utilities, or private development.

Table 3-1. Summary of Changes in Potential Impacts from Microsoft Pedestrian Bridge

The following resources do not require additional discussion in this Addendum because there would be no changes in effects during project construction or operation:

- Land Use
- Economics
- Social, Community Facilities, and Neighborhoods
- Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases
- Noise and Vibration
- Water Resources
- Energy
- Geology and Soils
- Hazardous Materials
- Electromagnetic Fields
- Public Services, Safety, and Security
- Utilities

3.1 Transportation

This section provides a description of operational impacts to nonmotorized transportation, and construction-period impacts related to vehicle traffic on highways, arterials, and local streets, and nonmotorized transportation. No operational period impacts would occur for other transportation modes. Transit and freight would be affected during construction as described for highways and arterials below.

3.1.1 Operations

The RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge could reduce the number of pedestrians using at-grade crosswalks at the intersection of 156th Avenue NE and NE 36th Street, as the bridge would provide access between the east side of 156th Avenue NE and the RT Station. The bridge would also shorten pedestrian and bicycle trips across SR 520 that currently use the NE 40th Street or NE 31st Street overpasses, and could potentially replace some vehicle trips due to the shorter distance between buildings. The bridge would also increase the number of access points compared to the previous design described in the Final EIS by connecting to the SR 520 Multiuse Regional Trail and the east side of 156th Avenue NE.

3.1.2 Construction

Maintenance of Traffic plans are included in Appendix A and a description of construction phasing is provided in Appendix B.

3.1.2.1 Highway Operations and Safety

Construction of the RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge would require short-term closures of SR 520 shoulders and lanes, similar to closures of SR 520 farther east near West Lake Sammamish Parkway for elevated light rail crossings as described in the Final EIS. Full nighttime closures for construction over SR 520 were also assumed in the Final EIS.

The narrowing of lanes and shoulder width on the SR 520 mainline for construction in the median would cause an approximately 2 percent decrease in speed (calculated based on *Highway Capacity Manual* [Transportation Research Board, 2010] methodology), but the duration would be limited to a few months and would be shorter than the overall East Link construction described in the Final EIS. Lane closures for work over westbound SR 520 would create a new merge condition between the NE 40th Street westbound off-ramp and the westbound on-ramp. During this time, the right-side high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lane may need to be re-striped to allow general-purpose merging traffic to change lanes into the two left-side general-purpose lanes, similar to other merge conditions on SR 520 where the right-side HOV lane occurs.

Construction over the on- and off-ramps at NE 40th Street would require short-term nightly closures of the SR 520 mainline and some ramps at the SR 520/NE 40th Street interchange. Detour routes would use NE 40th Street and 148th Avenue NE. The detours are not expected to substantially impact regional traffic since the detours and closures will occur at night when traffic volumes are lower. For work over the SR 520 westbound on-ramp at NE 40th Street, the HOV bypass lane and right shoulder could be closed for up to 6 months. The westbound on-ramp would still maintain two lanes of traffic and ramp

meters would remain operational. Minor delays to HOV and transit vehicles could be experienced during this phase while the HOV bypass lane is closed; however, WSDOT could change ramp meter rates to minimize delays.

3.1.2.2 Arterials and Local Streets

Construction of the RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge would require short-term closures during nighttime hours, and detours would be provided similar to road closures described for light rail construction in the Final EIS. Work over the Microsoft Service Road that runs parallel to and west of SR 520 would experience partial lane closures and short-term full closures during nights and weekends; however, at least one lane would be permanently open on the Microsoft Service Road. A full closure of the NE 36th Street and Augusta Drive intersection would be required when work occurs over this intersection, although a temporary bus loop there would remain accessible and operational for public transit and the Microsoft Connector bus. Augusta Drive would also be accessible from NE 31st Street. 156th Avenue NE would be partially closed and the roadway reconfigured during the construction of the median pier. The south leg of NE 36th Street and 156th Avenue NE would be fully closed during construction over 156th Avenue NE, and the detour would use 157th Avenue NE and NE 31st Street. The detour is not expected to substantially affect intersection operations because the detours would occur on the weekends or at night when traffic volumes are lower.

Section 6.4.2.4 of the Final EIS Appendix H1, Transportation Technical Report, noted that the potential for detoured traffic and construction vehicles in neighborhood areas would be low because there is little residential development in the area, and the construction would occur on or near designated truck routes. The detour routes proposed in the Maintenance of Traffic plans in Appendix A were assumed for other road closures in the Final EIS, except for Microsoft Way/157th Avenue NE between NE 31st Street and NE 36th Street, which is proposed as a detour for 156th Avenue NE when a full closure is needed. This detour would only be needed on nights and weekends when traffic volumes on Microsoft Way/157th Avenue NE are low.

3.1.2.3 Nonmotorized Transportation

Construction of the RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge would require short-term closure of the SR 520 Multiuse Regional Trail, as described in the Final EIS, as well as sidewalks along Microsoft Access Road and 156th Avenue NE. The SR 520 Multiuse Regional Trail between NE 40th Street and NE 36th Street would be fully closed and detoured through the Microsoft campus to 150th Ave NE and NE 36th St, resulting in approximately a 0.25-mile increase in length. A temporary pedestrian path would also be constructed on the east side of 156th Ave NE near NE 36th Street to the east of the existing path while the bridge connection to the path is constructed.

3.2 Acquisitions

The proposed RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge would not require acquisition of any additional property by Sound Transit. The bridge would use property on four additional properties that are owned by Microsoft (see Appendix C). These properties would continue to be owned by Microsoft and would not require any displacements.

3.3 Visual and Aesthetic Resources

The East Link Project Final EIS concluded that the Preferred Alternative, which parallels the east side of SR 520 from the Overlake Transit Center to the Sammamish River, would be compatible with the transportation-infrastructure character of this part of the SR 520 corridor.

To evaluate how the project refinements to the pedestrian/bicycle bridge would impact visual character and quality, a 2-mile section of the SR 520 corridor and adjacent areas (approximately one mile north and south of the proposed bridge) was selected as the assessment area. The southern end of the assessment area is the 148th Avenue NE overpass and the northern end is the NE 60th Street overpass. Within this assessment area, SR 520 passes next to a series of multi-story office complexes, the Overlake Transit Center, and a residential area (north of NE 51st Street).

This area includes five overpasses (148th Avenue NE bridge, NE 36th Street bridge, NE 40th Street bridge, NE 51st Street bridge, and NE 60th Street bridge) over SR 520. The distance between the overpasses ranges between approximately 0.4 and 0.6 mile. The overpasses are strong visual elements when viewed from SR 520. Appendix D contains images of the five overpasses in the assessment area as seen from SR 520. The appendix includes northbound views of the overpasses from SR 520 at viewing distances of approximately 200 feet (Exhibits D-1 to D-5) and southbound views at distances of approximately 400 feet (Exhibits D-6 to D-10). It should be noted that some of the vegetation along the sides of SR 520 that can be seen in the Appendix D images has been removed by East Link construction.

The views toward SR 520 by people traveling on adjacent streets and sidewalks are somewhat limited due to the low elevation of the surface of SR 520 and vegetative screening. Overpasses are more visible than the surface of SR 520 to nearby viewers, although vegetation screens views in many locations. Workers in the upper stories of adjacent office buildings, and pedestrians and bicyclists on the SR 520 Multiuse Regional Trail on the west side of the freeway have clearer views of SR 520 and the overpasses than the street and sidewalk viewers, where trees do not block their views.

With the exception of the heavily landscaped NE 36th Street overpass, the general appearance of the other four overpasses from SR 520, and towards SR 520 from adjacent areas, is similar. They all have a utilitarian, transportation infrastructure character. Although the supporting structure of the NE 36th Street bridge is similar in appearance to the structures of the other overpasses, the surface of the overpass has shrubs, trees, and trails, making it a more distinctive and memorable visual element than the other overpasses.

For travelers on SR 520 in this area, views are blocked for short periods of time by the overpasses. Shortduration views of Mt. Rainier from southbound SR 520 are possible between the NE 51st Street and NE 40th Street overpasses. However, as motorists approach the NE 40th Street overpass, views are blocked. Where vegetation within and next to the right-of-way has not been removed, it may block views from SR 520 of adjacent properties. Because the existing overpasses are similar in elevation to adjacent streets and sidewalks, they do not block views towards SR 520 from these areas. The overpasses are far enough apart that they generally do not block views along the SR 520 corridor by motorists or pedestrians driving or walking across the overpasses. The project refinements to the RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge would widen the bridge from 12 feet to 50 feet, but the bridge would continue to link areas of the Microsoft campus on both sides of SR 520. The west edge of the pedestrian/bicycle bridge would be approximately 0.1 mile south of the NE 40th Street overpass and approximately 0.2 mile north of the NE 36th Street overpass. A roof structure over the pedestrian path would provide shelter during inclement weather and would lend architectural interest to the top of the overpass (see Exhibits 3-1 and 3-2).

A paved bike path would follow the southern edge of the overpass and be separated from the northern pedestrian path and roof structure by a linear landscaped area. The landscaped area would contain vegetation that would be seen from both directions of SR 520. The support structure of the overpass would be very similar in appearance and character to the support structures of the existing overpasses.

Several types of viewers would see the changes associated with the bridge. They include motorists and passengers traveling on SR 520 and nearby surface streets, people using the SR 520 Multiuse Regional Trail, workers in nearby office buildings, and people using sidewalks. As described in the Final EIS, viewers can be categorized as having low, average, or high sensitivity to changes in the viewed environment. Viewer sensitivity is strongly influenced by a viewer's activity, awareness of his or her surroundings, and amount of time spent looking at a view. Travelers in this area are assumed to have low to medium viewer sensitivity because they would be viewing it for a short period of time (generally a few seconds) and drivers would be focused on driving. Recreationists, such as those who use the SR 520 Multiuse Regional Trail (cyclists and pedestrians), are assumed to have high viewer sensitivity. People bicycling on the trail as commuters who would view the bridge are assumed to have low to medium viewer sensitivity.

Below is an assessment of potential impacts on the visual environment using the same factors as evaluated in the Final EIS:

- **Consistency with existing visual character**: The RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge would add a sixth structure crossing this 2-mile section of SR 520. The bridge would be consistent with the character of this part of SR 520 corridor when viewed from SR 520 and when viewed from adjacent areas. Its appearance, although including a partial roof, would be similar to the landscaped NE 36th Street overpass.
- Changes in visual quality: The pedestrian/bicycle bridge design would create a visual element seen
 by motorists on SR 520 that would have more architectural interest and distinctiveness than the
 nearby roadway overpasses. From adjacent areas, the bridge would have the appearance of a
 landscaped pedestrian walkway with an interesting roof structure over the pedestrian path along its
 north side. It would visually connect both sides of the Microsoft campus and nearby areas. When
 viewed from nearby offices, the overpass would have a park-like appearance.



Exhibit 3-1a. Existing Condition from SR 520 (Looking North)



Exhibit 3-1b. Visual Simulation of Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge from SR 520 (Looking North)



Exhibit 3-2a. Existing Conditions from East Side of SR 520 (Looking South)



Exhibit 3-2b. Visual Simulation of Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge from East Side of SR 520 (Looking South)

- Likely impact on viewers with high viewer sensitivity: The only viewers with potentially high viewing sensitivity who would see the overpass would be recreationists using the SR 520 Multiuse Regional Trail. They would see another (unique appearing) overpass structure from the trail.
- Blockage of sensitive views with an emphasis placed on views that are identified by local jurisdictions as requiring protection: Neither the City of Redmond nor WSDOT has identified the views of Mt. Rainier from SR 520 as requiring protection. Views of Mt. Rainier that are currently possible from the southbound section of SR 520 between the NE 40th Street overpass and the NE 36th Street overpass might be blocked along a short section of the highway by the bridge.
- Creation of shadows: The proposed bridge would cast shadows onto SR 520 that would be similar to those cast by the roadway overpasses in the assessment area.
- Light and glare: Pedestrian-scale safety lights would be located along the bridge, but would not create glare to people driving on SR 520 or to viewers in nearby buildings. If appropriate and/or required by reviewing agencies, light fixtures that only cast light in a downward direction to the bridge deck walking surface would be used.
- **Construction Impacts**: As described in the Final EIS, removal of natural vegetation and landscaping would change the existing conditions of the visual environment. Activities related to building the pedestrian/bicycle bridge would also have similarly temporary impacts on the visual environment and would include movement of construction equipment and materials; earthwork and exposed soils; glare and lights associated with nighttime construction; stored construction materials; and general visual changes to the viewed landscape during the construction period.

3.4 Ecosystems

Approximately 5,000 square feet of large, mature trees would be cleared at the southwest corner of NE 36th Street and 156th Avenue NE. All other areas of vegetation disturbance would be landscaped areas. Trees greater than 6 inches in diameter at 4.5 feet above the ground (also known as diameter at breast height, or DBH) are considered significant under Redmond Zoning Code. All significant trees removed during construction would be replaced as required under Redmond Zoning Code 21.72.080. Landscaped areas disturbed by construction would be re-landscaped once construction is completed.

No species listed or proposed for listing under the Endangered Species Act, or areas that provide suitable habitat for listed species or other species of concern, are known to occur in areas anticipated to be disturbed by construction.

The risk of disturbing wildlife during construction is considered low due to existing background noise and generally degraded habitat conditions in the project area. Clearing of vegetation for project construction could potentially impact bird nesting sites and could result in the "take" of migratory bird nests and/or their eggs protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act if the clearing were conducted during the breeding and nesting season. This project will use the same East Link Extension Project construction documents to build the project, which includes measures to comply with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. These measures include establishing a clearing schedule that occurs outside the active bird nesting

period, to the extent possible. Additional measures also include actions to take if avoidance scheduling is infeasible and if nesting migratory birds are present.

3.5 Historic and Archaeological Resources

Sound Transit evaluated the construction footprint of the RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge for historic and archaeological resources. The areas within WSDOT right-of-way and the RT Station vicinity were previously evaluated in the East Link Final EIS and no resources were identified. For this Addendum, Sound Transit queried the Washington State Department of Archaeological and Historic Preservation database and found no historic properties within or adjacent to the footprint for the pedestrian/bicycle bridge. For archaeological resources, Sound Transit reviewed the Department of Archaeological and Historic Preservation's predictive model for the area within the construction footprint for the new bridge and found it would be within a low-risk area (see map in Appendix E), the same as adjacent areas along SR 520 evaluated in the Final EIS. All areas that would be disturbed have been previously disturbed by construction of SR 520, local roadways, utilities, or private development. An Inadvertent Discovery Plan is in place, which establishes protocols to follow if archaeological resources are encountered during ground-disturbing activities.

4.0 Conclusion

Potential impacts resulting from the RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge have been identified and compared with the impacts identified in the Final EIS and subsequent addenda for this segment of East Link. Based on this evaluation, the impacts of the refined RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge are of similar magnitude to the impacts identified for the Final EIS and subsequent addenda. The impacts are also within the range of impacts identified for all alternatives evaluated in the Final EIS and addenda. None of the refinements to the bridge would result in new significant impacts not previously identified and evaluated in the Final EIS and subsequent addenda or substantially different conclusions with regard to the significant of the impacts.

Visual and aesthetic resources, ecosystems, and historic and archaeological resources and construction impacts related to transportation, would be different from the Selected Alternative but would be similar to those discussed in the Final EIS and subsequent addenda. The RT Station pedestrian/bicycle bridge would not substantially change the analysis of significant impacts evaluated in the Final EIS and subsequent addenda, and no new probable significant adverse environmental impacts would result from proposed changes to the bridge design. Accordingly, no supplemental EIS is required.

5.0 References

Sound Transit, Washington State Department of Transportation, and Federal Transit Administration. 2011. *East Link Light Rail Transit Project Final Environmental Impact Statement* (Final EIS). <u>https://www.soundtransit.org/Projects-and-Plans/East-Link-Extension/East-Link-Extension-document-archive/East-Link-Documents/East-Link-document-collections/East-Link-Final-EIS-document-collection.</u> July.

Transportation Research Board. 2010. HCM2010: Highway Capacity Manual.

Appendix A Conceptual Plans

the demo limits ar pt outside of ramp it should still be possible to tierin new drain line and still 114 miss the new shoft. (12) (13-76 (112) 6 - EXISTING CONC PATH (9) 66-6 (12-DEMOLITION AND REMOVAL NOTES: CONT. **DEMOLITION AND REMOVAL NOTES:** DEMOLITION AND REMOVAL NOTES: CONT. NOTES: (40) REMOVE LIGHT POLE AND FOUNDATION AND DISCONNECT WIRING AT THE SOURCE. (2) PROTECT EXISTING SIDEWALK TO REMAIN. (13) PROTECT EXISTING RETAINING WALL. 1. SEE DRAWINGS B27-CSP101 THRU B27-CXP106 FOR SITE PLANS. (3) PROTECT EXISTING CURB TO REMAIN. (14) RELOCATE EXISTING FRENCH DRAIN. (60) PROTECT EXISTING WATER SERVICE.

- 2. SEE DRAWINGS B27-CDP101 THRU B27-CDP106 FOR STORM DRAINAGE CONSTRUCTION.
- 3. SEE DRAWINGS B27-CTP101 THRU B27-CTP106 FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL.
- 4. SEE DRAWINGS G88-GZN007 AND G88-GZN008 IN DESIGN PACKAGE GENERAL FOR GENERAL SYMBOLS AND LEGENDS.
- MAINTAIN ELECTRICAL SERVICE FOR STREET LIGHTING DURING 5. CONSTRUCTION.
- (6) PROTECT EXISTING STORM DRAIN IN PLACE.
- (8) PROTECT EXISTING STORM DRAIN MANHOLE TO REMAIN.
- (9) PROTECT EXISTING BUILDING TO REMAIN.
- (12) PROTECT EXISTING CATCH BASIN TO REMAIN.
- (14) REMOVE CEMENT CONCRETE PAVEMENT.
- (20) SAWCUT EXISTING PAVEMENT.
- (33) REMOVE TREES, LANDSCAPING AND VEGETATION.

- 66 PROTECT IN PLACE EXISTING UNDERGROUND ELECTRICAL.
- (76) PROTECT IN PLACE EXISTING LIGHT POLE.
- (107) RELOCATE PSE ELECTRICAL TRANSFORMER. COORDINATE WITH PSE.
- (108) RELOCATE EXISTING UNDERGROUND ELECTRICAL.
- (109) RELOCATE EXISTING STORM DRAIN.
- (10) RELOCATE EXISTING CATCH BASIN.
- (12) REMOVE GRAVEL WALKWAY.

ESIGN F	C	CONCEPT DESIGN PACKAGE					DESIGNED BY: T. FINNEGAN				₽Ţщ		SCALE: 1" = 20'
<u> </u>						DRAWN BY:			HOFFMAN	S 1" SCA		FILENAME:	
- A							M. OWINGS	JACOBS	EAST LINK C	OMOTOHIOTODO	FULL S		E360-B27-CXP101
20 F							CHECKED BY:	ASSOCIATES	EAST LINK C	CONSTRUCTORS			CONTRACT No.:
- Ë							S. DEKLEVA				-		RTA/CN 0122-13
80.0							APPROVED BY:	SUBMITTED BY:	DATE:	REVIEWED BY:		DATE:	SUBMITTAL DATE:
:\54	No. DAT	ΓE	DSN	СНК	APP	REVISION	T. FINNEGAN	G. OWEN	07/14/17	A. MENCKE		07/14/17	07/14/17
_													





07/17/17



T DESIGN PH	(CONCEPT DESIGN PACKAGE				DESIGN PACKAGE	DESIGNED BY: T. FINNEGAN DRAWN BY:	McMILLEN	KIEWIT-HOFFMAN		s 1" AT		SCALE: 1" = 20' FILENAME:
N							M. OWINGS		EACT LINK C	OMETRICTORS	ш Ц		E360-B27-CXP102
30 F							CHECKED BY:	ASSOCIATES	EAST LINK U	UNATHUCTUNA	E LE		CONTRACT No.:
- Ш							S. DEKLEVA				-		RTA/CN 0122-13
80.0							APPROVED BY:	SUBMITTED BY:	DATE:	REVIEWED BY:		DATE:	SUBMITTAL DATE:
:\54	No.	DATE	DSN	СНК	APP	REVISION	T. FINNEGAN	G. OWEN	07/14/17	A. MENCKE		07/14/17	07/14/17



. . .														
ESIGN F	(CONCEPT DESIGN PACKAGE			DESIGN PACKAGE	DESIGNED BY: T. FINNEGAN					ъТщ		SCALE: 1" = 20'	
, <u> </u>						DRAWN BY:	1			HOFFMAN	- N		FILENAME:	
INAL							M. OWINGS			EAST LINK C	ONSTRUCTORS	-ULL S(E360-B27-CXP103
20 F							CHECKED BY:		ASSOCIATES	CAOT LINK C	UNATHUCTUNA	를 문		CONTRACT No.:
E36							S. DEKLEVA					-		RTA/CN 0122-13
80.0							APPROVED BY:		SUBMITTED BY:	DATE:	REVIEWED BY:		DATE:	SUBMITTAL DATE:
:\54	No. [DATE	DSN	СНК	APP	REVISION	T. FINNEGAN		G. OWEN	07/14/17	A. MENCKE		07/14/17	07/14/17



DESIGN PI		CONCEPT DESIGN PACKAGE					DESIGNED BY: T. FINNEGAN DRAWN BY:		McMILLEN	KIEWIT-HOFEMAN		1" AT		SCALE: 1" = 20' FILENAME:
INAL							M. OWINGS			EAST LINK C	INSTRUCTORS	LL S		E360-B27-CXP104
50 F							CHECKED BY:		ASSOCIATES	LAGT LINK G		5 5		CONTRACT No.:
- ü							S. DEKLEVA					_		RTA/CN 0122-13
80.0							APPROVED BY:	1	SUBMITTED BY:	DATE:	REVIEWED BY:		DATE:	SUBMITTAL DATE:
:\54	No.	DATE	DSN	СНК	APP	REVISION	T. FINNEGAN		G. OWEN	07/14/17	A. MENCKE		07/14/17	07/14/17

XREF_LIST: #2504_134*(APP10) #2504_134*(APP10) #2504_134*(APP10) #2504_134*(APP10) #2504_134*(APP10) #2504_134*(APP10) #2504_134*(APP10) #2504_137*(APP10) #21-1737*(APP10) #21-2737*(APP10) #21-2737*(APP10) #21-2737*(APP10) #21-2737*(APP10) #21-2737*(APP10) #21-2737*(APP10) #21-2737*(APP10) #21-2740*(APP10) #21-2740*(




- 3. SEE DRAWINGS B27-CTP101 THRU B27-CTP106 FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL.
- 4. SEE DRAWINGS G88-GZN007 AND G88-GZN008 IN DESIGN PACKAGE GENERAL FOR GENERAL SYMBOLS AND LEGENDS.
- 5. MAINTAIN ELECTRICAL SERVICE FOR STREET LIGHTING DURING CONSTRUCTION.

						DESIGNED BY:						SCALE:
(CON	CF	ΞΡ'	ТΙ	DESIGN PACKAGE	T. FINNEGAN				μŢщ		1" = 20'
	0011			•		DRAWN BY:	WOWNELLIN		HOFFMAN	: 1".		FILENAME:
						M. OWINGS	JACUBS	EAST LINK CO	INSTRUCTORS	E IS		E360-B27-CXP106
						CHECKED BY:	ASSOCIATES	EAST LINK U	UNATHUCTUNA	FU LIN		CONTRACT No.:
						S. DEKLEVA				-		RTA/CN 0122-13
						APPROVED BY:	SUBMITTED BY:	DATE:	REVIEWED BY:		DATE:	SUBMITTAL DATE:
٧o.	DATE	DSN	СНК	APP	REVISION	T. FINNEGAN	G. OWEN	07/14/17	A. MENCKE		07/14/17	07/14/17

00	EB 775+00 WB D 775+	SEE DWG B27-CXP103
	SR520 EAST BOUND	40 1
6	EAST LINK EXTENSION CONTRACT E360 DRAWING No.: SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER B27-CXP1 MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE CIVIL B27 DEMOLITION AND REMOVAL PLAN 10	REV: 0

	LEGEND			
		PROPOSED		
	WORK ZONE/CONSTRUCTION AREA		1. THESE NOT 2. THE TRAFFI OPERATION	C PHA
	PEDESTRIAN MOVEMENT	∢ P►	3. THE WORK . ACCESS, AN	AREA:
CHANNELIZATION DEVICE SPACING (feet) MPH TAPER TANGENT	PORTABLE CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGN	PCMS	RE-SEQUEN CONTROL, A AND AUTHO	AND D
50/70 40 80 35/45 30 60 25/30 20 40	TEMPORARY CONCRETE BARRIER	• 	4. THE CONTR UNLESS OT WITH DISAB TO PERFOR	HERW
MINIMUM TAPER LENGTH = L (feet) LANE POSTED SPEED (MPH) WIDTH	TRAFFIC SAFETY DRUM WITH TYPE C STEADY BURN LIGHTS	\otimes	5. THE CONTR CONSTRUC REQUIREME	TION (
eet) 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 10 105 150 205 270 450 500 550 - - - 11 115 165 225 295 495 550 600 - -	GENERAL PURPOSE TRAFFIC/ DIRECTIONAL ARROW	→	6. BEFORE A F DIRECTIONS CLOSURE.	ROAD 6 OF T
12 125 180 245 320 540 600 660 720 780 840	CONSTRUCTION ACCESS/ DIRECTIONAL ARROW		7. THE CONTR AND FOR TH	ACTO
SIGN SPACING = X (1) REEWAYS & EXPRESSWAYS 55 / 70 MPH 1500' ±	TEMPORARY SIGN	К	SHALL BE C 8. THE CONTR TRAFFIC LA	АСТО
RURAL HIGHWAYS 60 / 65 MPH 800' ± RURAL ROADS 45 / 55 MPH 500' ± RURAL ROADS & URBAN ARTERIALS 35 / 40 MPH 350' ±	TYPE 3 BARRICADE	***	FACILITIES, 9. THE CONTR ADJUSTMEN	NON-:
RAL ROADS & URBAN ARTERIALS 25 / 30 MPH 200' ± (2) SIDENTIAL & BUSINESS DISTRICTS 25 MPH OR LESS 100' ± (2) BAN STREETS 25 MPH OR LESS 100' ± (2) ALL SPACING MAY BE ADJUSTED TO ACCOMMODATE INTERCHANGE RAMPS,	CHANNELIZING DEVICE	Ø	10. WITHIN CITY OF A ROCK	/ OF F WALL
AT-GRADE INTERSECTIONS AND DRIVEWAYS. 2) THIS SPACING MAY BE REDUCED IN URBAN AREAS TO FIT ROADWAY CONDITIONS.	TEMPORARY IMPACT ATTENUATOR (SEE NOTE 15)	00000	ELEVATION 11. WITHIN CITY DIRECTED E	/ OF F
BUFFER DATA			12. WITHIN CITY PROPERTY BY THE APP	FRON
LONGITUDINAL BUFFER SPACE = B SPEED (MPH) 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 ENGTH (FEET) 155 200 250 305 360 425 495 570 645 730			ARE INDICA 13. WITHIN CITY SIGNS AND	/ OF F
TRANSPORTABLE ATTENUATOR ROLL AHEAD DISTANCE = R HOST VEHICLE WEIGHT HOST VEHICLE WEIGHT 9,900 TO 22,000 lbs > 22,000 lbs			SIGNS AND (425) 556-27 14. WITHIN CITY	CHAN 52, 48
< 45 MPH 45-55 MPH > 55 MPH < 45 MPH 45-55 MPH > 55 MPH 100' 123' 172' 74' 100' 150'			14. WITHIN CIT GEOTECHN THE CITY OI DESIGN. TH	ICAL E F RED
			15. SELECT FRO WAY:)M T⊦
			QUADGUAR OF 45 MPH (
			REUSABLE I ABSORPTIO ABSORB 350	N SYS
			OF 45 MPH (
				⁻ MAN SE TL
			OF 45 MPH (TRITON CET OR LESS. US	⁻ MAN SE TL-
			OF 45 MPH (TRITON CET OR LESS. US	⁻ MAN SE TL-
			OF 45 MPH 0 TRITON CET OR LESS. US SCI100GM, S SCALE: NTS	⁻ MAN SE TL-
CEPT DESIGN PACKAGE CEPT DESIGN PACKAGE J. LIU DRAWN BY: J. CHENG CHECKED BY: H. SUNG	KIEWIT-HOFFN ENGINEERING KIEWIT-HOFFN		OF 45 MPH 0 TRITON CET OR LESS. US SCI100GM, S SCALE: NTS FILENAME: B27-TZN100	⁻ MAN SE TL-

G. OWEN

07/14/17

A. MENCKE

07/14/17

07/14/17

DSN CHK APP REVISION

XREF LIST: E360-GB-TB22x34

GENERAL NOTES

ES APPLY TO ALL MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC DRAWINGS.

C PHASING SHOWN IN THESE PLANS REPRESENTS A CONCEPTUAL SEQUENCE OF IS.

AREAS AND PHASES SHOWN ARE FOR MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC, LOCAL ACCESS, TRANSIT ID CONSTRUCTION ACCESS PURPOSES. THE TRAFFIC PHASING IN SOME LOCATIONS CAN BE ICED, COMBINED, OR OVERLAPPED. DETAILED CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING, TRAFFIC ND DETOUR PLANS WILL BE DEVELOPED TO BE APPROVED BY THE RESIDENT ENGINEER IRITY HAVING JURISDICTIONS.

ACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN TRANSIT, PEDESTRIAN, BICYCLE, AND LOCAL ACCESS AT ALL TIMES HERWISE DIRECTED. ALL TEMPORARY PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES MUST MEET AMERICANS ILITIES ACT (ADA) GUIDELINES. AT SOME LOCATIONS THE CONTRACTOR MAY BE REQUIRED M MINOR TEMPORARY GRADING, PAVING, AND DRAINAGE WORK.

ACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR JOB SITE CONDITIONS DURING THE COURSE OF TION OF THE PROJECT, INCLUDING SAFETY OF ALL PERSONS AND PROPERTY. THIS INT SHALL APPLY CONTINUOUSLY WHILE THE PROJECT IS UNDER CONSTRUCTION.

ROAD CLOSURE, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ERECT SIGNS 1 WEEK IN ADVANCE FOR BOTH S OF TRAVEL, IF APPLICABLE, NOTIFYING MOTORISTS, CYCLISTS, AND PEDESTRIANS OF THE THE SIGNS SHALL GIVE THE DATE(S) AND TIME(S) OF THE CLOSURE.

ACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR FURNISHING ALL SIGNAGE REQUIRED FOR TRAFFIC CONTROL HE TIMELY INSTALLATION AND REMOVAL OF THAT SIGNAGE. ALL CONFLICTING SIGNING OVERED.

ACTOR SHALL PLATE OVER OPEN TRENCHES WHEN NOT IN OPERATION AND SHALL OPEN NES TO NORMAL OPERATIONS. WHERE PLATES ARE USED OVER BIKE/PEDESTRIAN NON-SLIP PLATE SURFACE SHALL BE USED.

ACTOR SHALL COORDINATE WITH AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTIONS FOR THE NT OF SIGNAL PHASING AND/OR TIMINGS DURING CONSTRUCTION, AS REQUIRED.

Y OF REDMOND RIGHT-OF-WAY, SAFETY RAILINGS SHALL BE REQUIRED WHEN THE BOTTOM WALL, RETAINING WALL, OR SLOPE IS 30 INCHES OR MORE BELOW THE FINISHED OF A SIDEWALK OR OTHER PEDESTRIAN FACILITY.

Y OF REDMOND RIGHT-OF-WAY, WSDOT APPROVED GUARDRAILS SHALL BE REQUIRED AS 3Y THE CITY INSPECTOR, SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE CITY TRANSPORTATION ENGINEER.

Y OF REDMOND RIGHT-OF-WAY, ALL NECESSARY SIGNS AND MARKINGS ON-SITE, ALONG FRONTAGE, AND AT SPECIFICALLY DESIGNATED OFF-SITE LOCATIONS SHALL BE PROVIDED LICANT AS REQUIRED BY THE TRAFFIC OPERATIONS DIVISION WHETHER OR NOT THESE TED ON THE CIVIL CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS.

Y OF REDMOND RIGHT-OF-WAY, THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLING ALL CHANNELIZATION PER CITY OF REDMOND STANDARDS. CONTRACTOR SHALL LAY OUT ALL CHANNELIZATION, AND THEN CONTACT THE SENIOR TRANSPORTATION TECHNICIAN, AT 52, 48-HOURS IN ADVANCED TO VERIFY LAYOUT.

Y OF REDMOND RIGHT-OF-WAY, WHEN REQUESTED BY THE CITY INSPECTOR, THE ICAL ENGINEER EMPLOYED BY THE DEVELOPER SHALL VERIFY AND SUBSEQUENTLY ADVISE F REDMOND THAT THE INSTALLATION OF THE PAVING SECTION(S) CONFORMS TO HIS/HER E PROJECT WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED UNTIL THE WRITTEN DOCUMENTATION IS SUBMITTED.

OM THE FOLLOWING LIST OF IMPACT ATTENUATORS FOR USE WITHIN WSDOT RIGHT OF

D CZ MANUFACTURED BY ENERGY ABSORPTION SYSTEMS, INC. FILL IN 6 BAY FOR SPEEDS OR GREATER. FILL IN 3 BAY FOR SPEEDS LESS THAN 45 MPH.

ENERGY ABSORBING CRASH TERMINAL (REACT 350) MANUFACTURED BY ENERGY N SYSTEMS, INC.

) MANUFACTURED BY BARRIER SYSTEMS, INC. FILL IN 9 ABSORBING ELEMENTS FOR SPEEDS DR GREATER. FILL IN 5 ABSORBING ELEMENTS FOR SPEEDS LESS THAN 45 MPH.

MANUFACTURED BY ENERGY ABSORPTION SYSTEMS, INC. USE TL-3 FOR SPEEDS 62 MPH SE TL-2 FOR 45 MPH OR LESS.

SCI70GM MANUFACTURED BY SCI PRODUCTS, INC.

EAST LINK EXTENSION	DRAWING No.: G88-TZ	N100	
CONTRACT E360			
SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER	FACILITY ID:		
MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	G88		
	SHEET No.:	REV:	
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC GENERAL NOTES, LEGENDS, AND ABBREVIATIONS	24	0	



XREF LIST? XE340-54 T222:34 PEV XE340-54 T222:34 PEV XE1-2373 XE1-2307 XE1-2307 XE1-2307 XE1-2308 XE1-2308 XE1-2308 XE1-2308 XE2-237 XE1-2308 XE2-257 XE1-2308 XE27-27 XE30-227 XE27-27 XE30-227 XE27-27 XE30-227 XE27-27 XE30-227 XE27-27 XE30-227 XE30-277 XE30-2777 XE30-2777 XE30-2777 XE30-2777 XE3

XREF LIST:

PHASE 4

WORK AREAS AT THE NE 36TH ST & AUGUSTA DR INTERSECTION - SOUTH END OF THE OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER. REQUIRES FULL CLOSURE OF THE INTERSECTION. THE TEMPORARY BUS LOOP WILL REMAIN ACCESSIBLE AND OPERATIONAL FOR PUBLIC TRANSIT AND MICROSOFT CONNECTOR.

PHASE 5A, 5B, 5C, & 5D

WORK AREAS INCLUDE THE PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE CROSSING LOCATION OVER 156TH AVE NE AND VARIOUS LANE CLOSURES ON THE NORTH, SOUTH, AND EAST LEG OF THE NE 36TH ST & 156TH AVE NE INTERSECTION.

EAST LINK EXTENSION **CONTRACT E360** SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER

MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC TRAFFIC PHASING NOTES

B27-TZN	101
ACILITY ID:	
B27	
SHEET No.:	REV:
25	0





XREF LIST: xE360-GB-TB22x34 xB2-7CTM103 xEL-1737sf xE360-L88-KAP100 xEL-1740rt xEL-1740rt xEL-1740rt xEL-1740rt xEL-1740ut xB2-7CTM000

e .												
015\15.68 E	C	CON	CE	ΞP	T	DESIGN PACKAGE	DESIGNED BY: X. LIU DRAWN BY:	A	KIEWIT-	HOFFMAN	S 1" AT	
ECTS/2							X. LIU CHECKED BY:		EAST LINK C	ONSTRUCTORS	LINE IS FULL SI	Soun
δ							H. SUNG				-	300
PI (APPROVED BY:	SUBMITTED BY:	DATE:	REVIEWED BY:		DATE:
H:\(F	No. [DATE	DSN	СНК	APP	REVISION	J. WHEELER	G. OWEN	07/14/17	A. MENCKE		07/14/17



XREF LIST:

XIGUELD37 K360-GB-TB2234 B27-CTM104 xEL-1737s xEL-1737rx xEL-1740s xEL-1740s

PIER 3.

- ACCESS PURPOSES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DEVELOP DETAILED CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING AND TRAFFIC CONTROL PLANS.
- THE NE 40TH ST/SR 520 WB ON-RAMP TO LIMIT THE FREQUENCY AND
- NEW PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE. SIGHT LINE CONDITIONS WILL NEED TO BE INVESTIGATED DURING FINAL DESIGN OF THE PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE TO DETERMINE IMPACTS AND REQUIRED MODIFICATIONS TO THE CCTV SYSTEM.



NOTES:





5/15.68 E3	C	CON	CE	ΞP	Т	DESIGN PACKAGE	DESIGNED BY: X. LIU			KIEWIT-	HOFFMAN	" AT		SCALE: NTS
CTS/201							DRAWN BY: X. LIU CHECKED BY:	-		EAST LINK C	ONSTRUCTORS	FULL SC		FILENAME: B27-TMP107 CONTRACT No.:
SOJE							H. SUNG					L _	SoundTransit	RTA/CN 0122-13
H (APPROVED BY:		SUBMITTED BY:	DATE:	REVIEWED BY:		DATE:	SUBMITTAL DATE:
H)	No. D	DATE	DSN	СНК	APP	REVISION	J. WHEELER		G. OWEN	07/14/17	A. MENCKE		07/14/17	07/14/17



×	
-	
AM	
10:18	
-	
14/17	

XREF LIST:

AMEC 2007. XEL/1737K XEL/1737K XEL/2037K XEL/2037K XEL/2037K XEL/2037K XEL/2040F XEL/204F XEL/20

J. WHEELER

. OWE

	KIEWIT-H East link co	HOFFMAN	FULL SCALE	5	SCALE: 1"=50' FILENAME: B27-TMP108 CONTRACT No.: RTA/CN 0122-1
ED BY:	DATE:	REVIEWED BY:		DATE:	SUBMITTAL DATE:
N	07/14/17	A. MENCKE		07/14/17	07/14/17

156TH AVE CLOSURE

B	27
HEET No.:	RE
31	0



XREF LIST:

ATCE LOI: KEL-1137K KE360-08-T6223-34, REV KEL-2037K KEL-2037K KEL-2040K KEL-2040K KEL-2040K KEL-2040K KEL-2040K KE360-827-C2FM010 KE380-827-C2FM010 KE380-827-C2FFM010 KE380-827-C2FFM010 KE380-827-C2FFM010 K



JE		
ND		
TOUF	M4-8a	
20	NE 40TH ST	
AOT		
AST	M3.2	
A	SR 520 WB ON	
N	RAMP CLOSED	
ST	ROUTE	
P		
TOU		
AST	.: M3-2	
20	122 dhy 0	
	м6-3	
ינדי דו_		
ł		
ø		
•		
		y
	MAINLINE AND NE 40TH ST 400 200 0 VB ON-RAMP CLOSED	400 800
-	SCALE: 1"=400' SCALE I	NFEET
	EAST LINK EXTENSION	DRAWING No.:
	CONTRACT E360	B27-TDP101
	SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	FACILITY ID: B27 SHEET No.: REV:
	MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ROAD CLOSURE DETOUR PLAN	SHEET No.: REV: 33 0

NOTES:

- 1. THIS DETOUR PLAN IS FOR THE CLOSURE OF 520 BIKE TRAIL BETWEEN NE 36TH ST AND NE 40TH ST.
 - 2. ARROWS INDICATE DIRECTION OF TRAVEL ALONG DETOUR ROUTE DURING TRAIL CLOSURE.
 - 3. CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN ALL REQUIRED PERMITS FOR ROAD CLOSURES AND DETOURS.
 - 4. MODIFICATIONS TO DETOUR ROUTES MUST BE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY RESIDENT ENGINEER AND AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTIONS.



5. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROIVDE APPROPRIATE DETOUR SIGNING AND OTHER TEMPORARY TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES FOR THIS DETOUR.



Σ

žö_№

LEGEND:		
	1	CIP - 1
	2	CIP - 2
	3	CIP -3
	4	CIP - 4
	5	MP - 7
xx	6	MP - 8
	(7a)	BENCH - 1A
\square	7b	BENCH - 1B
o	8	TRASH RECEPTACLE
	9	TD - 1
PA		PLANTING AREA

2

NOTES: HARDSCAPE AND MATERIALS

1. REFER TO CIVIL DRAWINGS FOR EXISTING AND PROPOSED UTILITY LINES, POLES, METERS, VAULTS AND STRUCTURES. 2. ALL SITE FURNISHINGS TO BE CONTRACTOR INSTALLED. FINAL LOCATIONS AND QUANTITIES TO BE REVIEWED AND FINALIZED. 3. REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN THESE DRAWINGS AND ACTUAL FIELD CONDITIONS TO RESIDENT ENGINEER PRIOR TO

CONSTRUCTION INSTALLATION. 4. ALL PAVEMENT JOINTS TO BE TOOLED OR SAWCUT, DO NOT LEAVE TOOL MARKS WHEN JOINTING (NO SHINERS OR WINDOW PANING).

5. PROVIDE EXPANSION JOINTS AT ALL CHANGES IN PAVING MATERIAL. 6. MEET AND MATCH ADJACENT INFRASTRUCTURE (STAIRS AND

RAMP) WITH A SMOOTH TRANSITION.
 ALL PEDESTRIAN PATHS OF TRAVEL SHALL BE ADA COMPLIANT.

 NOTES:

 1.
 REFER TO PLANTING DRAWINGS: B27-LPP101-103 FOR PLANTING PLANS AND NOTES. REFER TO B27-LPS101 FOR PLANT SCHEDULE.

 2.
 REFER TO B27-LPS101 FOR IRRIGATION NOTES.

 3.
 SEE B27-AFP101-103 FOR PRELIMINARY PAVEMENT GRADING.

- 3. 4. SEE SHEET B27-AZN002 FOR HARDSCAPE AND SITE FURNISHINGS DESCRIPTIONS SEE ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS FOR BRIDGE LIGHTING. SEE PLUMBING DRAWINGS FOR BRIDGE DRAINAGE SYSTEM.
- 5. 6.



	EAST LINK EXTENSION CONTRACT E360 SR 520 TO OVERI AKE TRANSIT CENTER	DRAWING NO.: B27-LHI	P101
R16	MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	FACILITY ID: B27	
	LANDSCAPE HARDSCAPE & SITE FURNISHING - EAST	SHEET No: 35	REV: 0



Σ ĭ No No

LEGEND:		
	1	CIP - 1
	2	CIP - 2
	3	CIP -3
	4	CIP - 4
	5	MP - 7
	6	MP - 8
\sum	(7a)	BENCH - 1A
\Box	7b	BENCH - 1B
0	8	TRASH RECEPTACLE
_	9	TD - 1
PA		PLANTING AREA

- NOTES:
 REFER TO PLANTING DRAWINGS: B27-LPP101-103 FOR PLANTING PLANS AND NOTES. REFER TO B27-LPS101 FOR PLANT SCHEDULE.
 REFER TO B27-LPS101 FOR IRRIGATION NOTES.
 SEE B27-AFP101-103 FOR PRELIMINARY PAVEMENT GRADING.
 SEE B127-AFP101-103 FOR PRELIMINARY PAVEMENT GRADING.
 SEE SHEET B27-AZN002 FOR HARDSCAPE AND SITE FURNISHINGS DESCRIPTIONS
 SEE CELECTPICAL DRAWINGS FOR BRIDGE LIGHTING.

- SEE ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS FOR BRIDGE LIGHTING. SEE PLUMBING DRAWINGS FOR BRIDGE DRAINAGE SYSTEM. 5. 6.



	EAST LINK EXTENSION CONTRACT E360	DRAWING NO.: B27-LH	P102
-R16	SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	FACILITY ID: B27	
	LANDSCAPE HARDSCAPE & SITE FURNISHING - CENTRAL	SHEET No: 36	REV: 0



Σ No CO A

LEGEND:		
	1	CIP - 1
	2	CIP - 2
	3	CIP -3
	4	CIP - 4
	5	MP - 7
	6	MP - 8
>	(7a)	BENCH - 1A
\Box	7b	BENCH - 1B
0	8	TRASH RECEPTACLE
_	9	TD - 1
PA		PLANTING AREA

- NOTES:

 1.
 REFER TO PLANTING DRAWINGS: B27-LPP101-103 FOR PLANTING PLANS AND NOTES. REFER TO B27-LPS101 FOR IPLANT SCHEDULE.

 2.
 REFER TO B27-LPS101 FOR IRRIGATION NOTES.

 3.
 SEE B27-AFP101-103 FOR PRELIMINARY PAVEMENT GRADING.

 4.
 SEE SHEET B27-AZN002 FOR HARDSCAPE AND SITE FURNISHINGS DESCRIPTIONS

 5.
 SEE ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS FOR BRIDGE LIGHTING.

 6.
 SEE PLUMBING DRAWINGS FOR BRIDGE DRAINAGE SYSTEM.



	EAST LINK EXTENSION CONTRACT E360	DRAWING NO.: B27-LH	P103
-R16	SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	FACILITY ID: B27	
	HARDSCAPE & SITE FURNISHING - WEST	SHEET No: 37	REV: 0

PAVING MATERIAL





Type C Paving Finish CIP - 3 + CIP - 4



Concrete jointing



Planter Wall MP - 7 + MP - 8 SIM

BENCH

CIP - 1



CIP-2

Streetlife - Horse Shoe Bench BENCH - 1A

TRENCH DRAIN



BENCH - 1B



Trench Drain Grate TD - 1



LITTER RECEPTACLE



Landscape Forms - Austin Receptacle TRASH RECEPTACLE



	CONTRACT E360	DRAWING NO.: B27-LHS101		
LA-R16	SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	FACILITY ID: B27		
	HARDSCAPE & SITE FURNISHING INDEX	SHEET No: 38	REV: 0	

↓ ↓ ↓ 3ª	1 1/2" 1 1/2"	
*	-	

໌ 3 ີ 3" = 1'-0"





SCORE JOINT	·

07/14/17



07/14/17



07/14/17

EXTEND FILTER

FINISH GRADE.

FINISH GRADE

OF MULCH

FABRIC TO 1" BELOW

ŝ

PERF. STAINLESS SURROUND WITH -

LOCKABLE CAP, FLUSH AT GRADE

PIPE DIA APPROX. 10" (CONFIRM TO FIT OVER DRAIN. WITH MIN

CLEARANCE OF 3/4" ON ALL SIDES).

WRAP IN FILTER FABRIC

ROOF ASSEMBLY INCLUDES

WATERPROOF MEMBRANE, PROTECTION

DRAWN BY:

R. MILLER

K. SNYDER

COURSE, AND STRUCTURAL SLAB PER

STRUCTURAL AND ARCH DWGS.

Σ λö № DATE

DSN CHK APP REVISION

EAST LINK EXTENSION CONTRACT E360	B27-LHD101		
SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER	FACILITY ID: B27		
LANDSCAPE HARDSCAPE DETAILS	SHEET No: 39	REV: 0	





	EAST LINK EXTENSION	DRAWING NO.:	
	CONTRACT E360	B27-LHD102	
A-R16	SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER	FACILITY ID:	
	MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	B27	
	LANDSCAPE	SHEET No:	REV:
	HARDSCAPE & SITE FURNISHING PLAN - PLAZA	40	0



	\17007-E360-PEDBridge-LA-R16_rmiller@hewittseattle.c	
	DBridg	
13/2017 3:57:49 PM	360-PEI	
57:49	07-E	_
7 3:	170	_
201	emp/	-
13/	١Te	

m.rt

DBri												
Ш					DESIGNED BY:							SCALE:
N Poo					J. WOLAND					БŢя		
E36					DRAWN BY:				H() = H() = H()	\$ 1" SCA		FILENAME:
57:4 07-					R. MILLER		нешии					E360-PEDBridge-LA-R10
3:57					CHECKED BY:			EAST LINK C	UN21KUCIOK2	린민		CONTRACT No.:
017 /du					L. EPHREM					-	SoundTransit	RTA/CN 0122-16
3/20 Tem					APPROVED BY:	1	SUBMITTED BY:	DATE:	REVIEWED BY:		DATE:	SUBMITTAL DATE:
ič ⊰	No. DATE	DSN C	HK APP	REVISION	K. SNYDER			07/14/17			07/14/17	07/14/17

- NOTES:
 REFER TO HARDSCAPE AND SITE FURNISHING DRAWINGS: B27-LHP101-103 FOR HARDSCAPE PLANS AND NOTES. REFER TO B27-LHS101 FOR HARDSCAPE AND SITE FURNISHING SCHEDULE.
 REFER TO B27-LPS101 FOR IRRIGATION NOTES.
 SEE B27-AFP101-103 FOR PRELIMINARY PAVEMENT GRADING.
 SEE SHEET B27-AZN002 FOR HARDSCAPE AND SITE FURNISHINGS DESCRIPTIONS
 SEE ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS FOR BRIDGE LIGHTING.
 SEE PLUMBING DRAWINGS FOR BRIDGE DRAINAGE SYSTEM.
 REFER TO B27-LPS101 FOR PLANTING SCHEDULE AND PLANT AND IRRIGATION NOTES



	EAST LINK EXTENSION CONTRACT E360	DRAWING NO.: B27-LP	P101
R16	SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER	FACILITY ID: B27	
	MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	BZ7 SHEET No:	REV.
	LANDSCAPE LANDSCAPE PLANTING PLAN - EAST	41	0 REV.



No.	DATE

DSN CHK APP REVISION

нешітт	KIEWIT-HOF				
SUBMITTED BY:	DATE:	REVIEWED B			
	07/14/17				

DATE:

07/14/17

SUBMITTAL DATE:

07/14/17

L. EPHREM APPROVED BY

K. SNYDER

- NOTES:
 REFER TO HARDSCAPE AND SITE FURNISHING DRAWINGS: B27-LHP101-103 FOR HARDSCAPE PLANS AND NOTES. REFER TO B27-LHS101 FOR HARDSCAPE AND SITE FURNISHING SCHEDULE.
 REFER TO B27-LPS101 FOR IRRIGATION NOTES.
 SEE B27-AFP101-103 FOR PRELIMINARY PAVEMENT GRADING.
 SEE B27-AFP101-103 FOR PRELIMINARY PAVEMENT GRADING.
 SEE SHEET B27-AZN002 FOR HARDSCAPE AND SITE FURNISHINGS DESCRIPTIONS
 SEE ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS FOR BRIDGE LIGHTING.
 SEE PLUMBING DRAWINGS FOR BRIDGE DRAINAGE SYSTEM.
 REFER TO B27-LPS101 FOR PLANTING SCHEDULE AND PLANT AND IRRIGATION NOTES



	EAST LINK EXTENSION CONTRACT E360	DRAWING NO.: B27-LP	P102
-R16	SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER	FACILITY ID: B27	
	LANDSCAPE LANDSCAPE PLANTING PLAN - CENTRAL	SHEET No: 42	REV: 0



07/14/17

07/14/17

07/14/17

DATE

DSN CHK APP REVISION

K. SNYDER

- NOTES:
 REFER TO HARDSCAPE AND SITE FURNISHING DRAWINGS: B27-LHP101-103 FOR HARDSCAPE PLANS AND NOTES. REFER TO B27-LHS101 FOR HARDSCAPE AND SITE FURNISHING SCHEDULE.
 REFER TO B27-LPS101 FOR IRRIGATION NOTES.
 SEE B27-AFP101-103 FOR PRELIMINARY PAVEMENT GRADING.
 SEE SHEET B27-AZN002 FOR HARDSCAPE AND SITE FURNISHINGS DESCRIPTIONS
 SEE ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS FOR BRIDGE LIGHTING.
 SEE PLUMBING DRAWINGS FOR BRIDGE DRAINAGE SYSTEM.
 REFER TO B27-LPS101 FOR PLANTING SCHEDULE AND PLANT AND IRRIGATION NOTES



	EAST LINK EXTENSION CONTRACT E360	DRAWING NO.: B27-LP	P103
-R16	SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER	FACILITY ID: B27	
	LANDSCAPE LANDSCAPE LANDSCAPE PLANTING PLAN - WEST	SHEET No: 43	REV: 0

TREES







GRASSES AND GROUNDCOVER



PERENNIALS

TREE

ACER GRISELIM

MAGNOLIA STELLATA

POPULUS TREMULOIDES

BOUTELOUA GRACILIS

FRAGARIA CHILOENSIS

MISCANTHUS SINENSUS

LEYMUS ARENARIUS

CAREX DIVULSA

GRASSES AND GROUNDCOVERS

CAREX OSHIMENSIS 'EVERGOLD'

LIRIOPE MUSCARI 'ROYAL PURPLE











Bud's Yellow Dogwood

COMMO

PAPERBARK MAPLE

AUTUMN BRILLIANCI

STAR MAGNOLIA

QUAKING ASPEN

BLUE GRAMA GRASS

BERKELEY SEDGE

JAPANESE SEDGE

BEACH STRAWBERF

BLUE LYME GRASS

ROYAL PURPLE LILY

CHINESE SILVER GR

TRANSITIONAL PLANTINGS











<u>NOTES:</u> PLANTING

- FINAL PLANT SELECTIONS SHALL BE NATIVE ADAPTIVE AND/OR DROUGHT TOLERANT SPECIES.
- MIN. TREE CALIPER SHALL BE 3 1/2" OR AS NOTED ON PLANS. PROVIDE MULCH THROUGHOUT ALL PLANTED AREAS TO A DEPTH OF 3 INCHES.
- ALL PLANTS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER CITY OF REDMOND AND MICROSOFT STANDARDS
- MEET AND MATCH EXISTING PLANTING AREAS TO REMAIN WITH SMOOTH 5. TRANSITION.
- FIELD STAKE PLANTING LAYOUT FOR APPROVAL BY RESIDENT ENGINEER PRIOR 6 TO PLANTING.
- VERIFY LOCATION OF ALL UTILITIES PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. REFER TO SOIL PREPERATION AND PLANT DETAILS ON SHEETS E27-LPD101-102.
- 8.
- REFER TO SHEET B27-LPS101 FOR PLANT SCHEDULE.

<u>NOTES:</u> IRRIGATION

- CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING FULLY AUTOMATED, FULL COVERAGE FOR ALL IRRIGATED AREAS THROUGHOUT BRIDGE PROJECT LIMITS.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE IRRIGATION EQUIPMENT LOCATIONS W/ PLANT 2. MATERIAL TO AVOID CONFLICTS, SPRAY BLOCKAGE & ANY OTHER ITEMS DEEMED APPROPRIATE BY THE RESIDENT ENGINEER.
- 3. DRAWINGS ARE SCHEMATIC. ACTUAL LOCATIONS MAY VARY DUE TO PLANT MATERIALS, UTILITIES OR OTHER CONDITIONS. ALL IRRIGATION EQUIPMENT SHALL BE LOCATED WITHIN PLANTING AREAS, WHERE POSSIBLE. ADJUST HEIGHT OF IRRIGATION HEADS TO CLEAR PLANTINGS AS NECESSARY, TYP. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATING ALL UTILITIES PRIOR TO BEGINNING
- 4 OF CONSTRUCTION. PROTECT UTILITIES DURING CONSTRUCTION AND RESTORE DAMAGED UTILITES AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO OWNER IN A TIMELY MANNER. PROVIDE ONE WINTERIZATION AND ONE DE-WINTERIZING/SPRING STARTUP OF
- 5. THE SYSTEM DURING THE WARRANTY PERIOD. COORDINATE TIMING OF WINTERIZATION AND DE-WINTERIZING/SPRING WITH RESIDENT ENGR. SEE SPECIFICATIONS FOR WINTERIZATION AND DE-WINTERIZING/SPRING START-UP REQUIREMENTS

DSN CHK APP REVISION

CONTROLLER AND ASSEMBLY TO BE LOCATED IN HEAD HOUSE RM# TBD 8

PLANTING COVERAGE ASSUMPTIONS:

- TREES AS LOCATED IN PLAN SHRUBS ASSUME 30% COVERAGE @ 36" O.C.
- GRASSES ASSUME 60% COVERAGE AT 24" O.C.
- PERRENIALS ASSUME 25% COVERAGE AT 24" O C 5. GROUNDCOVERS (NOT LISTED) ASSUME #4 POTS @ 30" O.C FOR
- 100% COVERAGE

NOTES: SOIL SPECIFICATION

- A. SEE IMPORTED TOPSOIL SPECIFICATION. CITY OF REDMOND STANDARD SPEC 9.14.1(10) FOR PLANTING SOIL REQUIREMENTS.
- B. MANUFACTURER: CEDAR GROVE, TWO-WAY SOIL MIX, OR APPROVED EQUAL TYPICALLY MEETS THE AFOREMENTIONED REQUIREMENTS. OBTAIN AND SUBMIT TESTING TO CONFIRM LATEST SOIL SPEC CONTINUES TO MEET REQUIREMENTS PRIOR TO INSTALLATION. C. COMPOSITION MIXTURE OF PURE COMPOST; AND SAND,
- SANDY LOAM OR SILTY SAND WHICH IS HIGH IN ORGANIC CONTENT, COMPRISED OF FULLY COMPOSTED AND MATURE ORGANIC MATERIALS. FREE OF FRESH SAWDUST/WOOD PRODUCTS; COMPOST SHALL BE PRODUCED AT A PERMITTED SOLID WASTE COMPOSTING FACILITY.
- SCREEN SIZE: 7/16" MAXIMUM TOTAL"NITROGEN .25% MINIMUM
- ORGANIC MATTER 10% PH RANGE 5.5-7.5 4. PH RANGE
- 5. CONDUCTIVITY 5MMHOS/CM MAXIMUM

MULCH SPECIFICATION A. SEE MULCH SPECIFICATION CITY OF REDMOND STANDARD SPEC 9.14.1(9).

- "PACIFIC GARDEN MULCH" AS PROVIDED BY PACIFIC TOPSOILS, INC., OR APPROVED EQUAL, TYPICALLY MEETS THE AFOREMENTIONED REQUIREMENTS. OBTAIN AND SUBMIT TESTING TO CONFIRM LATEST MULCH SPEC.
- CONTINUES TO MEET REQUIREMENTS PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.
- 1. ORGANIC MULCH FOR GROUND COVER BEDS
- AND TREE AND SHRUB SAUCERS AND/OR BEDS.
- 2. INSTALL TO A DEPTH OF 2 INCHES MIN.



PINUS STROBUS 'BLUE SHAG' BLUE SHAG EASTER PERENNIAL ACHILLEA MILLEFOLIUM 'TERRA COTTA' TERRA COTTA YARR ECHINACEA PURPUREA 'POW WOW' POW WOW WHITE CO HEMEROCALLIS 'BELA LUGOSI' BELA LUGOSI DAYLII RUDBECKIA FULGIDA 'GOLDSTURM GOLDSTURM BLACK SALVIA X SYLVESTRIS 'MAY NIGHT' MAY NIGHT SAGE SEDUM 'MR. GOODBUD'

BOTANICAL NAME

AMELANCHIER X GRANDIFLORA 'AUTUMN BRILLIANCE'

BOTANICAL NAME

- MR. GOODBUD STON SHRUB CEANOTHUS IMPRESSUS 'VANDENBERG' VANDENBERG MOUN CORNUS ALBA 'BUD'S YELLOW' BUD'S YELLOW DOGV HAMAMELIS VIRGINIANA WITCH HAZEL MYRICA CALIFORNICA WAX MYRTLE PINUS CONTORTA SHORE PINE
- PINUS THUNBERGII 'THUNDERHEAD' THUNDERHEAD BLAC RIBES SANGUINEUM 'ICECICLE' WHITE FLOWERING C
- PLANTING SCHEDU BOTANICAL NAME COMMON HAKONECHLOA MACRA HAKON GRASS
- HEMEROCALLIS 'BELA LUGOSI' BELA LUGOSI DAYLII HYDRANGEA QUERCIFOLIA ' SNOW QUEEN SNOW QUEEN OAKLE LIRIOPE MUSCARI 'ROYAL PURPLE ROYAL PURPLE LILY CREEPING RASPBER

DESIGNED BY J WOLAND KIEWIT-HOFFMAN DRAWN BY: НЕШІТТ E360-PEDBridge-L/ R. MILLER EAST LINK CONSTRUCTORS CHECKED B CONTRACT No. SoundTransit ... EPHREM RTA/CN 0122-16 PPROVED B SUBMITTED BY: EVIEWED B JBMITTAL DATE K. SNYDER 07/14/17 07/14/17 07/14/17

Èć

ASSUME QUICK COUPLERS @ 150' O.C. POC TBD

SHRUBS - EVERGREEN











SHRUBS - DECIDUOUS





PLANTING SCHEDULE - TREES

N NAME	SIZE	SPACING	COMMENTS
	U.L.L	of Addition	COMMENTS
	3.5" CAL	PER PLAN	
E SERVICEBERRY	3.5" CAL	PER PLAN	
	3.5" CAL	PER PLAN	
	0.51.041	050 01 111	
	3.5" CAL	PER PLAN	

PLANTING SCHEDULE - GRASSES, PERENNIALS, AND SHRUBS

COMMON NAME	SIZE	SPACING	COMMENTS
COMMON NAME	JILL		
MA GRASS	#2 POT	24" O.C.	
Y SEDGE	#2 POT	24" O.C.	
E SEDGE	#2 POT	24" O.C.	
RAWBERRY	4" POT	24" O.C.	
IE GRASS	#2 POT	24" O.C.	
IRPLE LILYTURF	#2 POT	24" O.C.	
SILVER GRASS	#2 POT	24" O.C.	
G EASTERN WHITE PINE	#7 POT	30" O.C.	
OTTA YARROW	#1 POT	24" O.C.	
V WHITE CONEFLOWER	#1 POT	24" O.C.	
OSI DAYLILY	#1 POT	24" O.C.	
RM BLACK-EYED SUSAN	#1 POT	24" O.C.	
IT SAGE	#1 POT	24" O.C.	
DBUD STONECROP	#1 POT	24" O.C.	
ERG MOUNTAIN LILAC	#5 POT	24" O.C.	
LOW DOGWOOD	#5 POT	24" O.C.	
ZEL	#5 POT	24" O.C.	
TLE	#5 POT	24" O.C.	
NE	#5 POT	24" O.C.	
HEAD BLACK PINE	#5 POT	24" O.C.	
OWERING CURRANT	#5 POT	24" O.C.	

JLE - TRANSITIONAL PLANTING									
NAME	SIZE	SPACING	COMMENTS						
	#1 POT								
LY	#1 POT								
EAF HYDRANGEA	#5 POT								
TURF	#1 POT								
RY	#1 POT								
	#2 POT								

	CONTRACT E360	DRAWING NO.: B27-LPS	6101
\-R16	SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	FACILITY ID: B27	
	LANDSCAPE PLANTING SCHEDULE / INDEX	SHEET No: 44	REV: 0



Ξć

DATE





	EAST LINK EXTENSION	DRAWING NO .:	
	CONTRACT E360	B27-LPD	102
4-R16	SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER	FACILITY ID:	
	MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	B27	
	LANDSCAPE	SHEET No:	REV:
	LANDSCAPE PLANTING PLAN - PLAZA	46	0



8												
빌						DESIGNED BY:						SCALE:
		COI	NCE	EPT	T DESIGN PACKAGE	M. WIND				ГЕТЧ		1" = 50'-0"
Ϋ́						DRAWN BY:			HUFFMAN	\$ 1"		FILENAME:
10						S. KEAR	HEWIII		ONSTRUCTORS	비미		E360-B27-A.RVT
22						CHECKED BY:		EASI LINK C	NN91KACIAK9	티민		CONTRACT No.:
du						L. EPHREM				-	SoundTransit	RTA/CN 0122-13
Ter 1						APPROVED BY:	SUBMITTED BY:	DATE:	REVIEWED BY:		DATE:	SUBMITTAL DATE:
0	No. DATE	DSN	СНК	APP	REVISION	P. SHEMA	G. OWEN	07/14/17	A. MENCKE		07/14/17	07/14/17





7/14/2017 10:24:35 AM ^.1Tamo/17007_F360_PFDRridge_A_R16_mwind

SCA	E IN FEET	
EAST LINK EXTENSION CONTRACT E360	DRAWING NO.: B27-AE	E101
SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER	FACILITY ID: B27	
 MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATIONS	SHEET No: 93	REV: 0

16' 4' 0'

16'

32'



/2017 10:24:43 AM



57

DATE

$\underline{\text{TOP OF ELEVATOR}}_{416'-8''} \bigoplus$	
——————————————————————————————————————	
TOP OF STRUCTURAL	
(8) − − TOP OF ELEVATOR 416'-8" ↔	
416-8" U TOP OF	
<u>HEADHOUSE</u> 406'-1 3/16"	
TOP OF STRUCTURAL	
DECK @ PIER 9	
SEE STRUCTURAL	
TOP OF LANDING 370'-0"	
370'-0"	
GDR-3	
1	6' 4' 0' 16' 32'
	SCALE IN FEET
EAST LINK EXTENSION	DRAWING NO .:
CONTRACT E360	B27-AEE103
SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENT	ER FACILITY ID:
MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	B27
ARCHITECTURAL	SHEET NO. REV.
	95 0





	TOP OF LANDING 370'-0"	•
I	A B27-AEE203	
		50' 100'
	EAST LINK EXTENSION	DRAWING NO.: B27-AEE200
	CONTRACT E360 SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER	FACILITY ID:
	MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE ARCHITECTURAL SOUTH KEYED ELEVATIONS	B27 SHEET No: REV: 96 0

9 `

Inter

A

TOP OF ELEVATOR 416'-8"

TOP OF HEADHOUSE 406'-1 3/16"



EAST LINK EXTENSION	DRAWING NO.:	
 CONTRACT E360	B27-AEE201	
SR 520 TO OVERLAKE TRANSIT CENTER	FACILITY ID: B27	
MICROSOFT PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	SHEET No:	REV:
ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATIONS	97	0



DATE



Appendix B Construction Phasing
Appendix B Construction Phasing

The new pedestrian/bicycle bridge would be built in phases, with the sections over SR 520 being completed first, the connection to the Microsoft campus west of SR 520 second, and the connection to the Microsoft campus east of SR 520 last. The phasing described below assumes precast girders over SR 520 and cast-in-place box girder construction for the western bridge span over Microsoft and for the eastern bridge spans from the south side of NE 36th Street and over 156th Avenue NE. The precast construction over SR 520 would reduce the duration of closures and detours. The phasing described here is based on July 2017 Maintenance of Traffic plans and was used for determining potential traffic impacts.

Phases 1A and 1B: Construction would begin across SR 520 in Phase 1. A column in the median of SR 520 would require a temporary 500-foot-long barrier pocket installed in the median. Phase 1A would require nightly short-term closure and detours for re-striping of the SR 520 mainline. Within the construction zone on SR 520 (approximately 0.5 mile in length at the SR 520/NE 40th Street interchange), the mainline lanes would be narrowed from 12 to 11 feet and the shoulders on both sides of the road would be narrowed to 2 feet. In Phase 1B, the westbound collector-distributor (CD) lane at the SR 520/NE 40th Street interchange would be closed for construction of falsework support for the bridge. During the westbound CD lane closure, a temporary opening in the barrier that separates the westbound CD lane and mainline lane would be created to allow a merge condition for vehicles entering SR 520 westbound from the NE 51st Street on-ramp. Construction of the column between the eastbound off-ramp and the mainline is assumed to occur from a Sound Transit East Link staging area east of SR 520. During nighttime short-term closures of the SR 520 westbound mainline and CD lanes, a detour would be in place along NE 40th Street and 148th Avenue NE. During nighttime short-term closure of the SR 520 eastbound off-ramp and on-ramp.

Phases 2A, 2B, 2C, and 2D: The bridge sections over the eastbound off-ramp and westbound on-ramp at SR 520/NE 40th Street interchange would be constructed in Phase 2, including the columns between these ramps and the mainline. Nightly short-term closures and detours of both ramps for erecting and removing falsework would be needed. Phase 2A involves nightly short-term closures of the westbound off-ramp, with two weekend closures for bridge column drilling operations. Turn lanes on NE 40th Street at the ramps would also be closed. Phase 2B involves closing the middle lane, high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lane (outer lane), and right shoulder of the westbound on-ramp during this phase. Phase 2C involves closing the westbound on-ramp HOV bypass lane and right shoulder for up to 6 months for building the column between the mainline and the westbound on-ramp in front of a soil nail wall. Phase 2D would require short-term night closures of the eastbound NE 40th Street off-ramp for erecting and removing falsework.

Phases 3A, 3B, and 3C: The bridge section over the Microsoft service road and SR 520 Multiuse Regional Trail on the west side of SR 520 would be constructed in Phase 3, including the two columns on Microsoft property west of SR 520. Full closure of the road and path would be required on several nights for erecting and removing falsework, with a full closure of up to 2 weeks for drilled shafts and drilling operations for the column west of the westbound on-ramp. The east lane of the service road and SR 520 Multiuse Regional Trail could be closed for up to 6 months for other work over these facilities. The service road west lane would remain open, and the ramp and loading dock for the adjacent Microsoft buildings would not be affected.

Phase 4: The bridge section over the NE 36th Street and Augusta Drive intersection on the east side of SR 520 would be constructed in Phase 4, including the three columns east of SR 520. Full closures of the intersection would be required, although a temporary bus loop there would remain accessible and operational for public transit and the Microsoft Connector bus. Augusta Drive would also be accessible from NE 31st Street. Full closures at night would also be required for erecting and removing falsework over NE 36th Street. Augusta Drive would be closed for up to 2 weeks during construction of columns to the south.

Phases 5A, 5B, 5C, and 5D: The bridge section over 156th Avenue NE would be constructed last. Various lane closures would be required on the north, south, and east legs of the NE 36th Street and 156th Avenue NE intersection. Full closures of the south leg on nights and/or weekends would be required for erecting and removing falsework over 156th Avenue NE. Closure of the southbound lanes on 156th Avenue NE would be required twice for up to 4 days for drilled shaft construction. A 200-foot narrowed roadway section on 156th Avenue NE would be required under the falsework. The existing lane configuration would be preserved and traffic would operate under the falsework. The sidewalk on the east side of 156th Avenue NE would be closed during construction of the connecting ramp, with alternative access provided via a temporary pedestrian path. Pedestrian access on one side of 156th Avenue NE would be maintained at all times.

Appendix C Affected Parcels



This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix D Images of Overpasses within the Assessment Area

Appendix D Images of Overpasses within the Assessment Area



Exhibit D-1. Northbound View from SR 520 of the 148th Avenue NE Overpass (Approximately 200 Feet Away)



Exhibit D-2. Northbound View from SR 520 of the NE 36th Street Overpass (Approximately 200 Feet Away)



Exhibit D-3. Northbound View from SR 520 of the NE 40th Street Overpass (Approximately 200 Feet Away)



Exhibit D-4. Northbound View from SR 520 of the NE 51st Street Overpass (Approximately 200 Feet Away)



Exhibit D-5. Northbound View from SR 520 of the NE 60th Overpass (Approximately 200 Feet Away)



Exhibit D-6. Southbound View from SR 520 of the NE 60th Overpass (Approximately 400 Feet Away)



Exhibit D-7. Southbound View from SR 520 of the NE 51st Street Overpass (Approximately 400 Feet Away)



Exhibit D-8. Southbound View from SR 520 of the NE 40th Street Overpass (Approximately 400 Feet Away)



Exhibit D-9. Southbound View from SR 520 of the NE 36th Street Overpass (Approximately 400 Feet Away)



Exhibit D-10. Southbound View from SR 520 of the 148th Avenue NE Overpass (Approximately 400 Feet Away)

Appendix E Archaeological Probability Map



This page intentionally left blank.