

10/10/2024 System Expansion Committee  
Meeting Written Public Comment  
Submissions

Submissions

<b>Gary Reifel</b> .....	3
<b>Marcia Kato</b> .....	4
<b>Paul Haury</b> .....	5
<b>Robert McCall and family</b> .....	7
<b>Mary Heinze</b> .....	9
<b>Matt</b> .....	10
<b>Mary Ellen Cunningham</b> .....	11
<b>Leah Hammack</b> .....	13
<b>Joyce Aoyama</b> .....	14
<b>Steven Zsitvay</b> .....	15
<b>Bill Hirt</b> .....	17
<b>Miriam Chilton and Darcell Slovek-Walker of Transitional Resources</b> .....	18
<b>Wilson Bailey</b> .....	19
<b>Lucy Barefoot</b> .....	21
<b>Maggie Fimia and John Niles</b> .....	23
<b>Gavin Yehle</b> .....	24
<b>Marilyn Kennell</b> .....	24
<b>Maren Costa</b> .....	26
<b>Chad Hembrow</b> .....	27
<b>Johannes Heine</b> .....	29
<b>Myra and Vince Ferriols</b> .....	29

<b>Scott Smith</b> .....	31
<b>Paul Haury</b> .....	31
<b>Tanya Hurst</b> .....	33
<b>Pamela Adams</b> .....	33
<b>Unnamed Commenter</b> .....	34
<b>Comments submitted after deadline</b> .....	34
<b>Rachel Smith</b> .....	34
<b>Heidi Shining-Forrer</b> .....	35
<b>Mark Forrer</b> .....	37
<b>Lauren Frey</b> .....	40

## [Gary Reifel](#)

Hi ST3 Board,

I attended the person meeting and was pleased to see/hear the WSEA line is progressing to final design. **There's a clear winner: WSJ-6 "No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative"** listed on page 26 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary.

Here's why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the "preferred medium tunnel alternative." My editorial comment underlined.

- WSJ-6 "No Avalon Station Tunnel" **costs less** \$1.4-1.50 billion vs. the 'preferred alternative' \$1.75 - 1.9 billion. This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.
- Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fautleroy and the WSEA Bridge. Tunnel under Avalon Blvd on the East side of Yancy reduces SFH & Roadway impacts.
- Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative. The BofA Space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is under utilized currently.
- Two station design complicates the bus/train transition. Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station.
- Improved Trip times to SoDO and downtown. Quickened trip times will drive ridership, the Avalon Station .25/miles from the Junction station.
- 10 Residential displacements and the cost of property acquisition on 32nd Ave are eliminated.
- ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

In closing, WSJ-6 which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for WSEA. If the Avalon station build proceeds WSE will suffer years of roadway impacts and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and quickly.

Thank you,

Gary Reifel - a 20+ year resident of West Seattle

206-601-1051

On Feb 9, 2023, at 10:03 AM, Meeting Comments <MeetingComments@soundtransit.org> wrote:  
Good morning Gary,

On Behalf of the Sound Transit Board of Directors, thank you for submitting your public comment regarding the proposed Avalon Station alternative. Your comment will be provided to the Board of Directors for consideration prior to its System Expansion Committee meeting today.

Please note that this submission is now a public record and the body of your comment will be posted to the Sound Transit website following the meeting for review by the public.

**Adam Montee**

Program Manager – Board Administration

Pronouns: He/Him/His

Executive Department

Sound Transit

[<image001.png>](#)

**Connect with us!**

[<image002.png><image003.png>](#) [<image004.png>](#) [<image005.png>](#)

**From:** Gary Reifel

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 8, 2023 9:53 PM

**To:** Meeting Comments <[MeetingComments@soundtransit.org](mailto:MeetingComments@soundtransit.org)>

**Subject:** West Seattle Link Comment - this design is Great!

Hi ST3 Committee,

Writing in support of the design pictured here which eliminates the Avalon Station is the best design yet. Clearly the ST3 design team has listened to residents.

Merging the Avalon station and the Alaska Junction station with the tunnel design at Andover and 32nd makes great sense and saves an estimated \$80M in transit dollars.

Other benefits include:

Minimizes impact to surface streets and drivers during and after construction.

No negative impact to sight lines on road ways that accompany an elevated design.

Separates trains from cars and pedestrians which will reduce accidents.

The approach up Andover Street aligns well with the Delridge station and leaves the entry into West Seattle visually the same.

Thanks for your work.

Gary Reifel

[Marcia Kato](#)

Dear ST Board Members,

I write to advocate for the West Seattle rail design that's best for West Seattle. Of the designs proposed there's a clear winner: **WSJ-6 "No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative"**

This design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the "preferred medium tunnel alternative" for many reasons, all outlined on pg. 26 of the West SEA Executive Summary published in September.

- **WSJ-6 design costs less:** \$1.4-1.50 billion vs. the 'preferred alternative' \$1.75 - 1.9 billion. *This design is also less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays. Keep it simple and do what's right for Seattle's Taxpayers at large, this design does that.*
- **Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 2+ years of construction/roadway Bridge disruption.** *Tunneling under Avalon Blvd on the East side of Yancy will dramatically reduce SFH & roadway impacts.*

- **Projected ridership is roughly equal 7,500 vs 7,600.** The BofA building and parking lot should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, this will increase ridership. Again keep bus/train transfers simple at one station.
- **The two station design complicates the bus/train transitions.** A centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station is best; consider the BofA property and parking lot for a transit center central to shopping.
- **Single station design quickens trips to SoDO and downtown by 5 minutes or more.** Quicker trips will drive ridership. Note the Avalon and Junction stations are separated by a quarter mile, building both makes no sense.
- **10 Residential displacements will be eliminated.** Reduces build cost and property acquisitions, the Avalon station will be a costly misstep if kept.
- **38 fewer business displacements** one of which includes portions of Transitional Housing's facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and SW Yancy St.

In closing, the WSJ-6 design which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design. West Seattle residents will suffer years of roadway impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and swiftly without the Avalon Station.

Thank you,  
Marcia Kato  
WS resident

*Paul Haury*

Hello, Dow Constantine, Carla Rogers, Lauren Swift, Rob Saka, and Bruce Harrell,

I write to advocate for a final West Seattle Link design that's good for West Seattle. **There's a clear winning route:**

- **The WSJ-6 "No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative"** listed on Figure Table ES-4 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary,
- With the ***Tunnel Entrance*** (noted on in the "**Lower Height No Avalon Station Tunnel Connection Alternative DEL-7,**" listed on Figure ES-23,) ***moved 500 to 700 feet East for an entrance on the east side of SW Avalon Way/Northside of SW Yancey St.***

Here's why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the "preferred medium tunnel alternative."

*My editorial comments found in the sub bullets.*

- WSJ-6 "No Avalon Station Tunnel" **costs less** \$1.4-1.5 billion vs. the 'preferred alternative' \$1.75 - 1.9 billion.
  - This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.
  - Preserves the West Seattle neighborhood between SW. Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot.
  - Avoids creating a pedestrian risk of a geographically redundant station located at the entrance to the West Seattle Bridge.

- Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fauntleroy and the WSEA Bridge.
- Removes 22 residential acquisitions and displacements and the cost of property acquisition for South section of 32nd Ave SW.
- DEL-7 to WSJ-6 connection, *with tunnel entrance moved to the east side of SW Avalon Way & SW Yancey St.*
  - **2 less intersections impacted**, 1, SW Andover St. and 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW, and 2, SW. Avalon Way and SW Andover St./SW Yancey Street
  - Adds approximately 80 feet of depth of ground buffer for reducing the vibration disturbance impacting the W. Seattle Bridge Freeway
  - And vibration and sound for the residents of SW. Avalon Way, 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW., Fauntleroy Way SW, 33<sup>rd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Ave. SW.
  - Offers a lower height for elevated track leaving the Delridge station (**reduced elevated construction engineering and material costs**).
  - Avoids closing primary egress/ingress and economic impacts cutting hardships on businesses and neighborhoods during construction, SW. Avalon Way.
  - Removes 10 Residential displacements + 1 Condominium Building and the cost of property acquisition for North section of 32nd Ave SW.
- Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative.
  - The B of A space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is underutilized currently.
  - Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station instead of increasing a pedestrian injury and traffic accident risk by locating a transit station near the West Seattle Bridge entrance.
  - Quickened trip times (less the Avalon Station .39 miles from the Junction station) times to SoDo & Downtown will help drive ridership.
- ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

In closing, DEL-7 w/ Tunnel Moved East of SW Avalon Way + WSJ-6 which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for West Seattle.

If the Avalon station build proceeds WSE will suffer years of negative roadway and economic impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and better for the people of West Seattle.

Thank you,

Paul Haury, 22 year resident of West Seattle  
Seattle, Washington

## *Robert McCall and family*

Hello Dow Constantine, Carla Rogers, Lauren Swift, Rob Saka, and Bruce Harrell,

As one of the many businesses and/or households greatly affected by this behemoth of a project, I write to advocate for a final West Seattle Link design that's better for West Seattle and the integrity of our great neighborhood. I live at 4105 32nd Ave SW where we built our home 18 years ago as our "forever" family home so we will, as will many, many others, be affected in no small way both physically and emotionally with this massively impactful project. While I'm no advocate of light rail to West Seattle, in light of the likely inevitability of it, I share with thousands of other folx that **there's a clear winning route:**

- **The WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative”** listed on Figure Table ES-4 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary,
- With the ***Tunnel Entrance*** (noted on in the “**Lower Height No Avalon Station Tunnel Connection Alternative DEL-7,**” listed on Figure ES-23,) ***moved 500 to 700 feet East for an entrance on the east side of SW Avalon Way/Northside of SW Yancey St.***

Here's why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the “preferred medium tunnel alternative.”

*Editorial comments found in the sub bullets.*

- WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel” **costs less** \$1.4-1.5 billion vs. the ‘preferred alternative’ \$1.75 - 1.9 billion.
  - This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.
  - Preserves the West Seattle neighborhood between SW. Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot.
  - Avoids creating a pedestrian risk of a geographically redundant station located at the entrance to the West Seattle Bridge.
  - Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fauntleroy and the WSEA Bridge.
  - Removes 22 residential acquisitions and displacements and the cost of property acquisition for South section of 32nd Ave SW.
- DEL-7 to WSJ-6 connection, *with tunnel entrance moved to the east side of SW Avalon Way & SW Yancey St.*
  - **2 less intersections impacted**, 1, SW Andover St. and 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW, and 2, SW. Avalon Way and SW Andover St./SW Yancey Street
  - Adds approximately 80 feet of depth of ground buffer for reducing the vibration disturbance impacting the W. Seattle Bridge Freeway

- And vibration and sound for the residents of SW. Avalon Way, 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW., Fauntleroy Way SW, 33<sup>rd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Ave. SW.
  - Offers a lower height for elevated track leaving the Delridge station (**reduced elevated construction engineering and material costs**).
  - Avoids closing primary egress/ingress and economic impacts cutting hardships on businesses and neighborhoods during construction, SW. Avalon Way.
  - Removes 10 Residential displacements + 1 Condominium Building and the cost of property acquisition for Noth section of 32nd Ave SW.
- Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative.
    - The B of A space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is underutilized currently.
    - Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station instead of increasing a pedestrian injury and traffic accident risk by locating a transit station near the West Seattle Bridge entrance.
    - Quicken trip times (less the Avalon Station .39 miles from the Junction station) times to SoDo & Downtown will help drive ridership.
  - ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

In closing, DEL-7 w/ Tunnel Moved East of SW Avalon Way + WSJ-6 which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for West Seattle.

If the Avalon station build proceeds WSE will suffer years of negative roadway and economic impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and better for the people of West Seattle.

And very importantly the prospects of negatively impactful noise pollution, increased crime, unsightly impacts to the area, and other inevitable unintended nuisances should no question be considered and will no doubt be a lasting legacy of such a project.

Thank you,

Robert McCall  
 Chantelle McCall  
 Jacob McCall (16yrs old)  
 Olivia McCall (12yrs old)

20 year residents of West Seattle



## Mary Heinze

Hello, Dow Constantine, Carla Rogers, Lauren Swift, Rob Saka, and Bruce Harrell,

I write to advocate for a final West Seattle Link design that's good for West Seattle. **There's a clear winning route:**

- **The WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative”** listed on Figure Table ES-4 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary,
- With the ***Tunnel Entrance*** (noted on in the “**Lower Height No Avalon Station Tunnel Connection Alternative DEL-7,**” listed on Figure ES-23,) ***moved 500 to 700 feet East for an entrance on the east side of SW Avalon Way/Northside of SW Yancey St.***

Here's why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the “preferred medium tunnel alternative.”

*My editorial comments found in the sub bullets.*

- WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel” **costs less** \$1.4-1.5 billion vs. the ‘preferred alternative’ \$1.75 - 1.9 billion.
  - This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.
  - Preserves the West Seattle neighborhood between SW. Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot.
  - Avoids creating a pedestrian risk of a geographically redundant station located at the entrance to the West Seattle Bridge.
  - Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fauntleroy and the WSEA Bridge.
  - Removes 22 residential acquisitions and displacements and the cost of property acquisition for South section of 32nd Ave SW.
- DEL-7 to WSJ-6 connection, *with tunnel entrance moved to the east side of SW Avalon Way & SW Yancey St.*
  - **2 less intersections impacted**, 1, SW Andover St. and 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW, and 2, SW. Avalon Way and SW Andover St./SW Yancey Street
  - Adds approximately 80 feet of depth of ground buffer for reducing the vibration disturbance impacting the W. Seattle Bridge Freeway
  - And vibration and sound for the residents of SW. Avalon Way, 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW., Fauntleroy Way SW, 33<sup>rd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Ave. SW.
  - Offers a lower height for elevated track leaving the Delridge station (**reduced elevated construction engineering and material costs**).

- Avoids closing primary egress/ingress and economic impacts cutting hardships on businesses and neighborhoods during construction, SW. Avalon Way.
  - Removes 10 Residential displacements + 1 Condominium Building and the cost of property acquisition for North section of 32nd Ave SW.
- Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative.
    - The B of A space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is underutilized currently.
    - Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station instead of increasing a pedestrian injury and traffic accident risk by locating a transit station near the West Seattle Bridge entrance.
    - Quickened trip times (less the Avalon Station .39 miles from the Junction station) times to SoDo & Downtown will help drive ridership.
  - ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

In closing, DEL-7 w/ Tunnel Moved East of SW Avalon Way + WSJ-6 which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for West Seattle.

If the Avalon station build proceeds WSE will suffer years of negative roadway and economic impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and better for the people of West Seattle.

Thank you,

Mary Heinze, 40 year resident in current home, 73 resident of West Seattle

*Matt*

Hello,

I'm writing to vote for the WSJ-6 No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative as opposed to the currently preferred WSJ-5b option. WSJ-6 is cheaper and causes far less disruption and displacements, while only lowering projected ridership by 100. A 1 station vs 2 station design has other benefits too - quicker trips to downtown from Junction, and lower maintenance costs.

Thanks,

Matt

*Mary Ellen Cunningham*

Hello, Dow Constantine.

I write to advocate for a final West Seattle Link design that's good for West Seattle. **There's a clear winning route:**

- **The WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative”** listed on Figure Table ES-4 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary,
- With the ***Tunnel Entrance*** (noted on in the “**Lower Height No Avalon Station Tunnel Connection Alternative DEL-7,**” listed on Figure ES-23,) ***moved 500 to 700 feet East for an entrance on the east side of SW Avalon Way/Northside of SW Yancey St.***

Here's why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the “preferred medium tunnel alternative.”

*My editorial comments found in the sub bullets.*

- WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel” **costs less** \$1.4-1.5 billion vs. the ‘preferred alternative’ \$1.75 - 1.9 billion.
  - This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.
  - Preserves the West Seattle neighborhood between SW. Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot.
  - Avoids creating a pedestrian risk of a geographically redundant station located at the entrance to the West Seattle Bridge.
  - Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fauntleroy and the WSEA Bridge.
  - Removes 22 residential acquisitions and displacements and the cost of property acquisition for South section of 32nd Ave SW.
- DEL-7 to WSJ-6 connection, *with tunnel entrance moved to the east side of SW Avalon Way & SW Yancey St.*
  - **2 less intersections impacted**, 1, SW Andover St. and 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW, and 2, SW. Avalon Way and SW Andover St./SW Yancey Street
  - Adds approximately 80 feet of depth of ground buffer for reducing the vibration disturbance impacting the W. Seattle Bridge Freeway

- And vibration and sound for the residents of SW. Avalon Way, 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW., Fautleroy Way SW, 33<sup>rd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Ave. SW.
  - Offers a lower height for elevated track leaving the Delridge station (**reduced elevated construction engineering and material costs**).
  - Avoids closing primary egress/ingress and economic impacts cutting hardships on businesses and neighborhoods during construction, SW. Avalon Way.
  - Removes 10 Residential displacements + 1 Condominium Building and the cost of property acquisition for North section of 32nd Ave SW.
- Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative.
    - The B of A space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is underutilized currently.
    - Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station instead of increasing a pedestrian injury and traffic accident risk by locating a transit station near the West Seattle Bridge entrance.
    - Quickened trip times (less the Avalon Station .39 miles from the Junction station) times to SoDo & Downtown will help drive ridership.
  - ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

In closing, DEL-7 w/ Tunnel Moved East of SW Avalon Way + WSJ-6 which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for West Seattle.

If the Avalon station build proceeds WSE will suffer years of negative roadway and economic impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and better for the people of West Seattle.

Thank you,

Mary Ellen Cunningham, 25 year resident of West Seattle

## *Leah Hammack*

Hello, Dow Constantine, Carla Rogers, Lauren Swift, Rob Saka, and Bruce Harrell,

I write to advocate for a final West Seattle Link design that's good for West Seattle. **There's a clear winning route:**

- **The WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative”** listed on Figure Table ES-4 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary,
- With the ***Tunnel Entrance*** (noted on in the “**Lower Height No Avalon Station Tunnel Connection Alternative DEL-7,**” listed on Figure ES-23,) ***moved 500 to 700 feet East for an entrance on the east side of SW Avalon Way/Northside of SW Yancy St.***

Here's why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b, the “preferred medium tunnel alternative.”

*Editorial comments found in the sub bullets.*

- WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel” **costs less** \$1.4-1.5 billion vs. the ‘preferred alternative’ \$1.75 - 1.9 billion.
  - This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.
  - Preserves the West Seattle neighborhood between SW. Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot.
  - Avoids creating a pedestrian risk of a geographically redundant station located at the entrance to the West Seattle Bridge.
  - Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fauntleroy and the WSEA Bridge.
  - Removes 22 residential acquisitions and displacements and the cost of property acquisition for the South section of 32nd Ave SW.
- DEL-7 to WSJ-6 connection, *with tunnel entrance moved to the east side of SW Avalon Way & SW Yancy St.*
  - **2 less intersections impacted**, 1, SW Andover St. and 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW, and 2, SW. Avalon Way and SW Andover St./SW Yancey Street
  - Adds approximately 80 feet of depth of ground buffer for reducing the vibration disturbance impacting the W. Seattle Bridge Freeway
  - And vibration and sound for the residents of SW. Avalon Way, 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW., Fauntleroy Way SW, 33<sup>rd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Ave. SW.
  - Offers a lower height for elevated track leaving the Delridge station (**reduced elevated construction engineering and material costs**).

- Avoids closing primary egress/ingress and economic impacts cutting hardships on businesses and neighborhoods during construction, SW. Avalon Way.
- Removes 10 Residential displacements + 1 Condominium Building and the cost of property acquisition for Noth section of 32nd Ave SW.
- Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative.
  - The B of A space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is underutilized currently.
  - Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station instead of increasing pedestrian injury and traffic accident risk by locating a transit station near the West Seattle Bridge entrance.
  - Quickened trip times (less the Avalon Station .39 miles from the Junction station) times to SoDo & Downtown will help drive ridership.
- ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

In closing, DEL-7 w/ Tunnel Moved East of SW Avalon Way + WSJ-6 which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for West Seattle.

If the Avalon station build proceeds WSE will suffer years of negative roadway and economic impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and better for the people of West Seattle.

Thank you,

Leah Hammack, 20 year resident of West Seattle

Seattle, Washington

*Joyce Aoyama*

Hello,

I write to advocate for the West Seattle rail design that's best for West Seattle. Of the designs proposed there's a clear winner: **WSJ-6 "No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative"**

This design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the "preferred medium tunnel alternative" for many reasons, all outlined on pg. 26 of the West SEA Executive Summary published in September.

- **WSJ-6 design costs less:** \$1.4-1.50 billion vs. the 'preferred alternative' \$1.75 - 1.9 billion. *This design is also less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays. Keep it simple and do what's right for Seattle's Taxpayers at large, this design does that.*

- **Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 2+ years of construction/roadway Bridge disruption.** Tunneling under Avalon Blvd on the East side of Yancy will dramatically reduce SFH & roadway impacts.
- **Projected ridership is roughly equal 7,500 vs 7,600.** The BofA building and parking lot should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, this will increase ridership. Again keep bus/train transfers simple at one station.
- **The two station design complicates the bus/train transitions.** A centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station is best; consider the BofA property and parking lot for a transit center central to shopping.
- **Single station design quickens trips to SoDO and downtown by 5 minutes or more.** Quicker trips will drive ridership. Note the Avalon and Junction stations are separated by a quarter mile, building both makes no sense.
- **10 Residential displacements will be eliminated.** Reduces build cost and property acquisitions, the Avalon station will be a costly misstep if kept.
- **38 fewer business displacements** one of which includes portions of Transitional Housing's facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and SW Yancy St.

In closing, the WSJ-6 design which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for WSEA. WSE will suffer years of roadway impacts and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and swiftly without the Avalon Station. The stations seem too close to each other and will cause extra expense, congestion and parking issues.

Thank you,  
Joyce Aoyama

*Steven Zsitvay*

I write to advocate for a final West Seattle Link design that's good for West Seattle. **There's a clear winning route:**

- **The WSJ-6 "No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative"** listed on Figure Table ES-4 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary,
- With the ***Tunnel Entrance*** (noted on in the "**Lower Height No Avalon Station Tunnel Connection Alternative DEL-7,**" listed on Figure ES-23,) ***moved 500 to 700 feet East for an entrance on the east side of SW Avalon Way/Northside of SW Yancey St.***

Here's why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the "preferred medium tunnel alternative."

*My editorial comments found in the sub bullets.*

- WSJ-6 "No Avalon Station Tunnel" **costs less** \$1.4-1.5 billion vs. the 'preferred alternative' \$1.75 - 1.9 billion.

- This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.
  - Preserves the West Seattle neighborhood between SW. Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot.
  - Avoids creating a pedestrian risk of a geographically redundant station located at the entrance to the West Seattle Bridge.
  - Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fauntleroy and the WSEA Bridge.
  - Removes 22 residential acquisitions and displacements and the cost of property acquisition for South section of 32nd Ave SW.
- DEL-7 to WSJ-6 connection, *with tunnel entrance moved to the east side of SW Avalon Way & SW Yancey St.*
    - **2 less intersections impacted**, 1, SW Andover St. and 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW, and 2, SW. Avalon Way and SW Andover St./SW Yancey Street
    - Adds approximately 80 feet of depth of ground buffer for reducing the vibration disturbance impacting the W. Seattle Bridge Freeway
    - And vibration and sound for the residents of SW. Avalon Way, 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW., Fauntleroy Way SW, 33<sup>rd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Ave. SW.
    - Offers a lower height for elevated track leaving the Delridge station (**reduced elevated construction engineering and material costs**).
    - Avoids closing primary egress/ingress and economic impacts cutting hardships on businesses and neighborhoods during construction, SW. Avalon Way.
    - Removes 10 Residential displacements + 1 Condominium Building and the cost of property acquisition for North section of 32nd Ave SW.
- Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative.
    - The B of A space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is underutilized currently.
    - Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station instead of increasing a pedestrian injury and traffic accident risk by locating a transit station near the West Seattle Bridge entrance.
    - Quickened trip times (less the Avalon Station .39 miles from the Junction station) times to SoDo & Downtown will help drive ridership.
- ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

In closing, DEL-7 w/ Tunnel Moved East of SW Avalon Way + WSJ-6 which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for West Seattle.



If the Avalon station build proceeds WSE will suffer years of negative roadway and economic impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and better for the people of West Seattle.

Thank you for your attention,

Steven Zsitvay

30 year resident of West Seattle

*Bill Hirt*

Attention: System Expansion Committee

The below post from my blog details Sound Transit should terminate Line 2 at CID and why you should continue to operate ST550

Bill Hirt

The previous post detailed Sound Transit should mitigate the costs of Starter Line's limited ridership by terminating Line 2 at CID. Ending the need for 4-car trains and schedules set by need to provide half the light rail capacity to Lynnwood and beyond. This post details why Sound Transit should use the money saved to continue ST Express 550 into and out of Seattle rather than end it when Line 2 service begins. Sound Transit currently routes ST550 from 4:57 am to 12:20 am from Bellevue T/C along Bellevue Way, South Bellevue and Mercer Way stations to 4<sup>th</sup> Ave and Pike St to Convention Place in Seattle. Intervals between trains increase from 10-minute peak, 15-minute off peak, to 30-minute early morning and late evening. The schedule shows during peak commute the 8:08 am ST550 bus takes 30 minutes to reach 4<sup>th</sup> Ave & Cherry.

Sound Transit's August Ridership-Ridership website reports ST550 had 4362 boardings and presumably 2181 riders, 25% more than the Starter Line. The "likely" reason, commuters had access to ST550 at 6 stops between Bellevue T/C and South Bellevue Station and 7 stops in Seattle. Starter Line access on east side was limited to East Main in Bellevue and DSTT stations in Seattle

At \$15 per mile, the ST550, 22.5-mile trip from Bellevue to and from Convention Place costs \$337.50 or \$33,750 for the 100 daily round trips. However, as the previous post details, terminating Line 2 would reduce Starter Line operating costs from \$190,000 to \$76,000.

Sound Transit could save an additional \$29,000 by using ST550 to replace Starter Line to South Bellevue. Use the Bellevue T/C as the interface between ST550 and Starter Line.

The bottom line is Sound Transit's plan to stop ST550 (and terminate all I-90 corridor buses on Mercer Island) is an attempt to boost Line 2 ridership. However, the Starter Line's "ominous" results reflect the lack of access available with Rapid Ride B between Redmond and Bellevue. ST Express 550 provides

similar access between downtown Bellevue and South Bellevue T/C. (and better access in Seattle) King County Metro will presumably continue with Rapid Ride B. Sound Transit should do the same with ST550.

Those living along the route into Bellevue have already endured years of disruption from light rail construction. Sound Transit should not be allowed to use light rail trains to replace ST550 and end their access to transit.

## *Miriam Chilton and Darcell Slovek-Walker of Transitional Resources*

October 9, 2024

Dear Members of the System Expansion Committee,

On behalf of the staff and Board of Directors of Transitional Resources, we would like to extend our sincere gratitude to you and your team for your ongoing efforts to preserve our organization throughout Sound Transit's WSLE project. Your team's diligence and attention during this process means so much to our community as we work to provide the most essential services of permanent housing and behavioral health treatment to those in need. We understand the complexity and challenges associated with large-scale transit projects, and we deeply appreciate your willingness to consider the impact on our facilities and services. We have reviewed the most recent preferred alternative route, DEL-6b, and feel it is a viable option that preserves Transitional Resources' housing and services. This is critical to our organization and the people we serve.

At the same time, we hope you will also consider preserving our surrounding community as well. Our neighbors embrace Transitional Resources' mission and are an integral part of our clients recovery. WSJ-6 No Avalon Station with DEL-7 Tunnel Entrance at SW Yancy North Side & East of SW Avalon Way not only keeps our neighborhood whole, but we feel it is best for all of West Seattle. It is less expensive, reduces the amount of track and the height of the track that is above ground, displaces fewer businesses and residences, and should be less disruptive in the construction process.

We urge you to consider the option that not only saves Transitional Resources, but our nearby community as well. This will satisfy the community's needs and create a more equitable and accessible region for all. Thank you again for your consideration and dedication to the needs of our clients, our client's families, our staff, and the needs of our community. Your efforts are greatly appreciated.

Kind regards,

Miriam Chilton

Darcell Slovek-Walker Acting President and Treasurer

Chief Executive Officer Transitional Resources' Board of Directors

Darcell Slovek-Walker, MA, LMHC  
Chief Executive Officer  
Transitional Resources  
(206) 883-2026

## *Wilson Bailey*

Sound Transit Board Meeting Comments,

I'm writing to you today to ask that your decisions keep promises that Sound Transit 3 made to the region's voters in 2016. Sound Transit 3 was approved by nearly 70% of Seattle voters and 58% of King County voters, promised to expand the existing multimodal transit hub in the Chinatown/International District (CID) with a second Link station, and promised to add a new Midtown station, serving First Hill. It is vital that the Sound Transit Board follow through on the voter-approved plan. A late-breaking alternative proposal intends to squander the potential of a world-class transit hub near Union Station and — once again — skip First Hill entirely. Do not let it succeed.

So much transit connectivity is contingent on having the Ballard-to-Tacoma line connect directly with the existing CID Station along with the Sounder, Amtrak station, regional buses, and the streetcar line next door. With the 4th Avenue in CID alternative being built, CID is the most important hub in the Sound Transit 3 network, which is projected to carry 600,000 daily riders by the 2040s.

Sound Transit is building a second downtown Seattle light rail tunnel because the existing tunnel can't handle all the traffic that three light rail lines would entail. Adding the second tunnel also allows the agency to add a station at Midtown, on the edge of First Hill with a high quality connection via the RapidRide G Line set to open bus rapid transit service in 2024. This Midtown Station is projected to attract more than 15,000 daily riders which would be the most of any non-hub station in Sound Transit 3. A second tunnel would also allow (and should be designed for) link to be rerouted down one tunnel or the other based on which tunnel is undergoing maintenance. A coalition has emerged behind a "North of CID and South of CID" option that pairs a Pioneer Square / Jail Station with another station that is a five-minute walk south of Uwajimaya Asian Market. These stations are not in CID. The "South of CID" station might be better described as "Freeway Interchange Station" being hemmed in by I-90 to the south, I-5 to the east, and a highway-like section of 4th Avenue and a BNSF rail yard to the west. The opposition to 4th Avenue in CID argues that the Freeway Interchange Station and the Pioneer Square Jail Station would provide comparable transit service. But for future light rail riders, that is patently false. Here's why transit would be worse under the "North of CID and South of CID" alternative:

1. Breaking the CID's direct light rail connection to the South End is a big deal. People in the South End would have a worse connection to the CID than they have now because their trains would no longer go to Chinatown Station, but instead to Jail Station or Freeway Interchange Station. Because Asian communities are increasingly spread out across the region, that connection is vital for the CID to play the role of the cultural hub of the community in a future that will be more transit-dependent and less auto-dependent. Likewise for people living in the CID a hub station provides a link to relatives and friends living elsewhere and to the airport. The lack of a high quality transfer at CID Station would mean significant delays in the 10-minute range for many trips (e.g. Rainier Beach to CID). Transportation departments would never tolerate such delays if planning car infrastructure.

2. A good anti-displacement strategy is key and will allow additional light rail to be additive rather than destructive to Chinatown and the CID community. The opposition to the transit hub in CID makes counterfactual assertions that “displacement” would be a non-issue having two lines in a neighborhood, plus another next door, yet three lines in a neighborhood equates to total neighborhood displacement. Most of the low-income residents in the CID already live in affordable housing that is rent-restricted and the neighborhood has special affordable housing development providers, and a special development review board that has the ability to greatly limit real estate speculation within the CID. Lots of housing is going in as is, but a large chunk of it is affordable.

3. Stopping cultural displacement in the CID is going to take multiple strategies and blocking a light rail station could backfire. Commercial rent control, affordable housing investments, residential rent stabilization, and support for culturally-relevant small businesses and entrepreneurs seems key to an anti-gentrification strategy rather than hampering transit access. Good transit access and a bustling transit hub at the heart of the neighborhood is only going to help CID small businesses thrive. We urge the board to support a robust mitigation strategy and ensure small businesses and residents weather the disruption of station construction.

4. The duration of construction and engineering risk with 4th Avenue S is a tradeoff, but also provides an opportunity to upgrade all of the aging and deteriorating bridges and viaducts in the area in one fell swoop. For instance, the 2nd Avenue S Extension bridge is rated poor and is going to need to be replaced soon, which will impact the 4th Avenue S and S Jackson Street intersection since it's structurally integrated into it. Avoiding a station at 4th Avenue S does not avoid interruptions caused by such needed bridge rebuilds. Bundling these projects together minimizes overall construction impacts and also provides an opportunity to redesign the unsafe mini-surface highway that is 4th Avenue S.

5. Equitable transit-oriented development (eTOD) opportunities exist with the County Campus and County-leased Salvation Army site whether or not light rail is placed directly on them. The County campus is already next door to Pioneer Square Station. The County should use its campus to add mixed-income housing despite it being bad for light rail. The “South of CID” site is bad for housing since it's sandwiched against the enormous I-90/I-5 interchange with no walkshed to the west, south, or east. Buying this property at great cost would be great for the wealthy, well-connected developer who currently owns it, but is a bad move for the County and Sound Transit. It appears these two sites are proposed because they are convenient for the County to offload, not because they make sense for transit or provide new TOD opportunities that wouldn't already exist.

5. The actual construction site is on the edge of CID, so any argument that the construction will negatively impact most of the district can be rebutted by this fact. Considering how equitable having a mass transit hub at the edge of CID, it would benefit residents there tremendously, reducing air pollution and allowing residents to seek more job opportunities in and around Seattle. Over 4,000 individuals and organizations have already called for Sound Transit Board action to: build the 4th Avenue CID station, fulfilling its potential as the site of a truly world-class multi-modal transit hub; and build Midtown station (see: [change.org/moveforwardon4th](http://change.org/moveforwardon4th)). To our elected representatives on the Sound Transit Board, please build the 4th Avenue station in the CID that will best serve decades of transit riders from across the Puget Sound region, and please build the Midtown Station that will best connect First Hill and serve 15,000 daily riders.

Wilson Bailey  
astronomy4264@gmail.com  
Seattle, Washington 98117

## Lucy Barefoot

Hello, board members in particular Dow Constantine, Carla Rogers, Lauren Swift, Rob Saka, and Bruce Harrell,

I am a 13 yr resident of the Unceded Lands of the Duwamish People here in West Seattle. I write to advocate for a final West Seattle Link design that's best for West Seattle. **There's a clear winning route:**

- 

**The WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative”** listed on Figure Table ES-4 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary,

- 

With the

***Tunnel Entrance***

(noted on in the “**Lower Height No Avalon Station Tunnel Connection Alternative DEL-7,**” listed on Figure ES-23,)

***moved 500 to 700 feet East for an entrance on the east side of SW Avalon Way/Northside of SW Yancey St.***

Here's why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the “preferred medium tunnel alternative.”

- 

WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel” **costs**

**less** \$1.4-1.5 billion vs. the ‘preferred alternative’ \$1.75 - 1.9 billion.

- 

This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.

- 

Preserves the West Seattle neighborhood between SW. Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot.

- 

Avoids creating a pedestrian risk of a geographically redundant station located at the entrance to the West Seattle Bridge.

- 

Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fautleroy and the WSEA Bridge.

- 

Removes 22 residential acquisitions and displacements and the cost of property

- acquisition for South section of 32nd Ave SW.

- DEL-7 to WSJ-6 connection, with tunnel entrance moved to the east side of SW Avalon Way & SW Yancey St.

- 

- **2 less intersections impacted,**

- 1, SW Andover St. and 32<sup>nd</sup>

- Ave. SW, and 2, SW. Avalon Way and SW Andover St./SW Yancey Street

- 

- Adds approximately 80 feet of depth of ground buffer for reducing the vibration disturbance impacting the W. Seattle Bridge Freeway

- 

- And vibration and sound for the residents of SW. Avalon Way, 32<sup>nd</sup>

- Ave. SW., Fauntleroy Way SW, 33<sup>rd</sup>

- and 34<sup>th</sup>

- Ave. SW.

- 

- Offers a lower height for elevated track leaving the Delridge station (**reduced elevated construction engineering and material costs**).

- 

- Avoids closing primary egress/ingress and economic impacts cutting hardships on businesses and neighborhoods during construction, SW. Avalon Way.

- 

- Removes 10 Residential displacements + 1 Condominium Building and the cost of property acquisition for North section of 32nd Ave SW.

- 

- Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative.

- 

- The Bank of America space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is underutilized currently.

- 

- Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station instead of increasing a pedestrian injury and traffic accident risk by locating a transit station near the West Seattle Bridge entrance.

○

Quickened trip times (less the Avalon Station .39 miles from the Junction station) times to SoDo

& Downtown will help drive ridership.

•

ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities

at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

In closing, DEL-7 w/ Tunnel Moved East of SW Avalon Way + WSJ-6 which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for West Seattle.

If the Avalon station build proceeds WSE will suffer years of negative roadway and economic impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and better for the people of West Seattle.

Thank you,

Lucy Barefoot, 13 year resident of unceded lands of the Duwamish People in West Seattle  
Seattle, Washington

*Maggie Fimia and John Niles*

**The substance of this comment is included at the end of this document.**

*See attached and below for an on-record comment on WSLE.*

*Thank you,*

Maggie Fimia

A Citizen's Toolkit, For Repairing or Building a Democracy

<https://maggiefimia.com>

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/margaret-maggie-fimia-094444/>

<https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=100084914897899>

Smarter Transit

<https://smartertransit.org>

4665 240<sup>th</sup> St. SW

Mountlake Terrace WA 98043

[mfimia@zipcon.com](mailto:mfimia@zipcon.com)

206 380 9662 cell

*Gavin Yehle*

Dear Members of the System Expansion Committee,

In light of the recent publication of the FEIS and the release of the cost estimate for the WSLE project, we are all surprised by the increase in costs, but I think it is still of the utmost importance to continue ahead with the FULL project to protect and ensure the future of our city.

Seattle has a history of delaying or denying transit projects in the past with the voting down of federal funding in 1970, and then again with the monorail project in 2005. Now, we are left to spend more and take more time with rising property costs and inflation. These costs will only get higher if we delay or reduce projects, repeating the mistakes of the past.

Build the FULL line all the way to the Junction without delay.

All the best,  
Gavin Yehle  
West Seattle Resident

*Marilyn Kennell*

Resolution No. R2024-22: Selecting the route, profile, and stations to be built for the West Seattle Link Extension project.

Sound Transit's Final EIS disclosed that the 4-mile West Seattle light rail cost estimate is now over \$7 billion. Under Section 2 of the ST3 package, the board **must** reconsider projects that are infeasible, unaffordable and/or unbuildable. At \$1.5 billion dollars per mile - this project is "**unaffordable**". Therefore, we ask the board to recommend a NO BUILD solution to the ST 3 WSLE light rail project. The Final EIS acknowledges that light rail construction will do irreparable damage to West Seattle's (1) environment and (2) community. The NO BUILD option would preclude this devastation.



(1) Sound Transit's present plans will claim 2 to 3 acres of our **urban canopy**. Chopping down thousands of trees in Delridge will increase that community's existing "heat island". Delridge suffers more than our leafy neighborhoods when the weather gets hot. Choosing the NO BUILD option will keep our poorer neighborhoods from becoming even hotter during coming heat waves. Sound Transit acknowledges that cleaving off Pigeon Point and disrupting the Longfellow Creek eco-system will do **"irreparable" and "permanent" damage. Mitigation plans for heron, salmon, and beaver habitats** are vague or non-existent in the DEIS. To save West Seattle's irreplaceable eco-systems, we urge you to adopt the NO BUILD option.

(2) 70 some West Seattle businesses will be forced to close. 500 to 1000 people will lose their jobs. West Seattle will lose **13** grocery stores, restaurants, delis, and coffee shops creating a "food desert" from Delridge to the Alaska Junction. The more affluent among us will be able to drive (causing more car trips to alternate food sources) - but those without cars will, again, suffer disproportionately.

West Seattle children will lose two music schools; so 1000 kids will no longer have lessons and camps within walking distance. ST is planning to put a pillar through the West Seattle Health Club swimming pool where 1300 children take lessons. 6200 members of all ages will be bereft of a main source of health enhancing physical and social activities.

WEST SEATTLE IS CLOSE TO BEING A 15-MINUTE CITY - ALMOST EVERYTHING WE NEED IS WITHIN A 15 MINUTE WALK, BIKE OR BUS RIDE, OR A SHORT TRIP BY CAR. DESTROYING NEIGHBORHOODS, BUSINESSES, HOMES, JOBS AND DISRUPTING TRAFFIC FOR 6-8 YEARS (FOR 4 MILES OF LIGHT RAIL THAT WILL TAKE US ONLY TO SODO) - DOES NOT MAKE SENSE. WE ASK THE BOARD TO CALL FOR THE NO BUILD OPTION.

WE ARE FOR MASS-TRANSIT **AND** WE ARE AGAINST GOING AHEAD WITH WEST SEATTLE LIGHT RAIL. **NO BUILD** DOES NOT MEAN BUILD NOTHING - IT MEANS DO NOT BUILD A PROJECT THAT HAS MORE NEGATIVE IMPACTS THAN BENEFITS.

FOR \$7 BILLION DOLLARS, WE COULD BUY 3000 BRAND NEW ELECTRIC BUSES FOR WEST SEATTLE (THEY COST \$1 MILLION DOLLARS EACH) AND YOU WOULD STILL HAVE \$4 BILLION DOLLARS LEFT OVER FOR THE REST OF THE REGION'S TRANSIT NEEDS. **THE NO BUILD OPTION IS A WIN-WIN!**

**MARILYN KENNELL  
WEST SEATTLE**

*Maren Costa*

The West Seattle light rail link is making less and less sense as we get closer and closer:

- It was already a very expensive project, traversing very difficult and sensitive terrain and ecosystems.
- The projected usage numbers, capacity, and trip times are unsatisfactory. In fact, it actually makes most people's commute *longer* and *more complicated* than the existing bus solutions.
- It does nothing to solve transit deserts.
- By the time it is slated to come online, it will already be outdated. I'm currently visiting San Francisco and there are self-driving cars everywhere. Imagine what transportation will be like 10 or 15 years from now when this project is finally finished.
- And it will be many more years before it is connected to anything north or south on this side of the bridge.
- The carbon impact to build it negates any carbon savings for hundreds of years.
- It is of course very disruptive to many businesses and residences.
- And now, the cost has ballooned beyond what was already a ridiculous price tag.

YES, we *absolutely* need public transit—public transit that is irresistibly convenient, safe, scalable and informed by current thinking and future possibilities. The West Seattle link will not deliver enough benefits—in fact, it will deliver quite a bit of harm—for a very steep price tag. We should not proceed with an expensive, ineffective project just because voters unwittingly voted for something years ago that they will not be happy with now. I think if voters had adequate time to understand what they are getting for what they are paying, this would not pass.

Thank you for considering our communities comments.

Maren Costa

U.S. Advisor

[Work For Climate](#)

[Book time with me](#)

206.817.1031

## *Chad Hembrow*

Hello, Dow Constantine, Carla Rogers, Lauren Swift, Rob Saka, and Bruce Harrell,

I write to advocate for a final West Seattle Link design that's good for West Seattle. **There's a clear winning route:**

- **The WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative”** listed on Figure Table ES-4 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary,
- With the ***Tunnel Entrance*** (noted on in the “**Lower Height No Avalon Station Tunnel Connection Alternative DEL-7,**” listed on Figure ES-23,) ***moved 500 to 700 feet East for an entrance on the east side of SW Avalon Way/Northside of SW Yancey St.***

Here's why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the “preferred medium tunnel alternative.”

*My editorial comments found in the sub bullets.*

- WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel” **costs less** \$1.4-1.5 billion vs. the ‘preferred alternative’ \$1.75 - 1.9 billion.
  - This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.
  - Preserves the West Seattle neighborhood between SW. Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot.
  - Avoids creating a pedestrian risk of a geographically redundant station located at the entrance to the West Seattle Bridge.
  - Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fauntleroy and the WSEA Bridge.
  - Removes 22 residential acquisitions and displacements and the cost of property acquisition for South section of 32nd Ave SW.
- DEL-7 to WSJ-6 connection, *with tunnel entrance moved to the east side of SW Avalon Way & SW Yancey St.*
  - **2 less intersections impacted**, 1, SW Andover St. and 32nd Ave. SW, and 2, SW. Avalon Way and SW Andover St./SW Yancey Street

- Adds approximately 80 feet of depth of ground buffer for reducing the vibration disturbance impacting the W. Seattle Bridge Freeway
  - And vibration and sound for the residents of SW. Avalon Way, 32nd Ave. SW., Fauntleroy Way SW, 33rd and 34th Ave. SW.
  - Offers a lower height for elevated track leaving the Delridge station (**reduced elevated construction engineering and material costs**).
  - Avoids closing primary egress/ingress and economic impacts cutting hardships on businesses and neighborhoods during construction, SW. Avalon Way.
  - Removes 10 Residential displacements + 1 Condominium Building and the cost of property acquisition for North section of 32nd Ave SW.
- Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative.
    - The B of A space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is underutilized currently.
    - Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station instead of increasing a pedestrian injury and traffic accident risk by locating a transit station near the West Seattle Bridge entrance.
    - Quickened trip times (less the Avalon Station .39 miles from the Junction station) times to SoDo & Downtown will help drive ridership.
  - ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

In closing, DEL-7 w/ Tunnel Moved East of SW Avalon Way + WSJ-6 which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for West Seattle.

If the Avalon station build proceeds WSE will suffer years of negative roadway and economic impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and better for the people of West Seattle.

Thank you,

Chad Hembrow, 15 year resident of West Seattle

Seattle, Washington

## Johannes Heine

Dear Sound Transit System Expansion Committee Members,

I strongly urge you to change the preferred alternative to DEL-7, the "No Avalon Station" option for the West Seattle Link Extension.

This option reduces residential and business displacements, minimizing community disruption while saving money, reducing transit time to downtown and with little to no effect on ridership estimates. By eliminating Avalon Station, we can maintain a more streamlined and cost-effective project.

I urge you to select this option for the benefit of our community.

Sincerely,

Johannes Heine

West Seattle Resident

## Myra and Vince Ferriols

Hello, Dow Constantine, Carla Rogers, Lauren Swift, Rob Saka, and Bruce Harrell,

I write to advocate for a final West Seattle Link design that's good for West Seattle. **There's a clear winning route:**

- **The WSJ-6 "No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative"** listed on Figure Table ES-4 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary,
- With the ***Tunnel Entrance*** (noted on in the "**Lower Height No Avalon Station Tunnel Connection Alternative DEL-7,**" listed on Figure ES-23,) ***moved 500 to 700 feet East for an entrance on the east side of SW Avalon Way/Northside of SW Yancey St.***

Here's why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the "preferred medium tunnel alternative."

*My editorial comments found in the sub bullets.*

- WSJ-6 "No Avalon Station Tunnel" ***costs less*** \$1.4-1.5 billion vs. the 'preferred alternative' \$1.75 - 1.9 billion.
  - This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.
  - Preserves the West Seattle neighborhood between SW. Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot.

- Avoids creating a pedestrian risk of a geographically redundant station located at the entrance to the West Seattle Bridge.
  - Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fauntleroy and the WSEA Bridge.
  - Removes 22 residential acquisitions and displacements and the cost of property acquisition for South section of 32nd Ave SW.
- DEL-7 to WSJ-6 connection, *with tunnel entrance moved to the east side of SW Avalon Way & SW Yancey St.*
- **2 less intersections impacted**, 1, SW Andover St. and 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW, and 2, SW. Avalon Way and SW Andover St./SW Yancey Street
  - Adds approximately 80 feet of depth of ground buffer for reducing the vibration disturbance impacting the W. Seattle Bridge Freeway
  - And vibration and sound for the residents of SW. Avalon Way, 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW., Fauntleroy Way SW, 33<sup>rd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Ave. SW.
  - Offers a lower height for elevated track leaving the Delridge station (**reduced elevated construction engineering and material costs**).
  - Avoids closing primary egress/ingress and economic impacts cutting hardships on businesses and neighborhoods during construction, SW. Avalon Way.
  - Removes 10 Residential displacements + 1 Condominium Building and the cost of property acquisition for North section of 32nd Ave SW.
- Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative.
- The B of A space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is underutilized currently.
  - Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station instead of increasing a pedestrian injury and traffic accident risk by locating a transit station near the West Seattle Bridge entrance.
  - Quickened trip times (less the Avalon Station .39 miles from the Junction station) times to SoDo & Downtown will help drive ridership.
- ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

If the Avalon station build proceeds WSE will suffer years of negative roadway and economic impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and better for the people of West Seattle.

Thank you,  
Myra (daughter) and Vince (Father) Ferriols, 76 year resident of West Seattle  
Seattle, Washington (on our property)

*Scott Smith*

Hello,

I am writing to express my support for the staff recommendation for the West Seattle light rail link extension.

There has been a great deal of misinformation about this project – particularly regarding the West Seattle portion. I have had the privilege of living in several cities with excellent public transportation systems, including a mix of buses, light rail, and trolleys. I have witnessed first hand the positive impact that such a system can have on a city and its community — allowing us to enjoy the Seattle area to the fullest.

I strongly support the plan and believe it is crucial for the West Seattle community to have all three of the proposed stations. I look forward to the day when these new transportation options are available, making it easier for everyone to get where they need to go. It's never going to be cheaper and easier to implement than it is right now, any delay just adds needless cost and uncertainty.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
Scott Smith

*Paul Haury*

Hello Sound Transit Committee,

I hope to build make it today for in person testimony. This submission serves as a written record, either in addition to, or in lieu of me not being able to arrive to testify in person.

I write to advocate for a final West Seattle Link design that's good for West Seattle. **There's a clear winning route:**

- **The WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative”** listed on Figure Table ES-4 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary,
- With the ***Tunnel Entrance*** (noted on in the “**Lower Height No Avalon Station Tunnel Connection Alternative DEL-7,**” listed on Figure ES-23,) ***moved 500 to 700 feet East for an entrance on the east side of SW Avalon Way/Northside of SW Yancey St.***

Here's why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the “preferred medium tunnel alternative.”

*My editorial comments found in the sub bullets.*

- WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel” **costs less** \$1.4-1.5 billion vs. the ‘preferred alternative’ \$1.75 - 1.9 billion.

- This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.
  - Preserves the West Seattle neighborhood and businesses between SW. Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot.
  - Avoids creating a pedestrian risk of a geographically redundant station located at the entrance to the West Seattle Bridge.
  - Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fauntleroy and the WSEA Bridge.
  - Removes 22 residential acquisitions and displacements and the cost of property acquisition for South section of 32nd Ave SW.
- DEL-7 to WSJ-6 connection, *with tunnel entrance moved to the east side of SW Avalon Way & SW Yancey St.*
    - **2 less intersections impacted**, 1, SW Andover St. and 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW, and 2, SW. Avalon Way and SW Andover St./SW Yancey Street
    - Adds approximately 80 feet of depth of ground buffer for reducing the vibration disturbance impacting the W. Seattle Bridge Freeway
    - And vibration and sound for the residents of SW. Avalon Way, 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW., Fauntleroy Way SW, 33<sup>rd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Ave. SW.
    - Offers a lower height for elevated track leaving the Delridge station (**reduced elevated construction engineering and material costs**).
    - Avoids closing primary egress/ingress and economic impacts cutting hardships on businesses and neighborhoods during construction, SW. Avalon Way.
    - Removes 10 Residential displacements + 1 Condominium Building and the cost of property acquisition for North section of 32nd Ave SW.
  - Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative.
    - The B of A space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is underutilized currently.
    - Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station instead of increasing a pedestrian injury and traffic accident risk by locating a transit station near the West Seattle Bridge entrance.
    - Quickened trip times (less the Avalon Station .39 miles from the Junction station) times to SoDo & Downtown will help drive ridership.
  - ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

In closing, to available choice of DEL-7 w/ Tunnel Moved East of SW Avalon Way + WSJ-6 that eliminates the Avalon station, and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for West Seattle. It brings light rail in two W. Seattle with far less traffic and pedestrian safety impacts, and by Sound Transit projections looks like it's an easy \$500,000,000 less expensive.

If the Avalon station build proceeds West Seattle will suffer years of negative roadway and economic impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and better for the people of West Seattle.

Thank you,



Paul Haury, 22 year resident of West Seattle  
Seattle, Washington

*Tanya Hurst*

Hi,

I'm emailing to avoid additional cost and impact to the Avalon area of West Seattle. I'm advocating for WSJ-6 No Avalon Station w/DEL-7 option. This has the least amount of impact to our community. This will cost less, should have less cost overruns, preserve the neighborhood between SW Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot, avoid pedestrian risk with a station so close to the bridge and zero intersection or roadway impacts vs 4+ years of construction! Please consider this or the NO BUILD option.

Tanya Hurst

*Pamela Adams*

Dear System Expansion Committee:

The ST3 transportation package that Sound Transit presented to voters in 2016 offered simple criteria for voters to consider:

- improve public transit,
- encourage economic development, equity community building and social justice,
- **protect the environment.**

From my reading the EIS there are concerns in gaps of knowledge and understanding of how the West Seattle Light Rail Extension Link will not protect the environment, but will impact Pigeon Point and the Great Blue Heron historic nesting area, and more critically Longfellow Creek at the first daylight section.

Since 2023 this is the only Seattle watershed documenting wild coho salmon spawning for the past three fall seasons. These are endangered wild coho salmon spawning, and more amazing there are juvenile fry, and parr living year-round in the section of creek between Andover and Yancey -where there is a beaver dam holding water for the fish even in our drought conditions. Natural processes are taking place in this 4 mile creek that is already 52% paved over. This critical habitat area is right where the building of the link pillars are a slated to go. **How will the construction of the pillars not impact this sensitive watershed and salmon habitat?**

Please consider the NO BUILD option, and look to improving the existing transportation infrastructure in West Seattle.

Thank you,  
Pamela Adams  
Alki Beach Resident

More Information about the salmon and beavers in Longfellow Creek can be found here:

[BeaverInsights](https://beaverinsights.com)  
[beaverinsights.com](https://beaverinsights.com)

### *Unnamed Commenter*

Sent from my iPad. Required reading for the no build option : decisions should be made without previous biases for building the link. The latest information regarding this is found in environmental impact statement (EIS-C) pdf Rethink the link^J 394KB this is a comprehensive analysis of the failings of the sound transit to address the real issues. Leaders must reconsider their prior conclusions and really look at the reality of this project for the good of the people. Prior conclusions by those making these decisions must be addressed with a positive thorough thought process to other options than building the link. The board was elected to serve the people! Please do it! Thank you

### *Comments submitted after deadline*

#### *Rachel Smith*

**The substance of this comment is included at the bottom of the document.**

Dear Chair Balducci and Committee Members,

On behalf of the Seattle Metropolitan Chamber of Commerce and our 2,500 members, please find attached a letter urging the committee to forward a recommendation to the Board of Directors to approve Resolution No. R2024-22, selecting the project to be built for the West Seattle Link Extension Project.

Thank you,

**Rachel Smith**

*President and CEO*

[Seattle Metropolitan Chamber of Commerce](https://seattlechamber.com)

[rachels@seattlechamber.com](mailto:rachels@seattlechamber.com) | **d:** (206) 389-7222 | **c:** (918) 809-2449

Visit our [website](#) for events, business news, advocacy information and jobs, plus - text SEATTLE to 52886 - and never miss an important update from the Chamber.

## *Heidi Shininger-Forrer*

Hello, Dow Constantine, Carla Rogers, Lauren Swift, Rob Saka, and Bruce Harrell,

I am writing today to advocate for the good of the environment, West Seattle, my neighborhood and neighbors, and to encourage you to consider an improved option for the final route for the West Seattle Link.

That option is: WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station w/Del-7 Tunnel Entrance at SW Yancy North Side & East of SW Avalon Way.

Dropping the Avalon Station, and moving the tunnel entrance East of (below) Avalon will preserve most of our neighborhood and lowers the number of neighboring businesses that would be displaced. There will be less environmental impact and disruption to daily life in our beloved West Seattle neighborhood. This option reduces the amount of track and height of the track above ground. Additionally, this option will lessen the disruption of the construction process. Though my first choice for many valid reasons is still the “No Build Option”, this option to drop the Avalon Station, still gets light rail to West Seattle, and helps preserve the character of the neighborhood. The elimination of the Avalon Station is less expensive than the preferred route. A major concern on a project that has ballooned to an astronomical cost, which could still grow.

Please consider the over all negative environmental impact, and future generations who will have to live with this decision, and shoulder the financial burden.

Additionally, please consider reading this article, and watching this video link on the case for a “NO Build Option” which is still on the table.

Washington Policy Center-

West Seattle Link Extension has gone off the Rails:

<https://www.washingtonpolicy.org/publications/detail/the-west-seattle-link-extension-has-gone-off-the-rails?>

10 Reasons to not build West Seattle Light Rail:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OoKod7Np8Xk>

Below are many valid, carefully and thoughtfully compiled points put together by my neighbors, who’s lives will be directly impacted by this decision.

We write to advocate for a final West Seattle Link design that’s good for West Seattle. **There’s a clear winning route:**

- **The WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative”** listed on Figure Table ES-4 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary,
- With the ***Tunnel Entrance*** (noted on in the “**Lower Height No Avalon Station Tunnel Connection Alternative DEL-7,**” listed on Figure ES-23,) ***moved 500 to 700 feet East for an entrance on the east side of SW Avalon Way/Northside of SW Yancey St.***

Here's why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the "preferred medium tunnel alternative."

*My editorial comments found in the sub bullets.*

- WSJ-6 "No Avalon Station Tunnel" **costs less** \$1.4-1.5 billion vs. the 'preferred alternative' \$1.75 - 1.9 billion.
  - This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.
  - Preserves the West Seattle neighborhood between SW. Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot.
  - Avoids creating a pedestrian risk of a geographically redundant station located at the entrance to the West Seattle Bridge.
  - Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fauntleroy and the WSEA Bridge.
  - Removes 22 residential acquisitions and displacements and the cost of property acquisition for South section of 32nd Ave SW.
  
- DEL-7 to WSJ-6 connection, *with tunnel entrance moved to the east side of SW Avalon Way & SW Yancey St.*
  - **2 less intersections impacted**, 1, SW Andover St. and 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW, and 2, SW. Avalon Way and SW Andover St./SW Yancey Street
  - Adds approximately 80 feet of depth of ground buffer for reducing the vibration disturbance impacting the W. Seattle Bridge Freeway
  - And vibration and sound for the residents of SW. Avalon Way, 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW., Fauntleroy Way SW, 33<sup>rd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Ave. SW.
  - Offers a lower height for elevated track leaving the Delridge station (**reduced elevated construction engineering and material costs**).
  - Avoids closing primary egress/ingress and economic impacts cutting hardships on businesses and neighborhoods during construction, SW. Avalon Way.
  - Removes 10 Residential displacements + 1 Condominium Building and the cost of property acquisition for North section of 32nd Ave SW.
  
- Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative.
  - The Bank of America space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is underutilized currently.
  - Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station instead of increasing a pedestrian injury and traffic accident risk by locating a transit station near the West Seattle Bridge entrance.
  - Quickened trip times (less the Avalon Station .39 miles from the Junction station) times to SoDo & Downtown will help drive ridership.
  
- ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

In closing, DEL-7 w/ Tunnel Moved East of SW Avalon Way + WSJ-6 which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for West Seattle.

If the Avalon station build proceeds WSE will suffer years of negative roadway and economic impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and better for the people of West Seattle.

Thank you,

Heidi Shininger-Forrer, 20 year resident of West Seattle

Seattle, Washington

[Mark Forrer](#)

Yo,

Dow Constantine, Carla Rogers, Lauren Swift, Rob Saka, and Bruce Harrell,

What the heck is wrong with you? You know this whole thing is a bad idea... Ridiculous cost for 4 miles??? So why are you pushing it through? It isn't going to help anybody in West Seattle. You are going to destroy a bunch of businesses and the Alaskan Junction. You are not going to improve anything in regards to West Seattle life or improve ridership. Save money, environment, homes and businesses and not do this.

I am writing today to advocate for the good of the environment, West Seattle, my neighborhood and neighbors, and to encourage you to consider an improved option for the final route for the West Seattle Link.

That option is: WSJ-6 "No Avalon Station w/Del-7 Tunnel Entrance at SW Yancy North Side & East of SW Avalon Way.

Dropping the Avalon Station, and moving the tunnel entrance East of (below) Avalon will preserve most of our neighborhood and lowers the number of neighboring businesses that would be displaced. There will be less environmental impact and disruption to daily life in our beloved West Seattle neighborhood. This option reduces the amount of track and height of the track above ground. Additionally, this option will lessen the disruption of the construction process. Though my first choice for many valid reasons is still the "No Build Option", this option to drop the Avalon Station, still gets light rail to West

Seattle, and helps preserve the character of the neighborhood. The elimination of the Avalon Station is less expensive than the preferred route. A major concern on a project that has ballooned to an astronomical cost, which could still grow.

Please consider the over all negative environmental impact, and future generations who will have to live with this decision, and shoulder the financial burden.

Additionally, please consider reading this article, and watching this video link on the case for a “NO Build Option” which is still on the table.

Washington Policy Center-West Seattle Link Extension has gone off the Rails:<https://www.washingtonpolicy.org/publications/detail/the-west-seattle-link-extension-has-gone-off-the-rails?>

10 Reasons to not build West Seattle Light Rail:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OoKod7Np8Xk>

Below are many valid, carefully and thoughtfully compiled points put together by my neighbors, who’s lives will be directly impacted by this decision.

We write to advocate for a final West Seattle Link design that’s good for West Seattle. **There’s a clear winning route:**

- **The WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative”** listed on Figure Table ES-4 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary,
- With the ***Tunnel Entrance*** (noted on in the “**Lower Height No Avalon Station Tunnel Connection Alternative DEL-7,**” listed on Figure ES-23,) ***moved 500 to 700 feet East for an entrance on the east side of SW Avalon Way/Northside of SW Yancey St.***

Here’s why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the “preferred medium tunnel alternative.”

*My editorial comments found in the sub bullets.*

- WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel” **costs less** \$1.4-1.5 billion vs. the ‘preferred alternative’ \$1.75 - 1.9 billion.
  - This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.
  - Preserves the West Seattle neighborhood between SW. Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot.
  - Avoids creating a pedestrian risk of a geographically redundant station located at the entrance to the West Seattle Bridge.
  - Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fauntleroy and the WSEA Bridge.
  - Removes 22 residential acquisitions and displacements and the cost of property acquisition for South section of 32nd Ave SW.

- DEL-7 to WSJ-6 connection, *with tunnel entrance moved to the east side of SW Avalon Way & SW Yancey St.*
  - **2 less intersections impacted**, 1, SW Andover St. and 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW, and 2, SW. Avalon Way and SW Andover St./SW Yancey Street
  - Adds approximately 80 feet of depth of ground buffer for reducing the vibration disturbance impacting the W. Seattle Bridge Freeway
  - And vibration and sound for the residents of SW. Avalon Way, 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW., Fautleroy Way SW, 33<sup>rd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Ave. SW.
  - Offers a lower height for elevated track leaving the Delridge station (**reduced elevated construction engineering and material costs**).
  - Avoids closing primary egress/ingress and economic impacts cutting hardships on businesses and neighborhoods during construction, SW. Avalon Way.
  - Removes 10 Residential displacements + 1 Condominium Building and the cost of property acquisition for Noth section of 32nd Ave SW.
  
- Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative.
  - The Bank of America space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is underutilized currently.
  - Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station instead of increasing a pedestrian injury and traffic accident risk by locating a transit station near the West Seattle Bridge entrance.
  - Quickened trip times (less the Avalon Station .39 miles from the Junction station) times to SoDo & Downtown will help drive ridership.
  
- ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

In closing, DEL-7 w/ Tunnel Moved East of SW Avalon Way + WSJ-6 which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for West Seattle.

If the Avalon station build proceeds WSE will suffer years of negative roadway and economic impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and better for the people of West Seattle.

Thank you,

Mark Forrer

Seattle, Washington

## Lauren Frey

Hello, Dow Constantine, Carla Rogers, Lauren Swift, Rob Saka, and Bruce Harrell,

I write to advocate for a final West Seattle Link design that's good for West Seattle. **There's a clear winning route:**

- **The WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel Alternative”** listed on Figure Table ES-4 of the Sept-24 Executive Summary,
- With the ***Tunnel Entrance*** (noted on in the “**Lower Height No Avalon Station Tunnel Connection Alternative DEL-7,**” listed on Figure ES-23,) ***moved 500 to 700 feet East for an entrance on the east side of SW Avalon Way/Northside of SW Yancey St.***

Here's why this design is a considerable improvement over WSJ-5b the “preferred medium tunnel alternative.”

*My editorial comments found in the sub bullets.*

- WSJ-6 “No Avalon Station Tunnel” ***costs less*** \$1.4-1.5 billion vs. the ‘preferred alternative’ \$1.75 - 1.9 billion.
  - This design is less likely to experience cost overruns due to litigation and construction delays.
  - Preserves the West Seattle neighborhood between SW. Avalon Way to the Bank of America parking lot.
  - Avoids creating a pedestrian risk of a geographically redundant station located at the entrance to the West Seattle Bridge.
  - Zero intersection or roadway impacts vs. 4+ years of construction roadway intersection of 35th, Fauntleroy and the WSEA Bridge.
  - Removes 22 residential acquisitions and displacements and the cost of property acquisition for South section of 32nd Ave SW.
- DEL-7 to WSJ-6 connection, *with tunnel entrance moved to the east side of SW Avalon Way & SW Yancey St.*
  - ***2 less intersections impacted***, 1, SW Andover St. and 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW, and 2, SW. Avalon Way and SW Andover St./SW Yancey Street
  - Adds approximately 80 feet of depth of ground buffer for reducing the vibration disturbance impacting the W. Seattle Bridge Freeway
  - And vibration and sound for the residents of SW. Avalon Way, 32<sup>nd</sup> Ave. SW., Fauntleroy Way SW, 33<sup>rd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Ave. SW.



- Offers a lower height for elevated track leaving the Delridge station (***reduced elevated construction engineering and material costs***).
  - Avoids closing primary egress/ingress and economic impacts cutting hardships on businesses and neighborhoods during construction, SW. Avalon Way.
  - Removes 10 Residential displacements + 1 Condominium Building and the cost of property acquisition for North section of 32nd Ave SW.
- Daily Ridership projection is about equal 7,500 vs 7,600 with the preferred alternative.
    - The B of A space should be turned into a transit hub for bus train transfers, the property is underutilized currently.
    - Better to create a centralized bus/train transfer at the Junction station instead of increasing a pedestrian injury and traffic accident risk by locating a transit station near the West Seattle Bridge entrance.
    - Quickened trip times (less the Avalon Station .39 miles from the Junction station) times to SoDo & Downtown will help drive ridership.
  - ST3's assessment shows 38 fewer business displacements which includes Transitional Housing facilities at the intersection of Avalon Blvd. and Yancy.

In closing, DEL-7 w/ Tunnel Moved East of SW Avalon Way + WSJ-6 which eliminates the Avalon station and tunnels to a single Junction station is the best design for West Seattle.

If the Avalon station build proceeds WSE will suffer years of negative roadway and economic impacts, and taxpayers will pay more for a system that could have been built more economically and better for the people of West Seattle.

Thank you,  
 Lauren Frey, 20 year resident of West Seattle  
 Seattle, Washington

**Lauren Frey**  
**Claims Consultant, Marine**  
 CA License No. 0D82224



Insurance | Risk Management | Consulting

Gallagher 2825 Eastlake Ave E, Suite 110, Seattle, WA 98102  
 D: (206) 607 0958 | M: (206) 914 6835  
[lauren\\_frey@ajg.com](mailto:lauren_frey@ajg.com) | [AJG.com](http://AJG.com)

Arthur J. Gallagher Risk Management Services, LLC.  
 CA License No. 0D69293

Arthur J. Gallagher & Co. Insurance Brokers of California, Inc.  
CA License No. 0726293

---